Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

South Texas Project Units 3 & 4

Docket Number:

52-12-COL and 52-13-COL

DOCKETED USNRC

April 24, 2012 (11:00 a.m.)

ASLBP Number:

09-885-08-COL-BD01

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Location:

teleconference

Date:

Tuesday, April 17, 2012

Work Order No.:

NRC-1561

Pages 1924-1931

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

Template dery-032

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL
5	. + + + +
6	PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE
7	
8	x
9	In the Matter of: : Docket No. 52-12-COL
10	: and 52-13-COL
11	NUCLEAR INNOVATION NORTH : ASLBP No.
12	AMERICA LLC : 09-885-08-COL-BD01
13	(South Texas Project :
14	Units 3 and 4) :
15	x
16	Tuesday, April 17, 2012
17	Teleconference
18	BEFORE:
19	MICHAEL M. GIBSON, Chair
20	GARY S. ARNOLD, Administrative Judge
21	RANDALL J. CHARBENEAU, Administrative Judge
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	On Beh	alf of the Applicant:
3		STEVEN P. FRANTZ, ESQ.
4		JOHN MATTHEWS, ESQ.
5	of:	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
6		1111 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
7		Washington, D.C. 20004
8		(202)739-5460 (FRANTZ)
9	On Beh	alf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
10		MICHAEL SPENCER, ESQ.
11	of:	Office of the General Counsel
12		Mail Stop - 0-15 D21
13		U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
14		Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
15		(301)415-4073
16	On beh	alf of the Intervenor:
17	:	ROBERT V. EYE, ESQ.
18		BRETT A. JARMER, ESQ.
19	of:	Kaufman & Eye
20		112 SW 6th Ave, Suite 202
21		Topeka, Kansas 66603
22		(785) 234-4040
23		
24		
	1.1	

25

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 1:00 P.M. 3 CHAIR GIBSON: Let's have counsel identify themselves and their party for the court reporter, 4 5 please. 6 MR. FRANTZ: This Steve Frantz. T have 7 We're counsel for the John Matthews with me. 8 Applicant. 9 MR. SPENCER: This is Michael Spencer representing the NRC staff. 10 This is Robert Eye and Brett 11 MR. EYE: 12 We represent the Intervenors. Jarmer. 13 CHAIR GIBSON: Thank you very much. don't think we'll be needing to take too much of your 14 15 time, but we got the Joint Motion for Entry of an Amended Protective Order and we wanted to talk with 16 17 you all about that a little while. 18 The question, I think, that came to Judge 19 Arnold, Judge Charbeneau, and I was what the nature of 20 the proprietary information is that is involved here. 21 MR. FRANTZ: Judge Gibson, this is Steve 22 Frantz. The Intervenors have requested a copy of the

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

don't believe that's relevant to the contention, we

want to cooperate with the Intervenors and therefore,

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

loan agreement between TANE and NINA.

23

24

25

Although we

we're prepared to give them a copy of that loan 1 2 agreement subject to the protective order. 3 CHAIR GIBSON: this it's Ιs basically in the nature of commercial and financial 4 5 information. 6 MR. FRANTZ: That's correct. 7 What occurred to us is is CHAIR GIBSON: 8 there any reason why we cannot simply have an agreed 9 protective order that addresses -- that is confined to trade secrets or commercial or financial information 10 under 10 CFR 2.390(a)(4), rather than having any 11 12 association with SUNSI per se. 13 MR. FRANTZ: That would be permissible, 14 but I guess we wrote the protective order a bit more 15 broadly in case there's something I expected that 16 arises in the future that we won't need to come back 17 to the Board once again. MR. SPENCER: Also -- this is Michael 18 19 Spencer -- the protective order is written currently as superseding the previous protective order governing 20 security-related information. So if the protective 21 order were to be more limited, it either should 22 23 encompass proprietary and the previous security-24 related information or I guess be а separate

protective order.

