

### EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief: Kelly Clayton		Facility: FCS	Date of Written Exam: 4/13/2012	
Start of Op Test: 4/16/2012		End of Op Test: 4/20/2012		
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility		Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes
10/14/2011	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	6/13/2011	KDC	
12/9/2011	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	2/24/2011	KDC	
12/9/2011	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	2/24/2011	KDC	
12/9/2011	Corporate Notification Letter sent	2/24/2011	KDC	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE
<del>4/13/2012</del>	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	4/7/2011	KDC	ES-201 Att-3
<del>4/27/2012</del>	Integrated exam outlines due	1/27/2012	KDC	
2/3/2012	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	N/A	KDC	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC
2/3/2012	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	N/A	KDC	NRC written
2/24/2012	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	2/22/2012	KDC	delivered at Westrain meeting
3/9/2012	Peer review of written exam complete	2/10/2012	KDC	Document review on ES-401-9
3/9/2012	Preliminary license applications due	3/9/2012	KDC	NRC Forms 398/396
3/16/2012	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	3/19/2012	KDC	
3/16/2012	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3/19/2012	KDC	Finished Validation 3/19/12
3/16/2012	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	3/19/2012	KDC	onsite
3/12/2012	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	3/12/2012	KDC	onsite Val 3/12 -> 3/19
3/30/2012	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	3/30/2012	KDC	ES-201-4 prepared by LA
4/6/2012	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	4/9/2012	KDC	
4/6/2012	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	4/9/2012	KDC	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)
4/6/2012	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	4/6/2012	KDC	discussed w/ FCS
4/9/2012	Exam material to exam team	4/9/2012	KDC	however we are administers until 4/20
4/16/2012	Administer Operating Test	4/16/2012	KDC	
4/27/2012	Facility post-exam documentation due	4/27/2012	KDC	initial submittal incomplete
4/27/2012	NRC written exam grading completed	5/7/2012	KDC	second submittal 5/7/12 complete
4/27/2012	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	5/10/2012	KDC	ES-403-1 to BC - Didn't get exam comments until 5/7/12
5/4/2012	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	5/10/2012	KDC	Signed ES 303's to BC
5/11/2012	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	5/10/2012	KDC	
5/18/2012	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	5/10/2012	KDC	
5/18/2012	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	5/16/2012	KDC	
5/18/2012	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	5/15/2012	KDC	print Report-21
6/1/2012	Examination Report Issued - Exit 6/7/2012	7/06/2012	KDC	produced by CE (19 violations)
6/8/2012	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	6/6/12	KDC	SUNSI checklist to LA
6/15/2012	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	6/21/2012	KDC	

Facility: <b>FCS</b>		Date of Examination: <b>April 2012</b>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	TB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDC
a. Author <i>[Signature]</i> Printed Name/Signature <b>Theresa Buchanan</b> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <i>[Signature]</i> <b>Duane G Strickland</b> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <i>[Signature]</i> <b>Kelly Clayton</b> d. NRC Supervisor <b>MARK HARRIS</b> <i>[Signature]</i>		Date 1/27/12 2/27/12 2/27/12 4/5/12		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Facility: <b>FCS</b>		Date of Examination: <b>April 2012</b>		Operating Test Number:		
<b>1. General Criteria</b>				Initials		
				a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
<b>2. Walk-Through Criteria</b>				--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include:                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	TB	[Signature]	KDE	[Signature]	
<b>3. Simulator Criteria</b>				--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.				TB	[Signature]	KDE
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a.	Author	[Signature] <b>Theresa Buchanan</b>		<b>2/17/12</b>		
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	[Signature] <b>Duane G Strickland</b>		<b>2/27/12</b>		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	[Signature] <b>Kelby Clayton</b>		<b>2/27/12</b>		
d.	NRC Supervisor	[Signature] <b>Mark Haire</b>		<b>4/5/12</b>		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Fort Calhoun Station		Date of Exam: April 16, 2012		Scenario Numbers: 1213/4		Operating Test No.: 2012-01	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b*	c#		
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	TB	AW	KDC			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	TB	AW	KDC			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	TB	AW	KDC			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	TB	AW	KDC			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	TB	AW	KDC			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	TB	AW	KDC			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	TB	AW	KDC			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	TB	AW	KDC			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	TB	AW	KDC			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	TB	AW	KDC			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	TB	AW	KDC			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	TB	AW	KDC			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	TB	AW	KDC			
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)</b>		<b>Actual Attributes</b>		--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)		6/6/6/7	TB	AW	KDC	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	TB	2/2/2+2	TB	AW	KDC	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)		2/2/2/2	TB	AW	KDC	
4.	Major transients (1-2)		1/1/1/1	TB	AW	KDC	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)		2/2/2/2	TB	AW	KDC	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)		0/0/1/0	TB	AW	KDC	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	TB	3-2/2/2-3	TB	AW	KDC	

Facility: **FCS** Date of Exam: **April 2012** Exam Level: RO  SRO

Item Description	Initial		
	a	b*	c*
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	TB	SW	KDC
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	TB	SW	KDC
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	TB	SW	KDC
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	TB	SW	KDC
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	TB	SW	KDC
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New
	15 / 6	7 / 1	53 / 18
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A	
	34 / 7	41 / 18	
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	TB	SW	KDC
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	TB	SW	KDC
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	TB	SW	KDC
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	TB	SW	KDC

