

## CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource

---

**From:** Arora, Surinder  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 19, 2012 10:42 AM  
**To:** Infanger, Paul; UNECC3Project@unistarnuclear.com  
**Cc:** CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource; Segala, John; Wilson, Anthony; Vrahoretis, Susan; Colaccino, Joseph; McNally, Richard; Miernicki, Michael; McLellan, Judith  
**Subject:** CCNPP3 - Draft RAI 366 EMB 6579  
**Attachments:** Draft RAI 366 EMB 6579.doc

Paul,

Attached is Draft RAI No. 366 (eRAI No. 6579) pertaining to section 3.2.2 of the Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 FSAR. You have until August 2, 2012 to review it and decide whether you need a conference call to discuss the RAI before the final issuance. After the phone call or after August 2, 2012, the RAI will be finalized and sent to you for your response. You will then have 30 days to provide a technically complete response or an expected response date for the RAI.

Thanks

**SURINDER ARORA, PE**  
**PROJECT MANAGER,**  
**Office of New Reactors**  
**US Nuclear Regulatory Commission**

Phone: 301 415-1421  
FAX: 301 415-6406  
Email: [Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov](mailto:Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov)

**Hearing Identifier:** CalvertCliffs\_Unit3Col\_RAI  
**Email Number:** 238

**Mail Envelope Properties** (B46615B367D1144982B324704E3BCEEDC6EDEE92D5)

**Subject:** CCNPP3 - Draft RAI 366 EMB 6579  
**Sent Date:** 7/19/2012 10:42:05 AM  
**Received Date:** 7/19/2012 10:42:06 AM  
**From:** Arora, Surinder

**Created By:** Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource" <CCNPP3eRAIPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Segala, John" <John.Segala@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Wilson, Anthony" <Anthony.Wilson@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Vrahoretis, Susan" <Susan.Vrahoretis@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Colaccino, Joseph" <Joseph.Colaccino@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"McNally, Richard" <Richard.McNally@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Miernicki, Michael" <Michael.Miernicki@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"McLellan, Judith" <Judith.McLellan@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Infanger, Paul" <paul.infanger@unistarnuclear.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"UNECC3Project@unistarnuclear.com" <UNECC3Project@unistarnuclear.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	689	7/19/2012 10:42:06 AM
Draft RAI 366 EMB 6579.doc	36858	

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

## **Request for Additional Information 366 (eRAI 6579)**

DRAFT

Issue Date: 7/19/2012

Application Title: Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 - Docket Number 52-016

Operating Company: UniStar

Docket No. 52-016

Review Section: 03.02.02 - System Quality Group Classification

Application Section: 3.2.2

### QUESTIONS

03.02.02-7

#### **Follow-up to RAI 182, Question 03.02.02-5**

The response to RAI 182 question 03.02.02-5 states that equipment lists, valve lists, and line lists containing quality group classification and other design information as well as Design Specifications and P&IDs associated with SSCs important to safety are currently scheduled to be available in the fourth quarter of 2012. In addition, in a related question, the response to RAI 124 Question 03.09.03□1, in addressing COL Information Item 3.9-2 provides dates for the expected availability of design specifications for audit and states that the design certification (DC) applicant will provide a sample of component design specifications to be audited.

Regarding this second question, staff determined that the COL applicant's response to RAI 124, Question 03.09.03□1 does not entirely resolve the staff's concern for auditable information and identifying which design documents are better addressed by the design certification applicant. In follow-up RAI 362, Question 3.9.3-86 the staff requested that the COLA address the issue of design specifications available for audit. If the design specifications available for audit are to be addressed by the DC applicant, the COL Information Item should either be revised or withdrawn. If this issue is not addressed by the DC applicant, the staff requested the COLA applicant to address the issue.

Accordingly, from the RAI responses for the questions described above, it is not clear which specific classification documents will be available to establish the basis (specific safety function) for equipment classifications and which SSCs will be included to support the COLA audit of design specifications. The COL applicant is requested to clarify which specific classification documents will be available to support the basis (specific safety function) for the classifications and clarify if the auditable documents for a COLA audit are limited to only site-specific equipment or if it is proposed to also include classification documents for the entire list of risk-significant SSCs in the design certification. Additionally, also provide a schedule for their availability because some of the dates previously provided in your previous response to RAI 124 have already lapsed.