

## NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

---

**From:** Jack Gadzala [jack.gadzala@dom.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 16, 2012 11:56 AM  
**To:** Feintuch, Karl  
**Subject:** RE: ME7727 Reactor Vessel Internals Inspection Plan Review - draft record of conference call on 6/25/2012

Karl,

The wording in italics is clear (in response to your enquiry below). We are preparing a supplement to the RAI response as suggested.

Jack

---

**From:** Feintuch, Karl [mailto:Karl.Feintuch@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 5:28 PM  
**To:** Jack Gadzala (Generation - 4)  
**Subject:** RE: ME7727 Reactor Vessel Internals Inspection Plan Review - draft record of conference call on 6/25/2012

The NRC staff reviewed the comments in the email of Monday, June 25, 2012, 4:11 PM below the list of attendees and provided the following interpretation of the conversation that took place:

*Regarding Guide Tube Split Pins [Item LAI-3 (page 6 of the December 11, 2011, submittal)], the staff enquired whether these pins are categorized as ASME Section XI, Code Item under the current licensing basis. Our response was that they are **not** so categorized.*

*As a follow-up, the staff enquired what, if any, inspections of these split pins (which were replaced in 2004) are planned.*

*After we described our elective inspection activities that can provide information on the condition of the guide tube split pins, the NRC staff suggested that, as one way to convey the information to the NRC staff in lieu of a follow-up RAI, we could supplement our RAI response to the inspection plan submittal with a description of any inspections that we plan on performing of the guide tube split pins, including a discussion of conformance to the NRC safety evaluation of MRP-227 for this item.*

To emphasize the recollection of the NRC staff, black font shows essentially the wording retained from your paragraphs below. Red font shows changes to the text that clarify the intended meaning of the discussion.

Please confirm whether this wording in italics is clear. The essence of the difference is that a supplement to the RAI response was a suggested means to convey information. If mutually understood, I will make the italics text part of the record.

---

**From:** Jack Gadzala [mailto:jack.gadzala@dom.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 3:48 PM  
**To:** Feintuch, Karl  
**Subject:** RE: ME7727 Reactor Vessel Internals Inspection Plan Review

Karl,

Now that you are settling back in, please follow up on our RAI confirmation request as hi-lited in blue font below (from our June 25<sup>th</sup> conference call).

Jack

---

**From:** Jack Gadzala (Generation - 4)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 25, 2012 4:11 PM  
**To:** 'Feintuch, Karl'  
**Subject:** ME7727 Reactor Vessel Internals Inspection Plan Review

Karl,

Below is the list of participants in today's call discussing inspections of guide tube split pins.

**Dominion Kewaunee**

Jack Gadzala  
Chuck Tomes  
Richard Reimer  
Phil Bukes  
Bill Zipp

**Westinghouse**

Jim Rex  
Cheryl Boggess  
Brian Wilson

**NRC Region II**

Al Butcavage

**NRR**

Karl Feintuch  
Al Hiser  
Ganesh Cheruvenki  
Jim Medoff  
et al

Regarding Guide Tube Split Pins [Item LAI-3 (page 6 of the December 11, 2011, submittal)], the staff enquired whether are these pins are categorized as ASME Section XI, Code Item under the current licensing basis. Our response was that they are not so categorized.

As a follow-up, the staff enquired what, if any, inspections of these split pins (which were replaced in 2004) are planned.

Our understanding from the call is that the staff (Al Hiser) requests that we supplement our RAI response to the inspection plan submittal with a description of any inspections that we plan on performing of the guide tube split pins, including a discussion of conformance to the NRC safety evaluation of MRP-227 for this item.

Please confirm the accuracy of our understanding and we can provide the requested supplement accordingly (as discussed during the call).

Thanks,

*Jack*  
Dominion KPS Licensing  
920-388-8604

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and/or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The

information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.

**Hearing Identifier:** NRR\_PMDA  
**Email Number:** 413

**Mail Envelope Properties** (9251C7B359BC3B4ABFF87866DB0BFFBB090FDDAF82)

**Subject:** RE: ME7727 Reactor Vessel Internals Inspection Plan Review - draft record of conference call on 6/25/2012

**Sent Date:** 7/16/2012 11:55:57 AM

**Received Date:** 7/16/2012 11:56:03 AM

**From:** Jack Gadzala

**Created By:** jack.gadzala@dom.com

**Recipients:**

"Feintuch, Karl" <Karl.Feintuch@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** DOM-MBX04.mbu.ad.dominionnet.com

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	4683	7/16/2012 11:56:03 AM

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard

**Return Notification:** No

**Reply Requested:** No

**Sensitivity:** Normal

**Expiration Date:**

**Recipients Received:**