

Cool, Donald

From: Bill Dean *NSIR*
Sent: Tuesday, January 15, 2008 2:57 PM
To: Eric Leeds; John Grobe; Gary Holahan; Michael Johnson; George Pangburn
Cc: Cyndi Jones; Farouk Eltawila; Donald Cool; Jim Dyer; Vincent Holahan; Sami Sherbini; Roger Pedersen; James Wiggins
Subject: Re: Individual Risk Focussed Approach

In my mind, the reasons why many of our experts gravitated to having both LNT and a more realistic truncation value apply here. I would only include LNT as a point of comparison to a more realistic approach.

I took a short poll of my family and they were more amenable to the risk discussion than LCF as long as we appropriately describe what the risk is being compared to in layman's terms.

Bill Dean, Deputy Director, NSIR

-----Original Message-----

From: John Grobe
To: Leeds, Eric <EJL@nrc.gov>
To: Dean, William <WMD@nrc.gov>
Cc: Jones, Cyndi <CGJ@nrc.gov>
Cc: Eltawila, Farouk <FXE@nrc.gov>
Cc: Cool, Donald <DAC@nrc.gov>
Cc: Dyer, Jim <JED2@nrc.gov>
Cc: Holahan, Vincent <EVH@nrc.gov>
To: Holahan, Gary <GMH@nrc.gov>
To: Johnson, Michael <MRJ1@nrc.gov>
Cc: Sherbini, Sami <SXS2@nrc.gov>
To: Pangburn, George <GCP@nrc.gov>
Cc: Pedersen, Roger <RLP1@nrc.gov>
Cc: Wiggins, James <JTW1@nrc.gov>


Sent: 1/15/2008 1:15:54 PM
Subject: Individual Risk Focussed Approach

Ladies and Gents,

(b)(5)

✓/16

(b)(5)



ex. 5

Jack