

Greenwood, Carol

From: Gibson, Kathy — RES
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 8:32 AM
To: Yerokun, Jimi
Subject: Fw: Justification for not looking at 0-100 miles in SOARCA

This will need some more work. We can discuss when I get in.

From: Sheron, Brian
To: Uhle, Jennifer; Gibson, Kathy
Cc: Lyons, James
Sent: Wed Mar 31 08:06:26 2010
Subject: Justification for not looking at 0-100 miles in SOARCA

In the CA note, I thought the justification was a bit weak. Since we now have to do a voting paper, I think we need to beef up the justification.

Just saying one peer review group member suggested not doing 0-100 miles is not too compelling of a reason to eliminate it. It begs the question "What did the other peer review members think"? It also just asserts that the results are not significant beyond 50 miles without any elaboration as to why. And then it just says the steering committee agreed, but again, no explanation of why they agreed.

We need to provide a stronger technical basis for our recommendation.