

## PMVictoriaESPPEm Resource

---

**From:** Terry, Tomeka  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 09, 2012 8:00 PM  
**To:** Joshua.Trembley@exeloncorp.com  
**Cc:** VictoriaESP Resource; Hsia, Anthony; Wescott, Konstance L.; kfischer@anl.gov  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Cumulative Impacts RAIs  
**Attachments:** Cumulative Impacts RAI 6431.doc

JT,

Attached is cumulative impacts RAIs. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks!

---

**From:** [Joshua.Trembley@exeloncorp.com](mailto:Joshua.Trembley@exeloncorp.com) [<mailto:Joshua.Trembley@exeloncorp.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 09, 2012 5:13 PM  
**To:** Terry, Tomeka  
**Subject:** Draft Cumulative Impacts RAIs

Hi Tomeka,

I just received your VM regarding the CUMs RAIs. I don't recall receiving an e-mail from you with the draft RAIs – my apologies if I somehow missed or lost your e-mail.

Either way, I'd like to get a look at the drafts before we decide whether we need a call or not. Please resend the draft RAIs at your earliest convenience, and I will get back to you ASAP.

Thanks a bunch and have good evening,  
JT

610-765-5345

\*\*\*\*\* This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain Exelon Corporation proprietary information, which is privileged, confidential, or subject to copyright belonging to the Exelon Corporation family of Companies. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or action taken in relation to the contents of and attachments to this e-mail is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout. Thank You. \*\*\*\*\*

**Hearing Identifier:** Victoria\_ESP\_Public  
**Email Number:** 656

**Mail Envelope Properties** (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED17A332F2FAD)

**Subject:** RE: Draft Cumulative Impacts RAIs  
**Sent Date:** 5/9/2012 8:00:26 PM  
**Received Date:** 5/9/2012 8:00:28 PM  
**From:** Terry, Tomeka

**Created By:** Tomeka.Terry@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"VictoriaESP Resource" <VictoriaESP.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Hsia, Anthony" <Anthony.Hsia@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Wescott, Konstance L." <wescott@anl.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"kfischer@anl.gov" <kfischer@anl.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Joshua.Trembley@exeloncorp.com" <Joshua.Trembley@exeloncorp.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1599	5/9/2012 8:00:28 PM
Cumulative Impacts RAI 6431.doc		32250

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

Request for Additional Information No. 6431 Revision 0

Victoria County Station ESP  
Exelon Texas  
Docket No. 52-042  
SRP Section: ESP EIS 7.0 - Cumulative Impacts  
Application Section: Part 3, Environmental Report Cumulative Impacts

QUESTIONS for Environmental Projects Branch 2 (RAP2)

ESP EIS 7.0-1

ESRP 4.7 and 5.11 direct the staff to obtain information from the applicant about past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions (Federal, non-Federal, and private) that could have meaningful cumulative impacts on any resource area considered in the EIS, along with a characterization of their impacts for assessment of environmental consequences. The ER does not address cumulative impacts (or does not specify the results of the analysis) for all resource areas (e.g., cultural, visual, and air quality) for actions in the vicinity of the proposed site. If an analysis was performed and the anticipated impact is low or non-existent (i.e., an activity has no significant impact), if the activity impacts only one resource area, or if the analysis was not performed, this should be stated. The ER does not identify or analyze the cumulative impacts of some known past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions in the vicinity of the proposed site (e.g., the GBRA infrastructure upgrade, US-77 upgrade, hydraulic fracturing activities, Caterpillar plant, DuPont modernization), or does not analyze the cumulative impacts for all resource areas (e.g., heavy haul road). Cumulative impacts from past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions must also be considered for all alternative sites, and for all resource areas. The ER does not identify or analyze the cumulative impacts of activities in the vicinity of the four alternative sites.

(a) Provide a list and descriptions of current and future projects/activities in the vicinity of the proposed VCS site that should be considered in cumulative impact analysis.

(b) Provide copies of all correspondence and documentation of personal communications used to support the cumulative impact analysis presented in the ER, including discussions with local government authorities on current or future activities/projects (public or private) in the vicinity of the VCS site (and alternative sites).