



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

11/29/2011
76 FR 73738

5

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2011 AM 9:38

REGISTRATION DIVISION

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RTD = ADM-03
Add =
J. Lion (KSLA)

2011 Reactor Oversight Process External Survey

Thank you for participating in the survey. Your feedback is important to us and will be used in the ROP self-assessment program to evaluate the effectiveness of the ROP. There are 20 items in the survey and places for written comments. We seek constructive feedback to improve the program, and your comments with specific examples are welcomed. If you are filling out a hard copy, please use additional sheets for comments if needed.

Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

If you cannot fill/save the pdf file, please fill out the Word version of the survey (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRR/OVERSIGHT/ASSESS/2011ROPsurvey.doc>) or print out a hard copy.

The survey ends on January 13, 2012.

Instruction: For each of the statements, please indicate if it's **reasonably** true. If you don't have enough knowledge/experience, please select U/A (unable to answer).

1. The performance indicator (PI) program provides useful insights, particularly when combined with the inspection program, to help ensure plant safety and/or security.

- Yes
 No
 U/A

Can you
recommend any
improvements?

2. Appropriate overlap exists between the PI and the inspection programs to provide for a comprehensive indication of licensee performance.

- Yes
 No
 U/A

Can you
recommend any
improvements?

3. NEI 99-02, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline," provides clear guidance regarding performance indicators.

- Yes
 No
 U/A

Can you
recommend any
improvements?

4. PI program effectively contributes to the identification of performance outliers based on risk-informed, objective, and predictable indicators.

- Yes
 No
 U/A

Can you
recommend any
improvements?

5. Information contained in inspection reports is relevant, useful, and written in plain English.

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

6. The inspection program adequately covers areas that are important to plant safety and/or security and is effective in identifying and ensuring the prompt correction of performance deficiencies.

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

7. The Significance Determination Process (SDP) results in an appropriate regulatory response to performance issues.

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

8. The NRC takes appropriate actions to address performance issues for those plants outside the Licensee Response Column of the Action Matrix.

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

9. Information contained in assessment reports is relevant, useful, and written in plain English.

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

10. The ROP safety culture enhancements help in identifying licensee safety culture weaknesses and focusing licensee and the NRC attention appropriately.

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

11. ROP oversight activities are predictable (i.e., controlled by the process) and reasonably objective (i.e., based on supported facts, rather than relying on subjective judgment).

- Yes Can you
- No recommend any
- U/A improvements?

12. The ROP is risk-informed, in that actions and outcomes are appropriately graduated on the basis of increased significance.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

13. The ROP is understandable and the processes, procedures, and products are clear and written in plain English.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

14. The ROP provides adequate assurance, when combined with other NRC regulatory processes, that plants are operated and maintained safely and securely.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

15. NRC actions related to the ROP are high quality, efficient, realistic, and timely.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

16. The ROP ensures openness in the regulatory process.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

17. There are sufficient opportunities for the public to participate in the process.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

18. NRC is responsive to public's comments and inputs on the ROP.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

19. The ROP has been implemented as defined by program documents.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

20. The ROP does NOT result in unintended consequences.

- Yes
 - No
 - U/A
- Can you recommend any improvements?

Which of the following groups best describe your affiliation/interest?

- State/Local Government
- Public (interested member of the public or public interest groups)
- Industry (licensee and its employees, INPO, NEI, etc)
- Other:

Please press the Submit Survey button, or mail a hard copy (with docket ID NRC-2011-0270) to:

Cindy Bladey
Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch
Office of Administration (Mail Stop: TWB-05-B01M)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555_0001

If you submit this survey by email, you will receive an acknowledge email. If you do not receive such email in two business days, please contact us at ROPSurvey@nrc.gov. Please save a copy of the filled survey for your record.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This survey contains information collections that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0197, which expires August 31, 2012.

The burden to the public for these voluntary information collections is estimated to be 45 minutes per response. The information gathered will be used in the NRC's self-assessment of the reactor oversight process. Send comments regarding this burden estimate to the Information Services Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by Internet electronic mail to INFOCOLLECTS.RESOURCE@NRC.GOV; and to the Desk Officer, Chad Whiteman, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0197), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Public Protection Notification

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Submit Survey