

Benjamin C. Waldrep 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28202

Mailing Address: Mail Code EC07H / P.O. Box 1006 Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

704-382-8162

704-382-4541 fax

10 CFR 50.54(f)

June 8, 2012

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) ATTN: Document Control Desk 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Subject: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy)

Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS), Units 1, 2 and 3 Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, 50-287 Renewed License Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55

McGuire Nuclear Station (MNS), Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370 Renewed License Nos. NPF-9 and NPF-17

Catawba Nuclear Station (CNS), Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414 Renewed License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52

Response to NRC Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding the Seismic Aspects of Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident

 Reference: 1. NRC Letter, Request for Information Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendations 2.1, 2.3, and 9.3, of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-Ichi Accident; dated March 12, 2012.

On March 12, 2012, the NRC staff issued Reference 1. Enclosure 1 of Reference 1 contains specific requested actions and requested Information associated with Recommendation 2.1, Seismic Evaluations. Reference 1 requires that, if an addressee cannot meet the requested response date, it must provide a response to the NRC within 90 days of the date of the information request describing the licensee's proposed alternative course of action, the basis for the acceptability of the proposed alternative course of action, and the estimated completion dates.

Duke Energy cannot determine if it can meet the requested response dates for submitting the Seismic Hazard Evaluation and the Seismic Risk Evaluation (items 1 through 9 of Reference 1) until the Screening, Prioritization and Implementation Details (SPID) guidance is endorsed by the NRC.

ADDI

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission June 8, 2012 Page 2

Within 60 days of NRC endorsement of the SPID guidance, Duke Energy will submit: (1) its intention to follow the endorsed guidance, or (2) an alternative approach. At that time, Duke Energy will assess whether it can meet the submittal deadlines for the Seismic Hazard Evaluation and Seismic Risk Evaluation and take the appropriate regulatory action.

This is Duke Energy's consolidated response for all of its licensed operating plants (ONS Units 1, 2 and 3; MNS Units 1 and 2; and CNS Units 1 and 2). This response contains no new regulatory commitments.

Should you have questions concerning the content of this letter, please contact Jeff Thomas at (704) 382-3438.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on the 8th day of June, 2012.

Respectfully,

By Chap

Benjamin C. Waldrep Vice President, Nuclear Corporate

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission June 8, 2012 Page 3

XC:

V.M. McCree, Region II Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Avenue NE, Suite 1200 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1257

Eric J. Leeds, Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North, Mailstop 13-H16M 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

John Boska, Project Manager (ONS) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North, Mailstop 8 G9A 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

J.H. Thompson, Project Manager (MNS and CNS) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North, Mailstop 8 G9A 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Andy Sabisch NRC Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

J. Zeiler NRC Senior Resident Inspector McGuire Nuclear Station

G.A. Hutto, III NRC Senior Resident Inspector Catawba Nuclear Station

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-001