

Giessner, John

From: Baval, Rochelle - *NR*
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 12:00 PM
To: Giessner, John
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Andersen, James; Brock, Kathryn
Subject: RE: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings

Staff has been keeping the Commission informed via TA briefs. Is there any expectations that the June paper will be significantly different than what will be in the draft paper? If there is no extension request, and the draft paper comes up in October, SECY would treat the paper as an extension request because the action would not be closed.

We've been showing the October paper as a voting paper, now you say the staff will not be asking the Commission to vote on any issues/recommendations...I'm confused. Part of the action is to provide recommendations. We'll need to get this sorted out.

In the mean time, I'm going add the information you've shared to the list of action items coming to the Commission.

Thank you,
Rochelle

From: Giessner, John
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 12:47 PM
To: Baval, Rochelle
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Andersen, James; Brock, Kathryn
Subject: RE: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings

With this efforts spanning many years we felt the Commission should see the bulk of the paper (for information and there is a lot of interest). There is no vote. I am OK submitting an extension. SOARCA has no vote that I know of, but the analysis has been subject of many discussions and we are required to keep the CMRs up to date in accordance with SRM-CMSY06-0064.

John (Jack) Giessner
Executive Technical Assistant (on rotation)
EDO Office
301-415-2176 office

From: Baval, Rochelle
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 12:26 PM
To: Giessner, John
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Andersen, James; Brock, Kathryn
Subject: RE: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings

Why would staff send a "draft" paper? What do they plan to tell the Commission? Also, would they be asking the Commission to vote on anything in the "draft" paper? Why wouldn't an extension request be submitted, rather than sending a "draft" paper? Will they be asking the Commission to vote on anything (any policy decision) in the June paper?

Rochelle

4/21

From: Giessner, John
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 11:52 AM
To: Baval, Rochelle
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Andersen, James; Brock, Kathryn
Subject: RE: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings

We are planning (although it is still in our review cycle) to have a TA briefing later this month where we tell the TAs based on the ACRS feedback to do uncertainly analysis that the Oct paper will be a draft paper; then the final paper after uncertainly analyses will be done in June (the April or May was an earlier plan this week). There is no WITS item yet. Our plan would be, after the TA discussion, to create or move the current tracking item.

John (Jack) Giessner
Executive Technical Assistant (on rotation)
EDO Office
301-415-2176 office

From: Baval, Rochelle
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 10:22 AM
To: Giessner, John
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Andersen, James; Brock, Kathryn
Subject: FW: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings

Jack,

There is a meeting on SOARCA on this, and staff indicates a paper due in April 2011. Do you know what paper it is? The paper on the results of the peer review of Peach Bottom and Surry is due to the Commission 10/29/10. I can't find anything that SECY is tracking for SOARCA or RES that is due in April or May 2011.

Rochelle

From: Frazier, Alan
Sent: Monday, August 02, 2010 11:40 AM
To: Baval, Rochelle
Cc: Andersen, James; Mamish, Nader
Subject: RESPONSE: Possible Future Commission Meetings

Good Morning Rochelle.

Outside of Scope

Alan

outside of Scope

From: Baval, Rochelle
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2010 8:49 AM
To: Andersen, James; Frazier, Alan
Cc: Baval, Rochelle
Subject: FW: INPUT NEEDED - Possible Future Commission Meetings

Jim/Alan,

We'll provide the info on meeting recommendations to the Chairman at next pre-agenda (August 9th, 4:30pm).

Rochelle

From: Andersen, James
Sent: Friday, July 23, 2010 9:43 AM
To: EDO_TBPM Distribution
Cc: Baval, Rochelle
Subject: FW: INPUT NEEDED - Possible Future Commission Meetings

Since I will be out, can everyone forward the inputs to Alan by July 30th.

Alan, can you please pull the list together and provide it to Rochelle, along with the Commission paper tracking list, on August 2nd.

Rochelle, please work with Alan and let us know the best way to get the Commission meeting recommendations to the Chairman.

Thanks all,
Jim A.

From: Andersen, James
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2010 2:37 PM
To: Andersen, James; EDO_TBPM Distribution
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Baval, Rochelle
Subject: RE: INPUT NEEDED - Possible Future Commission Meetings

With attachment, sorry!!!

From: Andersen, James
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2010 2:34 PM
To: EDO_TBPM Distribution
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Baval, Rochelle
Subject: INPUT NEEDED - Possible Future Commission Meetings

All, we have been asked to provide input regarding future Commission meetings from January to June 2011. Please work with your offices and provide input to me by **July 30th**. I have attached a document which contains some meetings that have been discussed. Please include with the input the topic, the timeframe desired, and whether it is tied to a paper or rule that will be coming before the Commission.

I will consolidate the list and send it to SECY.

Thanks,
Jim A.