

From: [Ullrich, Elizabeth](#)
To: ["Wilds, Edward"](#)
Cc: [Joustra, Judith](#); [Jackson, Todd](#)
Subject: RE: NEAM License Amendment
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 3:26:00 PM

Ed,

The licensing information looks fine, but there is a small problem with the Certification of Financial Assurance (CFA).

On this CFA document, all of the NRC materials are listed whether or not FA was required for them, and the forms of the materials are not listed. This makes it look as if you require a DFP because the quantities for the sealed sources are high; however, you require FA only for the unsealed byproduct materials in 'any' form, and the unsealed SNM (U233) in unsealed form. Everything else is authorized in quantities that do not require FA. The problem is that the materials, as listed on the CFA, make it appear that you are authorized for unsealed materials in quantities that do exceed the amount for requiring a DFP. You need to remove those listing which are for sealed sources; also the item for BPM with half-lives less than 120 days can be removed as you don't require FA for that.

The only items that must be listed are those four items for which you are providing financial assurance.

We plan to issue the amendment this week, and will open up a separate financial assurance action to botain the replacement CFA. Let me know if you are okay with this.

Thanks,
Betsy

From: Wilds, Edward [mailto:Edward.Wilds@ct.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2012 4:14 PM
To: Ullrich, Elizabeth
Subject: NEAM License Amendment
Importance: Low

Betsy:
Here is an electronic copy of everything we put in the mail today.

Ed