

ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From: Tesfaye, Getachew
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2011 1:43 PM
To: 'usepr@areva.com'
Cc: Bernal, Sara; Schaaf, Robert; Curran, Gordon; McKenna, Eileen; Hearn, Peter; Segala, John; ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource
Subject: U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 534 (6227), FSAR Ch. 9
Attachments: RAI_534_CHPB_6227.doc

Attached please find the subject requests for additional information (RAI). A draft of the RAI was provided to you on December 8, 2011, and discussed with your staff on December 20, 2011. No change is made to the draft RAI as a result of that discussion. The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs, excluding the time period of **December 24, 2011 thru January 2, 2012, to account for the holiday season** as discussed with AREVA NP Inc. For any RAIs that cannot be answered **within 40 days**, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will be provided to the staff within the 40-day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

Thanks,
Getachew Tesfaye
Sr. Project Manager
NRO/DNRL/NARP
(301) 415-3361

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs
Email Number: 3662

Mail Envelope Properties (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED160D82B052E)

Subject: U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 534 (6227), FSAR Ch. 9
Sent Date: 12/20/2011 1:43:13 PM
Received Date: 12/20/2011 1:43:14 PM
From: Tesfaye, Getachew

Created By: Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Bernal, Sara" <Sara.Bernal@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Schaaf, Robert" <Robert.Schaaf@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Curran, Gordon" <Gordon.Curran@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"McKenna, Eileen" <Eileen.McKenna@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Hearn, Peter" <Peter.Hearn@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Segala, John" <John.Segala@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource" <ArevaEPRDCPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"usepr@areva.com" <usepr@areva.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	908	12/20/2011 1:43:14 PM
RAI_534_CHPB_6227.doc	35834	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information No. 534(6227), Revision 0

12/20/2011

U. S. EPR Standard Design Certification
AREVA NP Inc.

Docket No. 52-020

SRP Section: 09.01.04 - Light Load Handling System (Related to Refueling)

Application Section: Section 09.01.04

QUESTIONS for Health Physics Branch (CHPB)

09.01.04-39

OPEN ITEM

GDC 61 states in part that the design of the fuel storage and handling systems shall have suitable shielding for radiation protection and appropriate containment, confinement, and filtering systems. Sufficient shielding provides protection for workers from the spent fuel so that regulatory limits are not exceeded and overexposures do not occur.

10 CFR 20.1406 requires, in part, that each facility be designed so as to minimize contamination of the facility and the environment and to facilitate decommissioning.

- a. In response to RAI 398 Question 9.1.4-18, the applicant provided design features which would serve to protect workers from radiation exposure to spent fuel. These include a non-safety related instrumentation and control system which monitors water level in the cask and penetration, displays alarms in case of an abnormal water level during cask loading, and closes the remote controlled valves of the Spent Fuel Cask Transfer Facility's (SFCTF) fluid systems to stop water loss. However, it is not clear which remote controlled valves are being credited. Provide additional information identifying which SFCTF fluid system valves are closed to stop water loss.
- b. Figure 09.01.04-15-2 provided with RAI 9.1.4-15 response shows content of contaminated water discharging into the vent and drain system. The RAI response also says on page 12 that the lower cover is equipped with a nozzle for drip-off recovery. However, it is not clear to the staff where this drainage or any leakage of the penetration seals is discharged (i.e. floor drain, sump, etc...). Provide more information on the path the contaminated water takes, whether this water receives treatment before discharging to the environment, and how this minimizes contamination.
- c. The applicant provided several examples of design features that demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1406 for the SFCTF. Revise chapter 12 of the FSAR to include these features.
- d. The response to RAI 398 Question 9.1.4-18 states that "all cask loading operations are automatically performed from the SFCTF control room. This includes automatic welding of the biological lid and its covers." It is not clear to the staff where and how the SFCTF performs welding to close the cask. FSAR Section

9.1.4 states that the biological lid flange is bolted to the cask at the cask handling opening station prior to leak-tightness checks and does not mention welding. In addition it is the staff's understanding that only the auxiliary crane has access to the cask at the handling opening station, and that the handling opening station has an open ceiling to allow the crane access. Because welding is required to ensure leak-tightness of the cask, and because the welding work itself can contribute significant occupational dose to the cask loading process, revise the FSAR to describe where and how welding occurs during the cask closing operations. In addition, clarify which lid or cover is welded versus which one may be bolted, and whether the welding or bolting of lids/covers is manual, automatic or remotely operated from the SFCTF.

- e. Provide in the FSAR the location of radiation monitors around the SFCTF (in the loading hall).
- f. Table 9.1.4-15-6 has as an interlock the requirement that the iodine extracting ventilation be operable prior to using the SFCTF. Revise the FSAR to include this information, with a description of how this requirement will be implemented.

09.01.04-40

OPEN ITEM

In section 9.1.4 of the FSAR the words "interface requirements" is used to describe design criteria for the spent fuel cask. However using the word "interface" in this context in section 9.1.4 can be misleading since this word has a specific meaning in Tier

1. Replace or delete the word "interface" from section 9.1.4.