

2012-0119

4

RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST

RESPONSE TYPE FINAL PARTIAL

REQUESTER
Debbie Grinnell

DATE
JUN 04 2012

PART I. -- INFORMATION RELEASED

- No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.
- Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.
- APPENDICES Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- APPENDICES F, G Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the listed appendices are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room.
- Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738.
- APPENDICES F, G Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.
- Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.
- We are continuing to process your request.
- See Comments.

PART I.A -- FEES

- AMOUNT * You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed. None. Minimum fee threshold not met.
- \$ You will receive a refund for the amount listed. Fees waived.
- * See comments for details

PART I.B -- INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELD FROM DISCLOSURE

- No agency records subject to the request have been located.
- Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II.
- This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)

The incoming request is in ADAMS at ML12025A287.

SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER

Donna L. Sealing
Donna L. Sealing

RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST

2012-0119

JUN 04 2012

PART II.A -- APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS

APPENDICES
G, H

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).

- Exemption 1: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12958.
- Exemption 2: The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of NRC.
- Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.
 - Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
 - Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
 - 41 U.S.C., Section 253b, subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.
- Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
 - The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
 - The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1).
 - The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2).
 - Disclosure will harm an identifiable private or governmental interest.
- Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges:
 - Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
 - Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation)
 - Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client)
- Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
- Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated.
 - (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrong doing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators).
 - (C) Disclosure could constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
 - (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.
 - (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.
 - (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual.
- OTHER (Specify)
Outside of Scope

PART II.B -- DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECY	IG
William M. Dean	Regional Administrator, Region I	Appendix G	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Eric J. Leeds	Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation	Appendix H	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."

APPENDIX F
RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN THEIR ENTIRETY
(If copyrighted identify with *)

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)</u>
1.	Undated	Draft letter from D. Roberts, Region I to J. Jolicoeur, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (6 pages)
2.	Undated	Draft letter from D. Roberts, Region I to R. Nelson, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (6 pages)
3.	Undated	TIA for Seabrook ASR Issue: GT thoughts (6/28/11) for internal consideration only. (3 pages)
4.	Undated	Comments by WJR on Draft TIA for ASR at Seabrook. (21 pages)
5.	Undated	Draft letter from J. Clifford, Region I to R. Nelson, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (10 pages)
6.	Undated	Draft letter from J. Clifford, Region I to R. Nelson, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (10 pages)
7.	Undated	Draft letter from J. Clifford, Region I to R. Nelson, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (10 pages)
8.	Undated	Paper – Inspection Planned for Week of 9/26/11 with Modes, Chaudhary, Thomas, Sheikh, and Erickson, USNRC. (2 pages)
9.	Undated	Draft letter from C. Miller, Region I to P. Freeman, Site Vice President, North Region, NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC; Subject: Seabrook Station – NRC Inspection Report 05000443/2011010, w/handwritten notes. (3 pages)
10.	Undated	Draft letter from C. Miller, Region I to P. Freeman, Site Vice President, North Region, NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC; Subject: Seabrook Station – NRC Inspection Report 05000443/2011010, w/handwritten notes. (23 pages)
11.	Undated	Draft letter from C. Miller, Region I to P. Freeman, Site Vice President, North Region, NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC; Subject: Seabrook Station – NRC Inspection Report 05000443/2011010. (23 pages)

12. 12/16/11 E-mail from A. Burritt, Region I to W. Raymond, Region I, et al; Subject: Seabrook concrete Inspection Timeline. (3 pages)
13. Undated Draft letter from D. Roberts, Region I to J. Jolicoeur, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (5 pages)
14. Undated Memorandum from J. Clifford, Region I to R. Nelson, NRR; Subject: Request for Technical Assistance, Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction. (10 pages)
15. 01/19/12 E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to R. Conte, Region I, et al; Subject: RE: NRC Exit Meeting –ASR PI&R Sample Inspection. (2 pages)
16. 01/23/12 E-mail from H. Cruz, NRR to J. Jolicoeur, NRR; Subject: FW: NRC Exit Meeting –ASR PI&R Sample Inspection. (2 pages)

