

## MFFFPEm Resource

---

**From:** Tiktinsky, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:52 AM  
**To:** MFFFHearingFile Resource  
**Subject:** FW: Presentation for NRC Public Meeting  
**Attachments:** image003.jpg; MPQAP REV 11 May 2012.pptx; ATT00003.txt; ATT00004.htm

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

---

**From:** Yates, Douglas A. [<mailto:DAYates@moxproject.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:48 AM  
**To:** Tiktinsky, David  
**Cc:** Gwyn, Dealis W.  
**Subject:** FW: Presentation for NRC Public Meeting

Dave,  
Attached is the slides from the last meeting as requested.

Doug

---

**From:** Adams, Crystal W.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:37 AM  
**To:** Yates, Douglas A.  
**Subject:** FW: Presentation for NRC Public Meeting

Here you go! ☺

*Crystal W. Adams*

Personnel Security/Access Control Lead



Savannah River Site, F-Area

706-5F (BAD), 2nd floor, Suite 201, Rm. 204

Direct: #803-819-2863 / Fax: #803-819-2376

Blackberry: #803-443-4684

E-mail: [CWAdams@moxproject.com](mailto:CWAdams@moxproject.com)

**Hearing Identifier:** MixedOxideFuelFabricationFacility\_Public  
**Email Number:** 245

**Mail Envelope Properties** (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED17DB1704EDC)

**Subject:** FW: Presentation for NRC Public Meeting  
**Sent Date:** 5/30/2012 11:51:45 AM  
**Received Date:** 5/30/2012 11:51:51 AM  
**From:** Tiktinsky, David

**Created By:** David.Tiktinsky@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"MFFFHearingFile Resource" <MFFFHearingFile.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	766	5/30/2012 11:51:51 AM
image003.jpg	2523	
MPQAP REV 11 May 2012.pptx		298706
ATT00003.txt	768	
ATT00004.htm	782	

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:** Follow up





# **MOX Project Quality Assurance Plan (MPQAP) Revision 11**

*MOX SAFETY FUELS THE FUTURE*

## Objective

- Demonstrate MPQAP changes are consistent with 10CFR50 Appendix B and do not adversely impact 10CFR70.61 performance requirements

## Augmented QA Program

- A graded QA program applied to a broad scope of IROFS.
- For MOX Services, the scope is IROFS whose importance to safety has been determined to be low by nuclear safety evaluation



# Quality Assurance

- 10CFR50 Appendix B criterion 2
  - QA requirements shall be applied to items commensurate with their importance to safety
- NQA-1 1994/1995a states, in Basic Requirement 2
  - The QA program shall provide control over activities affecting quality to an extent consistent with their importance

# Management Measures

- 10CFR 70.62(d)
  - the safety program may be graded such that management measures applied are graded commensurate with the reduction of the risk attributable to the item



- 10CFR70.61 highly unlikely approach
  - Application of single failure criteria or double contingency (for nuclear criticality)
  - Application of industry codes and standards
  - Management measures, including surveillance of IROFS (i.e., failure detection, repair, or process shutdown capability)
  - Application of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B and NQA-1

*[LA 5.2.5.2]*

# MPQAP Changes & ISA Methodology

## ISA Methodology

- Application of single failure criteria or double contingency (for nuclear criticality)
- Application of industry codes and standards

## MPQAP Change Impact

- No impact
- No impact

# MPQAP Changes & ISA Methodology

## ISA Methodology

- Application of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B and NQA-1
- Management measures, including surveillance of IROFS (i.e., failure detection, repair, or process shutdown capability)

## MPQAP Change Impact

- Comply with commitment; applied commensurate with importance to safety
- No impact with exception of QA management measure; comply with commitment



# MPQAP Change Comparison (QL-1LR)

## Current

- No IROFS importance to safety ranking criteria
- Technical and quality evaluation of supplier capability for QL-1 suppliers

## Proposed

- Added IROFS importance to safety ranking criteria
- Use of nationally recognized accreditation for QL-1LR supplier qualification
  - SSCs – accreditation specific to product and process to produce product
  - Laboratories – accreditation specific to analysis type and ISO 17025

# MPQAP Change Comparison

## Current

- Items procured from NQA-1 suppliers. Only items procured as QL-1 commercial grade are dedicated as basic components
- Acceptance inspections for QL-1 items performed by certified Quality Control inspectors

## Proposed

- QL-1LR items procured from commercial suppliers. QL-1LR items dedicated as basic component after acceptance
- Acceptance inspections for QL-1LR items by peer inspection



# MPQAP Change Comparison

## Current

- Audits performed on quality activities and processes

## Proposed

- Focus audits on IROFS whose importance to safety is high

# MPQAP Changes IROFS Ranking Criteria

- IROFS ranking shall be a function of the likelihood of failure and the consequence of that failure

# MPQAP Changes IROFS Ranking Criteria

- Likelihood criteria
  - frequency of the initiating event
  - reliability of the IROFS control group
  - surveillance of the IROFS
  - the safety margin from normal operations to the safety limit



# MPQAP Changes IROFS Ranking Criteria

- Consequence criteria
  - monitoring versus controlling function of the IROFS
  - consequences associated with the IROFS control group failure
  - safety margin from the safety limit to the event consequences
  - additional protection features

# MPQAP Changes Procurement

- SSCs - use of suppliers based on nationally/internationally recognized independent accreditation
  - Test/qualification report in conjunction with normal construction/preoperational/startup testing is sufficient to provide reasonable assurance item will perform its IROFS function
  - Accreditation evaluation of technical and quality capability of process controls sufficient to provide reasonable assurance items are representative of items tested
  - Current certification of accreditation, or equivalent
  - Supplemental controls established as needed
  - Items designated as basic component upon acceptance



# MPQAP Changes Procurement

- Laboratory testing
  - Accreditation organization, domestic or foreign, that are subject to International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA)
  - Current certificate of accreditation that covers the analysis scope
  - Foreign suppliers authorized for calibration services
  - Identification of laboratory equipment/standards use, as appropriate

# MPQAP Changes Procurement

- Allows documentation in procurement specification
  - Safety function
  - Critical characteristics
  - Verification method
  - Acceptance criteria
  - Basis for selection
- Normal receipt inspection
  - Confirm/document completion of verification requirements
  - Designation of the item as basic component

# MPQAP Changes Procurement

- Reference Facility
  - SSC Procurements – Used Accredited Suppliers
  - Laboratories – Used Accredited Suppliers
  - Safety Performance – Consistent with ISA Assumptions
- Accredited Laboratories
  - CMTR Review Results – High Confidence
  - French Laboratories Qualifications - Satisfactory



# MPQAP Changes Inspections

- Use of qualified personnel other than quality control inspectors
  - Same training and qualification as Level II QA inspector in accordance with MPQAP 2.2.6.H
  - Will not be certified as Level II inspector

# MPQAP Changes QA Records

- IROFS safety ranking evaluations maintained as lifetime records



## Audits

- Items whose importance to safety is low
  - Primarily evaluated by assessment, surveillance or performance monitoring

## Conclusion

- Augmented QA Program for QL-1LR IROFS
  - Complies with 10CFR50 Appendix B
  - Complies with ISA methodology in LA Chapter 5 for compliance with 10CFR70.61

\*\*\*\*Internet Email Confidentiality Footer\*\*\*\*

Privileged/Company Confidential Information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for deliver

\*\*\*\*Internet Email Confidentiality Footer\*\*\*\*

Privileged/Company Confidential Information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person), you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and notify the sender by reply email. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to Internet email for messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions, and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Shaw Areva MOX Services LLC or its subsidiaries shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it.