

11

DE FOIA Resource

From: Manoly, Kamal *MKL*
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 6:32 PM
To: Skeen, David; Hiland, Patrick; Khanna, Meena; Wilson, George
Cc: Li, Yong; Thomas, George; Grobe, Jack
Subject: RE: Meeting on path forward for seismic analyses

We need to be cautious about over-reacting before knowing the facts from results of actual seismic measurements at the plant. Also, USGS estimates were bouncing all over from a high of .37 g early yesterday to .2 g later in the late afternoon, and yet still higher than the calculations made by Yong and Cliff. There is great uncertainty in these numbers and we expect USGS to update their numbers further. What we know thus far (preliminary) is that the exceedance is in the high frequency band of the spectrum. Depending on that bounds of the band, major structures and components may not be affected.

At the very least, we need to wait until Yong Li and George Thomas return from the site and update us based on the information they gathered.

R

From: Skeen, David *MKL*
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 6:05 PM
To: Hiland, Patrick; Khanna, Meena; Wilson, George; Manoly, Kamal
Subject: FW: Meeting on path forward for seismic analyses

FYI.

From: Skeen, David
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 6:05 PM
To: Uhle, Jennifer; Holahan, Gary
Subject: RE: Meeting on path forward for seismic analyses

Thanks, Jennifer.

I'll make sure Pat Hiland and our NRR structural folks are aware, as well.

R

From: Uhle, Jennifer *JES*
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 5:31 PM
To: Skeen, David; Holahan, Gary
Subject: FW: Meeting on path forward for seismic analyses

Sorry left you off...

From: Uhle, Jennifer *JES*
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 5:29 PM
To: Sheron, Brian; Johnson, Michael; Grobe, Jack; Leeds, Eric; McGinty, Tim; Boger, Bruce; Correia, Richard
Cc: Virgilio, Martin; Weber, Michael
Subject: Meeting on path forward for seismic analyses

Brian and I were talking strategy about seismic evaluations which are the topic of the recent GL on GI-199 and are of course highlighted in the Fukushima Task Force recommendations. We were thinking that the recent earthquake near North Anna and the staff's preliminary analysis of ground motion vs. the SEE have provided additional information worth discussing. Marty suggested that we get everyone together and discuss our

current plans and expected timeline of licensee actions. He asked me to call his administrative assistant to set up a meeting. So, you all should be getting an invitation to a meeting hopefully on Tuesday. I thought it would be best if we could get together before the public meeting on Wed. Please consider attending the meeting and bringing the appropriate staff, as the meeting invitation will not go to the staff.

Thanks,

Jennifer