Greenwood, Carol

From: Sent: To: Subject: Gibson, Kathy ー んモン Wednesday, March 31, 2010 9:47 AM Johnson, Kevin Fw: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

From: Gibson, Kathy
To: Sheron, Brian
Cc: Uhle, Jennifer; Lyons, James
Sent: Wed Mar 31 08:33:52 2010
Subject: Re: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

Agree, we will add more explanation and justification.

From: Sheron, Brian
To: Gibson, Kathy; Uhle, Jennifer
Cc: Lyons, James
Sent: Wed Mar 31 08:31:50 2010
Subject: RE: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

You need to state that the other peer review committee members agreed with the suggestion (if that is the case).

From: Gibson, Kathy
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 8:25 AM
To: Sheron, Brian
Cc: Lyons, James; Flory, Shirley; Uhle, Jennifer
Subject: Re: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

It was a change because Kathleen first told me, based on checking with Marty and SECY that we should do a memo. We sent her the draft memo to walk around to the TAs and then she came back and told us they wanted a paper.

And we can beef up the justification. One peer reviewer raised the issue of the 0-100 miles in a meeting and others agreed and there were no objections voiced. Only one peer reviewer made the comment in writing which is why we said "a" peer reviewer in the paper.

From: Sheron, Brian
To: Gibson, Kathy
Cc: Lyons, James; Flory, Shirley; Uhle, Jennifer
Sent: Wed Mar 31 07:30:29 2010
Subject: RE: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

Both Pat and Jimi informed me last night. I'm not sure why we are refering to it as a change. When I was asked whether we just tell the Commission we were changing our commitment regarding the distances analyzed or send up a paper they would vote on, I suggested that before we do anything, we have Kathleen pulse the Commission offices to find out what they wanted. She did, and we found out.

From: Gibson, Kathy Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 5:42 PM To: Sheron, Brian

Cc: Lyons, James; Flory, Shirley; Uhle, Jennifer **Subject:** Fw: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo **Importance:** High

Brian,

¢

I wanted to make sure you were aware of these new directions from EDO and Comm TAs. If no one is there to make the changes now, we'll get them made in the morning.

Have a good evening, Kathy

From: Larson, Emily To: Yerokun, Jimi Cc: Gibson, Kathy; Elkins, Scott Sent: Tue Mar 30 17:12:20 2010 Subject: RE: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

I just talked to Kevin Johnson in the mailroom about this possible change and forwarded him this e-mail as Brian is currently looking at the memo to sign. He is in the loop and will bring it to Brian's attention.

Emily

From: ODonohue, Kathleen
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 5:03 PM
To: Yerokun, Jimi
Cc: Gibson, Kathy; Larson, Emily; Elkins, Scott
Subject: RE: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

I just opened the email.

I talked to J. Beall, M. Marshall and J. Hipschman. Of note: Both offices felt that the memo should be a voting memo due to the deviation from the original SRM. This means the signature level is EDO or DEDO.

Please call me when you get this - we can talk about the next step.

Kathleen O'Donohue 301-415-1136

From: Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 4:13 PM
To: ODonohue, Kathleen
Cc: Gibson, Kathy; Larson, Emily; Elkins, Scott
Subject: RE: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo

Kathleen;

I understand you plan to walk the Commission floors to inform the appropriate staffs about this upcoming memo. Please let me know when this is done. Meanwhile, the document is undergoing technical editing and should be at the RES front office for review/signature shortly. We'll keep you aware of its status.

Jimi T. Yerokun

From: Larson, Emily Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 2:37 PM To: ODonohue, Kathleen Cc: Yerokun, Jimi; Gibson, Kathy Subject: DRAFT SOARCA Commission Memo Importance: High

Kathleen,

1

Per your request, here is the draft version of the SOARCA Update Commission Memo. It is being put into concurrence in RES now.

Thank you,

Emily