

**From:** Frumkin, Daniel  
**Sent:** Friday, April 27, 2012 3:59 PM  
**To:** 'paul@beyondnuclear.org'  
**Cc:** James, Lois; Schmitt, Ronald; Crutchley, Julie; Klein, Alex  
**Subject:** RE: Concerns Regarding Oyster Creek Fire Protection

Mr. Gunter,

I am responding to your April 9, 2012, email regarding the follow-up to your Oyster Creek fire protection concerns.

In none of your three concerns was OB-FZ-6B specifically referenced. It has been the NRC staff's position that Thermo-Lag 330-1 is combustible. Based on the NRC staff evaluation, the manual actions requested in the exemption request are considered acceptable, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12, even in the presence of the Thermo-Lag in OB-FZ-6B.

The staff has no plans for remediation action.

Thank you,

Daniel Frumkin, Team Leader  
Fire Protection Branch  
Division of Risk Assessment  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

---

**From:** Crutchley, Julie  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 10, 2012 7:41 AM  
**To:** Frumkin, Daniel; Schmitt, Ronald  
**Cc:** James, Lois  
**Subject:** FW: Concerns Regarding Oyster Creek Fire Protection

Gents, fyi.

---

**From:** Paul Gunter [<mailto:paul@beyondnuclear.org>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 09, 2012 11:32 PM  
**To:** Crutchley, Julie  
**Cc:** James, Lois; Schmitt, Ronald; Richard Webster  
**Subject:** Re: Concerns Regarding Oyster Creek Fire Protection

Greetings,

Per your request I am replying in receipt of NRC's finding and the dismissal of my fire protection allegation for Exelon's Oyster Creek nuclear power plant.

The agency's response at present fails to address or specifically reference fire zone OB-FZ-6B and the combustible loading from the presence of unprotected Thermo-Lag 330-1 in Oyster Creek which is central to my allegation.

Please provide me with a written response from the agency with regard to its finding specific to OB-FZ-6B as addressed in the 1998 Thermo-Lag 330-1 Order as a high priority for specified remediation actions, represented to NRC by Exelon in its 2001 closeout of the Order as completing the specified remediation actions and then subsequently identified as contributing to approximately 29% of the combustible loading for this same fire zone in 2010 RAI for the 2009 exemption request.

I plan to contact Ms. James by telephone with this same request.

Thank you,  
Paul Gunter

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 9, 2012, at 1:46 PM, "Crutchley, Julie" <[Julie.Crutchley@nrc.gov](mailto:Julie.Crutchley@nrc.gov)> wrote:

Dear Mr. Gunter,

The NRC has completed its review of the concerns you raised relating to the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. An advance copy of our letter describing NRC's review and conclusions is attached; the original signed letter will be sent to you via certified mail.

Please acknowledge your receipt of this message and its attachment via return email. We would like to keep a copy of your receipt for our records.

Thank you for bringing these matters to our attention. If you have any further questions or comments, please contact Ms. Lois James ([Lois.James@nrc.gov](mailto:Lois.James@nrc.gov)) or Mr. Ron Schmitt ([Ron.Schmitt@nrc.gov](mailto:Ron.Schmitt@nrc.gov)), or call either, toll free, at 1-800-368-5642.

Regards,  
Julie Crutchley

<image001.png>

**JULIE A. CRUTCHLEY**

Allegation Administrative Assistant  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

*Email:* [Julie.Crutchley@nrc.gov](mailto:Julie.Crutchley@nrc.gov)

*Phone:* 301-415-2298/1-800-368-5642

*Fax:* 301-415-3325