

**Giessner, John**

From: Weber, Michael *EW*  
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 6:58 AM  
To: Sheron, Brian  
Cc: Giessner, John; Kotzalas, Margie; Andersen, James  
Subject: RESPONSE - STRATEGY FOR RELEASE OF SOARCA REPORT *EPO*

*Release*  
Let's discuss this strategy when we do the prebrief for the Commissioner Assistants briefing. I would like to understand better what we are planning to do and why we think that is the best approach.

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Sheron, Brian  
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 7:55 AM  
To: Weber, Michael  
Subject: FW: RESPONSE - The Wall *pc*

Mike, the response to your question on SOARCA.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gibson, Kathy  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:25 PM  
To: Sheron, Brian; Valentin, Andrea  
Subject: RE: RESPONSE - The Wall

We will not have the uncertainty analysis completely finished before December when the report is scheduled for release for public comment, but we anticipate having enough of it done to know whether there are any showstoppers. We don't expect showstoppers, but if there are indications of any, we may propose not to issue the report for public comment at the scheduled time, but will propose delaying it until the uncertainty analysis is done and the showstoppers are resolved.

The report planned to be issued for public comment in December will include the results and the public will be free to comment on the whole document.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sheron, Brian  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:07 PM  
To: Gibson, Kathy; Valentin, Andrea  
Subject: FW: RESPONSE - The Wall *137*

See Mike's question. I think the answer to his question is yes, but recognize that we can't stop people from commenting on whatever they want to comment on. I am presuming the report we put out for public comment will report out the results?

-----Original Message-----

From: Weber, Michael  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:04 PM  
To: Sheron, Brian; Andersen, James; Coggins, Angela *111*

Cc: Mamish, Nader; Kotzalas, Margie; Giessner, John; Virgilio, Martin; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Johnson, Michael; Leeds, Eric  
Subject: RESPONSE - The Wall *later*

As I understand our plans, Brian, the purpose of publishing the December draft of SOARCA is to provide an opportunity for comment on the methodology that we used for the analysis, not to seek comment on the results of the analysis before we have completed the uncertainty analysis, correct? This would allow us to provide a draft final report to the Commission next June that would include the results and the estimated uncertainties associated with the results, consistent with agency guidelines on presenting the results of risk analyses.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sheron, Brian *Re*  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 4:48 PM  
To: Andersen, James; Coggins, Angela; Baval, Rochelle  
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Kotzalas, Margie; Giessner, John; Weber, Michael; Virgilio, Martin; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Johnson, Michael; Leeds, Eric  
Subject: RE: The Wall

RES plans to issue the draft SOARCA report for public comment in December, 2010.

-----Original Message-----

From: Andersen, James *endo*  
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 3:29 PM  
To: Coggins, Angela; Baval, Rochelle  
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Kotzalas, Margie; Giessner, John; Weber, Michael; Virgilio, Martin; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Johnson, Michael; Leeds, Eric; Sheron, Brian  
Subject: RE: The Wall

Angela, we have talked with the staff and propose the following: *later*

- SOARCA paper: Currently due 10/29, the ACRS has requested we do a sensitivity analysis on SOARCA. This will push out the final paper until late spring next year. We have a TA briefing on this 9/3 and plan to discuss whether the Commission may be interested in the staff sending the draft paper up for Commission information or some other vehicle to keep the Commission informed. The staff is determining if the draft paper will be made public later this year. For the wall - how about having the paper come up June 30, 2011, for Commission action in July 2011. We will submit the appropriate extension request for the paper based on the ACRS asking for the analysis.

(b)(6)

*outside of scope*

Outside of Scope

outside of Scope

Jim A.

-----Original Message-----

From: Coggins, Angela

Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 2:19 PM

To: Baval, Rochelle

Cc: Andersen, James; Mamish, Nader

Subject: FW: The Wall

I think some papers are going to be changing and I was hoping you might help me keep track of all this!!  
Here's what I think came out of a recent EDO/DEDO meeting:

- Slide soar back 6 months (comes up now in feb 2011).

Outside of Scope

outside of Scope

Thanks!!

Joshua C. Batkin

Chief of Staff

Chairman Gregory B. Jaczko

(301) 415-1820