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	Theresa Buchanan	2/17/12
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Duane G Strickland	2/27/12
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Kelly Clayton	2/27/12
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Mark Haire	4/5/12

Note: \* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.  
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: FCS		Date of Exam: 4/13/2012	Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Item Description	Initials			
	a	b	c	
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	TDB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDE	
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	TDB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDE	
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	TDB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDE	
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	TDB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDE	
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	TDB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDE	
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	TDB	<i>[Signature]</i>	KDE	
Printed Name/Signature		Date		
a. Grader	T. Buchanan <i>[Signature]</i>	5/8/12		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	D. Strickland <i>[Signature]</i>	5/8/12		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	K. Clayton <i>[Signature]</i>	5/8/2012		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	M. Haire <i>[Signature]</i>	5/10/12		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.				

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

## From 04/01/2012 To 06/01/2012

Page 1 of 4  
 05/15/2012 09:39:46  
 Report 21  
 Region: 4  
 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
09/01/2011	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2012301 TAC #: X02483		Prep	NNN	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	BUCHANAN, THERESA D. CLAYTON, KELLY D. HERNANDEZ, NICHOLAS A. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. BUCHANAN, THERESA D. CLAYTON, KELLY D. HERNANDEZ, NICHOLAS A. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. BUCHANAN, THERESA D. CLAYTON, KELLY D. HERNANDEZ, NICHOLAS A. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.
04/16/2012	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2012301 TAC #: X02483	RO - 3 SROU - 2	Admin	NNN	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	
04/20/2012	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2012301 TAC #: X02483		Doc	NNN	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4/16/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4/16/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
Jerry Koske	Training Consultant	Jerry Koske	4/20/12	Jerry Koske	4/20/12	
Frank Chale	Supv. Ops TRANS (suppl)	Frank Chale	5/17/12	Frank Chale	5/17/12	
MARK L. BUTTNER	SUPV. SIM SERVICES	Mark L. Buttner	12/13/11	Mark L. Buttner	4/23/12	
Amal Chutts	Sig Software Specialist	Amal Chutts	12-13-11	Amal Chutts	4/25/12	
Monte L. Sears	Simulator Tech	Monte L. Sears	12-13-11	Monte L. Sears	4-23-12	
Robert R. Johnson	Simulator Software	Robert R. Johnson	12-13-11	Robert R. Johnson	4-23-12	
LENE E. LABS	CONTROLLER	LENE E. LABS	12/28/11	LENE E. LABS	4/13/12	
DAVE DORRAN	CONTROLLER	DAVE DORRAN	12/28/11	DAVE DORRAN	4-23-12	
Matthew Yttri	SI-Programmer Analyst	Matthew Yttri	12/28/11	Matthew Yttri	4-23-12	
MIKE KRENSKY	ENGINEER	MIKE KRENSKY	1/7/12	MIKE KRENSKY	4-23-12	
DONALD P. BONSABELL	OPS TRAINING SPECIALIST	Donald P. Bonsabell	01/23/12	Donald P. Bonsabell	4/23/12	
S. Iven E. Spurr	Ops Training I-L	S. Iven E. Spurr	01/23/12	S. Iven E. Spurr	4/23/12	
APRIL GIBBELLAUON	MGRE OPS TRNG & SIM	APRIL GIBBELLAUON	2/28/12	APRIL GIBBELLAUON	4/23/12	
Nick Shanks	LD	Nick Shanks	2/27/12	Nick Shanks	4/23/12	
Craig Longua	SM	Craig Longua	2/27/12	Craig Longua	4/24/12	

NOTES:



1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4/16/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4/16/12 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration. I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
SCOTT MORCK	STA	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/27/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/24/12
MIKE HULSWAY	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/20/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/24/12
JOHN MURPHY	CRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/22/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/24/12 0526
JOSEPH W. HILL	REG. GR. ENGINEER	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/5/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/27/12
SHAWN W. SHEMA	TRAINING SUP.	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/16/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/23/12
CHRISTOPHER J. HAYES	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/12/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/23/12
DAVID SPARGO	STA	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-12-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
DAVID MUMFORD	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-12-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
JULIE BISSON	CRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/13/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
DAVID GAUTREAU	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/14/12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
FRED KLAUZER	SM	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-14-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
BRYAN STANISLAU	RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-15-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
DIGAN GAGE	MAINT TRNG	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-19-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
DON KEYSER	MAINT TRNG	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-19-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12
MICHAEL SMITH	Ops MISC	<i>[Signature]</i>	4-1-12	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/12

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4/16/12 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4/16/12 - 4/19/12 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration. I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. William J. Blesie	Senior Operations Supervisor	William Blesie	4/16/12	William Blesie	4/23/12
2. Gene Creamer	Shift Manager	[Signature]	4/16/12	[Signature]	4/23/12
3. Barton Schawe	Control Room Supervisor	[Signature]	4/16/12	[Signature]	4/23/12
4. Luis Alvarez	Reactor Operator	[Signature]	4/16/12	[Signature]	4/23/12
5. Rick Morris	NLO Instructor	[Signature]	4/16/12	[Signature]	4-23-12
6. Rodin Fisher	OPS TRAINING STIC	[Signature]	4-15-12	[Signature]	4-23-12
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES: