

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

BLUE RIDGE ENVIRONMENTAL
DEFENSE LEAGUE, INC., et al.

Petitioners,

V.

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR
REGULATORY COMMISSION and the
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No.12-1151
(consolidated with
No. 12-1106)

Respondents,

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR CO.,

Intervenors.

CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rules 15(c)(3) and 28(a)(1), counsel for Petitioners
certify as follows:

1. Parties, Intervenors, and Amici Curiae

The Petitioners are the Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League, Center for a Sustainable Coast, Georgia Women’s Action for New Directions, Inc. Georgia Wand, Inc. f/k/a Women’s Action for New Directions, and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy. Respondents are the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (“NRC”) and the United States. Southern Nuclear Operating Co. has been admitted as an intervenor.

2. Ruling Under Review

Petitioners seek review of the following orders:

- Memorandum and Order (Denying Motions to Reinstate Contention), LBP-11-36 (Nov. 30, 2011);
- Memorandum and Order, CLI-12-02 (Feb. 9, 2012);
- Combined License, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 3 (Feb. 10, 2012);
- Limited Work Authorization, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 3 (Feb. 10, 2012);
- Combined License, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 4 (Feb. 10, 2012);
- Limited Work Authorization, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 4 (Feb. 10, 2012);
- Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4; Issuance of Combined Licenses and Limited Work Authorizations and Record of Decision, 77 Fed. Reg. 12,332 (Feb. 29, 2012); and
 - Memorandum and Order, CLI-12-07 (March 16, 2012).

3. Related Cases

This case is related to *Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League v. NRC*, No. 12-1106, which has been consolidated with this case. In the instant case, Petitioners assert the NRC violated the National Environmental Policy Act when it approved the issuance of combined licenses (“COLs”) for Vogtle Units 3 and 4

without addressing the environmental implications of the Fukushima Daichii nuclear accident in a supplemental environmental impact statement. In No. 12-1106, Petitioners raise the same claim with respect to the NRC's certification of the AP1000 design, on which the Vogtle COLs are based.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Diane Curran
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.
1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
dcurran@harmoncurran.com
202-328-3500

/s/

John Runkle
Attorney at Law
2121 Damascus Church Rd
Chapel Hill, NC 27516
jrunkle@pricecreek.com
919-942-0600

April 4, 2012