25

1	CHAIR GIBSON: Right. I guess what I
2	think we would like to see is a protective order
3	that's focused on the FC-1 and is confined to the
4	trade secrets and commercial and financial information
5	that you don't want to be out there in the public
6	domain, but that, of course, you're willing to share
7	with the Intervenors. And I think if we could do
8	that, then hopefully it won't really affect any
9	existing protective order that's in place with respect
10	to these proceedings, but it would just be something
11	in addition to it that would be focused on contingent
12	FC-1.
13	MR. FRANTZ: Judge Gibson, this is Steve
14	Frantz. We're prepared to accept your recommendation
15	and we have no problem with that.
16	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, Mr. Eye?
17	MR. EYE: Yes, thank you, Judge Gibson.
18	This is Robert Eye. We, too, would have no objection
19	to that approach.
20	CHAIR GIBSON: Mr. Spencer?
21	MR. SPENCER: We agree with that approach,
22	Your Honor.
23	CHAIR GIBSON: Well, then, if you all
24	would not mind going back and just making some fine
25	tuning to this so it's a confined, specific protective

1	order addressing these issues I think we'll look it
2	over and based on what we're hearing right now I think
3	we would all three find that acceptable.
4	MR. FRANTZ: Very good. This is Steve
5	Frantz. What we'll do is take the lead and revise the
6	draft protective order, send it out to the other
7	parties for their review and comment, and then submit
8	that to the Board.
9	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay. Judge Arnold, do you
10	have anything else?
11	ADMIN. JUDGE ARNOLD: I just have this one
12	question. I understand why we want this. Do the
L3	parties understand what we see as the major difference
L4	between SUNSI and the financial information and why we
L5	would rather have it viewed as proprietary financial
16	information?
L7	MR. EYE: The Intervenors understand that,
L8	Judge Arnold. This is Bob Eye. Intervenors
L9	understand that, Your Honor.
20	ADMIN. JUDGE ARNOLD: Okay, it's because
21	there's something in the Code of Federal Regulations
22	about the handling of proprietary financial
23	information, but there's nothing in the rules anywhere
24	about SUNSI. So we like to keep things clearer and
25 [.]	then be able to point to what our justification is.

1	CHAIR GIBSON: Yes, I think just echoing
2	Judge Arnold's thoughts here, I think 2.309(a)(4) has
3	a very explicit provision. I think it probably
4	encompasses everything you guys are really
5	contemplating exchanging here and I would think it
6	should be sufficient for these purposes. And it
7	sounds like you all are agreeable to doing that.
8	MR. EYE: Yes, sir.
9	CHAIR GIBSON: Judge Charbeneau, do you
10	have anything else?
11	ADMIN. JUDGE CHARBENEAU: No, I don't.
12	CHAIR GIBSON: Do you all have anything
13	else you need to bring to our attention now?
14	MR. MATTHEWS: Your Honor, this is John
15	Matthews, I just want to point out 2.309 governs the
16	actions of the NRC. It doesn't govern the
17	Intervenors. So our protective order needs to reach
18	beyond 2.309 and apply the principles of 2.309 to the
19	Intervenors, just to make sure we're all clear on
20	that.
21	CHAIR GIBSON: I understand what you're
22	saying. All I'm saying is I think if you'll draft the
23	order up so that it addresses that Intervenors are
24	going to agree to be bound by this protective order,
25	they're not, you know, all they want to do is see the

1	information. So I think we can find a way to get this
2	addressed.
3	Okay, if there's nothing else, I believe
4	we still stand adjourned and I guess the next order of
5	business besides this protective order will be our
6	status report from you, Mr. Spencer, which will be
7	coming up before too, long, huh?
8	MR. SPENCER: Yes.
9	CHAIR GIBSON: Okay, thank you. Have a
10	good day.
11	(Whereupon, at 1:07 p.m., the pre-hearing
12	conference was concluded.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Proceeding:

South Texas Project Units 3 & 4

Pre-Hearing Conference

Docket Number: 52-12-COL and 52-13-COL

ASLBP Number: 09-885-08-COL-BD01

Location: Teleconference

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction and that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.

mac Rans &