

May 10, 2012

From: Duyen.Pham@emerson.com [<mailto:Duyen.Pham@emerson.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2012 2:36 PM
To: Lipscomb, George
Subject: RE: NRC inspection report response

Hi George,

I called and left a voice message and I am following up with an email.

Reviewing our response internally, questions came up in regards to page 13 of the report:

“Material substitutions: The inspectors noted during reviews of a RNII controlled electrical drawing, associated with a component installed on an ICB, that the drawing contained the following statement: “Higher reliability or tighter tolerance devices meeting all other drawing requirements may be substituted for standard devices as called out by the drawing.” However, because RNII is performing commercial grade dedication of this commercially supplied product dedicated via the commercial survey method, they need to understand and evaluate all changes to ensure the validity of the dedication. This is considered more than minor because RNII could not provide justification that material substitutions made by their commercial supplier were ever evaluated as equivalent to the originally specified components.”

I don't recall discussing this specifically during a daily closeout meeting or the exit meeting. Could you please provide some more insight on this nonconformance? Perhaps a teleconference would be helpful. My schedule is open on Friday if you are available.

As I mentioned on the phone, to get a better understanding of this nonconformance and respond accordingly, we would like to request a 2 week extension until May 10th.

Regards,

Duyen Pham | T +1 952 949 5363