

Weber, Michael

EDD **From:** Weber, Michael - EDD
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 5:52 PM
To: Bowman, Gregory
Cc: Muessle, Mary; Andersen, James
Subject: Response - SOARCA

Good. Thanks

EDD **From:** Bowman, Gregory
To: Weber, Michael
Sent: Fri Jan 28 15:25:41 2011
Subject: RE: SOARCA

I spoke with Brian about this a little, specifically the part about the extension request. Brian said he'd be getting in touch with you early next week to discuss. We'll hold off on contacting Mike Franovich until after you and Brian talk.

RES **From:** Sheron, Brian
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 10:21 AM
To: Bowman, Gregory; Uhle, Jennifer
Cc: Weber, Michael
Subject: RE: SOARCA

You can tell Mike to call me. During the fact check by the licensee, they pointed out we did not represent the as-built design correctly. This resulted in a non-conservative scenario and potentially changing the consequences from essentially no early fatalities to a finite number.

We do not want to put out results that are non-conservative, only to have to change them later and be subject to criticism.

We requested a new due date of 12/15/11, although we are trying to finish earlier.

EDD **From:** Bowman, Gregory
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 8:35 AM
To: Sheron, Brian; Uhle, Jennifer
Cc: Weber, Michael
Subject: SOARCA

I got a call from Mike Franovich in Commissioner Ostendorff's office about SOARCA. He was asking about the public release date for the draft NUREG. In the CA note we sent up back in October, we stated that the draft NUREG would be released to the public in January, and Mike was looking for status.

Mike indicated that Commissioner Ostendorff is concerned that if there are delays, it will push the end of the project past his term expiration in June. I owe Mike a status update. Can you give me your thoughts on how I should respond? I expect if I tell him we're looking to extend the project completion date, they're going to have a pretty strong reaction.

T/17