**APPENDIX G
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	Undated	Region 1 Comments on Draft TIA for Seabrook ASR Issue. (8 pages) / EX 5
2.	03/08/11	E-mail from Bill Raymond, Region I to Art Burritt, Region I; Subject: Seabrook Proposed Presentation for MR Violation. (10 pages) / EX 6
3.	10/21/11	.From George Thomas, NRR to Bill Raymond, Region I; Subject: re: Follow on Information Request for TIA REG Seabrook ASR. (4 pages) / EX 5
4.	12/09/11	From Rich Conte, Region I to Chris Miller, Region I; Subject: re: Seabrook Concrete Inspection Strategy– DJR, PRW, R. Conte, A. Burritt. (2 pages) / EX 5
5.	12/09/11	Strategy Meeting Seabrook ASR 10:00am 12/9/11; Seabrook Concrete Degradation. (9 pages) / EX 5
6.	01/05/12	E-mail from Bill Raymond, Region I to Rich Conte, Region I; Subject: TIA Summary & CAL. (3 pages) / EX 6
7.	01/12/12	E-mail from Rich Conte, Region I to Chris Miller, Region I; Subject: re: Region I Comments on Seabrook TIA. (10 pages) / EX 5, 6
8.	Undated	Preliminary Draft Response to Request for Technical Assistance for Seabrook Station Alkali-Silica Reaction Degradation of Concrete. (21 pages) / EX 5
9.	11/17/11	E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to A. Burritt, Region I, et al; Subject: Counterproposal from Seabrook on ASR Meeting. (6 pages). / EX 5
10.	11/17/11	E-mail from D. Morey, NRR to R. Conte, Region I, et al; Subject: RE: Counterproposal from Seabrook on ASR Meeting. (2 pages) / EX 5
11.	12/20/11	E-mail from G. Thomas, NRR to A. Sheikh, NRR, et al; Subject: Predecisional – Official Use Only: Preliminary Draft Response for Comment reg. Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR) issue at Seabrook. (23 pages) / EX 5
12.	Undated	Seabrook Concrete Degradation – Region I Engineering Branch No. 1 (EB 1); Seabrook Concrete Degradation & Implications to Part 50 & 54, September 8, 2011, 3:00 pm to 4:00 pm. (14 pages) / EX 6

13. 12/20/11 E-mail from G. Thomas, NRR to H. Cruz, NRR; Subject: FW: Briefing Materials for Tomorrow's Call at 2:00 pm on Seabrook ASR. (3 pages) / **EX 6**
14. Undated Seabrook Concrete Degradation – Region I Engineering Branch No. 1 (EB 1); Seabrook Concrete Degradation & Implications to Part 50 & 54, December 20 2011, 2:00 pm, w/handwritten notes. (11 pages) / **EX 5, 6**
15. 01/06/12 E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to A. Burritt, Region I, et al; Subject: SBK ASR Standalone Report. (20 pages). **EX 6**
16. 01/12/12 E-mail from B. Miller, NRR to H. Cruz, NRR; Subject: FW: Seabrook ASR TIA Draft 7 GT Comments 8-12-11. (2 pages) / **EX 5**
17. 01/13/12 E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to H. Cruz, NRR, et al; Subject: Comments on Seabrook TIA Consolidated for Region I RE: TIA Status Report. (2 pages) / **EX 5**
18. 1/19/12 E-mail re/Draft Seabrook Report. (8 pages total, 3 pages released) / **EX 5, 6**
19. 12/06/11 E-mail from C. Miller, Region I to R. Conte, Region I, et al; Subject: RE: For Your Review – Revised Public Meeting Notice – Seabrook ASR – January 19, 2012. (2 pages) / **EX 5**
20. 01/22/12 E-mail from G. Thomas, NRR to M. Murphy, NRR; Subject: RE: Seabrook SR exit. (2 pages) / **EX 5**
21. 01/23/12 E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to S. Chaudhary, Region I, et al; Subject: Seabrook ASR Report Standalone. (37 pages total, 24 pages released) / **EX 6, Portion Outside of Scope**
22. 01/19/12 E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to W. Raymond, Region I, et al; Subject: Report Bolded for Key Points to be made in Exit Meeting. (25 pages) / **EX 6**
23. Undated E-mail; Subject: NRC Exit Meeting – ASR PI&R Sample Inspection. (1 page) / **EX 6**

**APPENDIX H
RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY**

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS</u>
1.	07/13/11	E-mail from R. Conte, Region I to M. Modes, Region I, et al; Subject: RE: What to inspect for Seabrook ASR in August or Sep time frame. (2 pages) / EX 5, 6
2.	Undated	TIA Summary / Elements of a Proposed CAL. (2 pages) / EX 5
3.	11/14/11	E-mail from G. Thomas, NRR to R. Conte, Region I; Auject: RE: Seabrook ASR Discussion – Internal to NRC Staff. (5 pages) / EX 5