NRC FORM 59 (1-2012) 10 CFR 2.201	91M PA	RT 1 SAFETY INSPECTION	DEDODT AN		CLEAR REGULATORY C	OMMISSION		
1. LICENSEE/LC	70 A TION		REFORT AN		PECTION			
I. LICENSEE/LC	JCATIO	VINSPECTEU:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
Crittenton I	lospita	al Medical Center	Region III					
1101 W. Ur	iversi	ty Drive	U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
Rochester, 1	MI 48:	307		2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210				
				Lisle, IL 60532-4352	Lisle, IL 60532-4352			
REPORT NUM				, a				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)			4. LICENSE NUMBE	R(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	N		
030-02157			21-13562-01		4113	_		
					Feb 17, 2012	ζ		
Regulatory Co procedures an	mmissi d repre	n examination of the activities conductor on (NRC) rules and regulations and the sentative records, interviews with pers the inspection findings, no violations w	e conditions of your onnel, and observa	license. The inspection consist	ed of selective examinatio	ns of		
(ン								
 The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exe discretion, were satisfied. 								
	Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):							
						÷		
					•			
		•						
cite wit	ed in ac h 10 CF	e inspection, certain of your activities, a cordance with NRC Enforcement Polic R 19.11. a and Corrective Actions)						
		•						
		•						
					•			
		Sta	tement of Corre	ective Actions				
corrective acti	ons is n	thin 30 days, the actions described by nade in accordance with the requireme ance will be achieved). I understand the	ents of 10 CFR 2.20	1 (corrective steps already taken	i, corrective steps which w	ill be taken,		
TITLE		PRINTED NAME	The second minutes	SIGNATURE	aparamy rodi	DATE		
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE								
NRC INSPECTOR		Deborah A. Piskura		When		2/-/-		

BRANCH CHIEF

Tamara E. Bloomer

NRC FORM 591M PART 3			II S MII	CLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
(1-2012) Docket File Information										
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION										
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT	ED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE	. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE						
Crittenton Hospital Medi	ical Center		Region III							
1101 W. University Drive			U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission							
Rochester, MI 48307	•		2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210							
			Lisle, IL 60532-4352							
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2012-	001									
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(JMBER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION							
030-02157		21-13562-01	Feb. 17, 2012							
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES US	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS								
87132		03.01-07								
			ON INFORMATION							
1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTAC		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER						
02230	2	V. Elayne Arterb	V. Elayne Arterbery, M.D., RSO							
Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: unchanged										
Field Office Inspection 1901 Star Batt Drive, Rochester, MI										
Temporary Job Site Inspection										
PROGRAM SCOPE										
In accordance with MC 2800, this was a re-inspection of the licensee in follow up to escalated enforcement identified during a reactive inspection on February 22-23, 2011. The violation concerned the licensee's failure to develop written procedures to provide high confidence that each HDR administration is in accordance with the written directive, as required by 10 CFR 35.41(a). Eleven HDR medical events demonstrated that the licensee's procedures did not provide such confidence because the procedures did not require verification of the treatment step size prior to administration.										
The inspector determined that the licensee had implemented corrective actions as described in the inspection report 030-02157/2011-001 (DNMS) and the enforcement action (EA-11-165). The licensee revised its policy for HDR treatments to address the manual entry of treatment data and the use of a checklist to check step size, dwell positions and all treatment parameters before actual treatment delivery. The licensee implemented a verbal verification of treatment parameters before each treatment. Additionally, the licensee provided training to its staff about the revised policy, the need for verbal and visual confirmation of treatment parameters before each treatment, and the need for a "time out" to review the checklist before treatment delivery to ensure that the staff is sensitive to the potential for error with manual entry of data.										
Based on the results of this inspection, the violation is considered closed.										