

Lupold, Timothy

From: Sanchez Santiago, Elba
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2011 8:27 AM
To: Murphy, Martin; Lupold, Timothy; Cameron, Jamnes; Wilson, Adam; Kimble, Daniel; Rutkowski, John; Hills, David; Rezai, Ali; Gonzalez, Hipolito; Thorp, John; Haskell, Russell; Nolan, Ryan; Mahoney, Michael; Hernandez, Pete; Mitlyng, Viktoria; Chandrathil, Prema; Neurauter, James; Cardona-Morales, Pedro; Briley, Thomas; CuadradoDeJesus, Samuel; Zimmerman, Jacob; Thomas, George; Hoang, Dan; Logaras, Harral; Barker, Allan; Auluck, Rajender; Sheikh, Abdul; Lehman, Bryce; Morey, Dennis; Snyder, Amy; Wiebe, Joel; Bozga, John; Meghani, Vijay; Stone, AnnMarie; Smagacz, Phillip; Davis-BesseHearingFile Resource; Riley (OCA), Timothy
Cc: Reynolds, Steven; West, Steven; OBrien, Kenneth; Shear, Gary
Subject: FW: NRC Technical Reviewer Focus Questions
Attachments: NRC Technical Reviewer Focus Questions.docx

Good Morning,

Attached are the focus questions Dave discussed during our group conference call. Also I updated the SharePoint site to include the latest calculation as well as the answers to some of the questions we provided to the licensee earlier this week. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Elba

From: Hills, David
Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2011 2:21 PM
To: Sanchez Santiago, Elba
Subject: NRC Technical Reviewer Focus Questions

Elba,

We discussed this during today's internal focus group conference call. Please forward to our entire focus group.

Thanks,
- Dave

Davis Besse Shield Building Issue
NRC Technical Reviewer Focus Questions
11/7/11

1. Does the licensee's extent of condition (scope of mapping and core bores) provide an acceptable systematic, representative sample that accurately characterizes the condition of the shield building (or at least sufficiently bounds the condition for analysis)? Why or why not?
2. Does the licensee's analysis provide reasonable assurance that the shield building will perform its design function? Why or why not?
 - a. If yes, does the shield building remain in conformance with all licensing and design basis requirements including required Codes and required safety margins? Note that if the shield building is functional but nonconforming, then the licensee would be able to restart the plant, but would be expected to have a plan in place to restore conformance (additional analysis, repairs, or license amendment) at the next reasonable opportunity.
3. Has the licensee provided reasonable assurance that the shield building will remain capable of performing its design function in the near and distant future (i.e. the condition will not worsen)? Why or why not? If not, are we comfortable until the next refuel outage (May 2012) and why, and what additional actions from the licensee, if any, do we think are necessary going forward?