

**U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION****Date:**

July 9, 2012

**TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD****Mail Control**

577545

**License No(s).**

12-28232-01

**Docket No(s).** 030-30661

**Name of Licensee:** H. W. Lochner, Inc.  
**Name of Participant(s):** John Cook, and Sattar Lodhi  
**Telephone No.** (312) 372 7346  
**Subject:** Name of RSO  
(NOTE: This will be used as the Documents Title in ADAMS)

The licensee requested consolidation of licensed activities authorized on another license into its license listed above. The letter also requested naming separate RSOs at each of its facilities. I called the licensee to explain that:

NRC regulations do not have provision for more than one RSO on a license;  
The license was being managed from RI office and their corporate office can remain as the mailing address;  
NRC policy requires that all correspondence be signed by a senior manager of the licensee.

The licensee stated that his name should be listed on the license as the RSO, and that he had requested that the license be managed from RIII because their HQ was in Chicago. I explained to him that they can still have their mailing address in Chicago. He stated that he now understands and he did not have any problem with the license being managed from RI. I also explained to him that NRC policy requires that the correspondence be signed by a senior manager of the licensee. He stated that he was Vice President of Human Resources.

**Action Required:** Document/Process the request**Document Availability:**

Publicly Available

Non-Publicly Available

Non-Sensitive  
 Sensitive – Privacy Act/ PII  
 Immediate Release

Non-Sensitive Copyright  
 Sensitive – Internal  
Normal Release Date: 7/17/2012

Sensitive- Proprietary  
 Sensitive – Security-Related  
Delayed Release Date:

**SUNSI Review Completed By:** Sattar Lodhi / RA /**Document Accession #:** \_\_\_\_\_