

**Rulemaking Comments**

**PRM-50-104  
(77FR25375)**

DOCKETED  
USNRC

266

**From:** Lisa Perilli [la.spiaggia@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 16, 2012 11:25 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking Comments  
**Subject:** Comments on PRM-50-104, Docket ID NRC-2012-0046

July 17, 2012 (1:35 pm)  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Taking into consideration the turmoil of last August and September's natural disasters here in central Virginia, I must admit that Nuclear power worries me even more now. Why do we have to have it, and what are you doing to safeguard my family?

Current NRC regulations do not require that emergency exercises take into consideration an initiating or concurrent natural disaster that might further complicate accidents and subsequent evacuation efforts. At Fukushima, a natural disaster (coupled with faulty reactor design) initiated the disaster. Both Fukushima and the U.S. experience with Hurricane Katrina demonstrate the difficulties associated with evacuating when a natural disaster strikes that causes roadways to wash out.

Weather patterns are growing more extreme and dangerous. In 2011, hurricanes, earthquakes, and flooding caused damage to U.S. nuclear reactors. As such, emergency preparedness drills and exercises should include regionally appropriate natural disasters such as droughts, flooding, blizzards, earthquakes, wildfires, and hurricanes.

It is for all these reasons that I request that the NRC adopt the proposed rule expanding emergency planning zones to the respective 25, 50, and 100 mile zones and add a new requirement that emergency exercises include scenarios of regionally appropriate initiating or concurrent natural disasters.

Thank you,

Lisa Perilli

Richmond, VA 23225  
US

Template = SECY-067

DS10