



Colorado Department  
of Public Health  
and Environment



**From:** Richard Kamp (b)(6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2012 3:25 PM

**To:** Smith, Warren

**Subject:** NRC response and CDPHE response

Hi Warren: (for tonight) can you provide some CDPHE response to the NRC letter attached?  
particularly looking at the substance:

"the NRC staff does not believe that the licensing of the Pinon Ridge Uranium Mill provided the public with an opportunity for comment or an opportunity for a public hearing as required by the AEA, Section 27 4o. through the Colorado amended Agreement."

"2. The COP HE will work with Energy Fuels in order to provide an opportunity for a public hearing regarding the issuance of the new license for the Pinon Ridge Uranium Mill and the Environmental Impact Analysis."

---Will CDPHE be putting the Pinon Ridge License on hold until there have been public hearings?

---Will CDPHE respond to such hearings by responding to all concerns raised?

---How will CDPHE be revising their regulation as described in the NRC letter?

---What is the agency estimated timeline for a hearing, for public comment? Will this timeline include a draft revised permit?

---Since NRC has accepted the fundamental argument of Mr Parsons that CDPHE failed to comply with public participation requirements of the law, how will this impact CDPHE response to Sheep Mountain's litigation of 2011?

Email is fine. I will try and write this up late tonight, unfortunately. You can reach me at times at (b)(6)

take care Dick Kamp