

**Bowman, Gregory**

---

RES **From:** Santiago, Patricia  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 01, 2010 7:24 AM  
**To:** Bowman, Gregory; Ghosh, Tina  
**Cc:** Bush-Goddard, Stephanie  
**Subject:** Re: RESPONSE - SOARCA Brief Followup Question

Thanks

Sent from an NRC BlackBerry

Patricia Santiago

(b)(6)

2-6

EDD **From:** Bowman, Gregory  
**To:** Ghosh, Tina  
**Cc:** Santiago, Patricia; Bush-Goddard, Stephanie  
**Sent:** Wed Dec 01 06:56:49 2010  
**Subject:** FW: RESPONSE - SOARCA Brief Followup Question

Tina,

I hope this helps a little. The NRR procedure doesn't really get into specifics all that much, other than to tell you to consider uncertainty based on the guidance in NUREG-1855 and RG 1.174. If you're doing that (or have a good reason for doing something else) I think you've probably already addressed Mike's concern.

Greg

EDD **From:** Weber, Michael  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2010 5:54 PM  
**To:** Bowman, Gregory  
**Subject:** RESPONSE - SOARCA Brief Followup Question

I was referring to the risk informed decision-making steps that are captured in LIC-504 (attached). Although we are clearly not referring to an emergent issue with the development of the SOARCA, I was attempting to ensure that we were considering uncertainties in our estimates in a manner consistent with this NRR procedure. I think we are, but wanted to confirm.

EDD **From:** Bowman, Gregory  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2010 1:21 PM  
**To:** Weber, Michael  
**Subject:** QUERY - SOARCA Brief Followup Question

Mike,

During the SOARCA brief yesterday, you asked RES a question about NRR guidance/practices for using risk information. I got a call from the risk people in RES and they're requesting some clarification.

Can you give me a little more insight into your concern in that area? I know they've worked with NRR/DRA, but they want to make sure they have your question captured accurately so they fully address the issue.

Greg

U  
11