

## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

<b>Chief:</b>	Steve Garchow	<b>Facility:</b>	STP	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	9/23/2011
<b>Start of Op Test:</b>			9/26/2011	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
3/25/2011	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	2/16/11	JHG		
5/20/2011	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	2/16/11	JHG		
5/20/2011	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	2/16/11	JHG		
5/20/2011	Corporate Notification Letter sent	2/23/11	SMB	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
6/24/2011	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	NA	JHG	ES-201 Att-3	
7/8/2011	Integrated exam outlines due	3/28/11	JHG		
7/15/2011	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3/29/11	JHG	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
7/15/2011	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	4/13/11	SMB		
8/5/2011	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	NA	SMB		
8/19/2011	Peer review of written exam complete	8/15/11	SMB	Document review on ES-401-9	
8/19/2011	Preliminary license applications due	8/10/11	JHG	NRC Forms 398/396	
8/26/2011	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	8/12/11	JHG		
8/26/2011	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	8/17/11	SMB		
8/26/2011	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	8/17/11	JHG		
8/22/2011	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	8/23/11	SMB		
9/9/2011	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	9/19/11	JHG	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
9/16/2011	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	9/19/11	JHG		
9/16/2011	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	9/19/11	JHG	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
9/16/2011	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	9/15/11	JHG		
9/19/2011	Exam material to exam team	9/19/11	JHG		
9/26/2011	Administer Operating Test	10/4/11	JHG		
10/12/2011	Facility post-exam documentation due	10/4/11	JHG		
10/12/2011	NRC written exam grading completed	10/3/11	JHG	ES-403-1 to BC	
10/12/2011	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	10/19/11	JHG		
10/19/2011	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	10/19/11	JHG	Signed ES 303's to BC	
10/26/2011	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	10/19/11	SMB		
11/2/2011	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	NA	SMB		
11/2/2011	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	10/20/11	SMB		
11/2/2011	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	10/2/11	JHG	print Report-21	
11/16/2011	Examination Report Issued	10/27/11	JHG	produced by CE	
11/23/2011	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	12/12/11	SMB	SUNSI checklist to LA	
11/30/2011	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	NA	NA		

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Examination: 09/2011		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	D	7	JMB
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	D	7	JMB
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	D	7	JMB
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	D	7	JMB
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	D	9	JMB
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	D	9	JMB
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	D	9	JMB
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	D	9	JMB
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	D	9	JMB
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	D	9	JMB
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	D	9	JMB
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	D	9	JMB
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	D	9	JMB
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	D	9	JMB
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	D	9	JMB
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	D	9	JMB
a. Author	<u>K. Struble</u> Printed Name/Signature			Date 3-16-11
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>J. Pierce</u> Printed Name/Signature			3-21-11
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>S. Gorchow</u> Printed Name/Signature			9-7-11
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>MARK HARE</u> Printed Name/Signature			9/19/11
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Exam: 9-26-2011		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	SAM	9	SMB			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	SAM	9	SMB			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	SAM	9	SMB			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	SAM	9	SMB			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or ___ the examinations were developed independently, or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or ___ other (explain)	SAM	9	SMB			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	SAM	9	SMB
	2714	010	48/21			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	CIA		SAM	9	SMB
	3217	43118				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	SAM	9	SMB			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	SAM	9	SMB			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	SAM	9	SMB			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	SAM	9	SMB			
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author	Stanley A. Mason / Stanley A. Mason		8-30-11			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Jonathan Pierce / [Signature]		9-1-11			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Stephen Garchow / [Signature]		9/7/11			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	MARK HAIRE / [Signature]		9/19/11			
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					





Facility: South Texas Project

Date of Examination: 9-26-2011

Operating Test Number: 1

1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initials		
		a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	SAM	7	JMS
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	SAM	7	JMS
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	SAM	7	JMS
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	SAM	7	JMS
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	SAM	7	JMS
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA		--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	SAM	7	JMS
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g. item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	SAM	7	JMS
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA		--	--	--
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	SAM	7	JMS
Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author	Stanley A. Mason / <i>Stanley A. Mason</i>	8-30-11		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Jonathan Y. Erac / <i>[Signature]</i>	9-1-11		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Stephen Garchow / <i>[Signature]</i>	9-7-11		
d. NRC Supervisor	MARK HARRIS / <i>[Signature]</i>	9/19/11		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column ac; @ chief examiner concurrence required.				

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Exam: 7-26-2011 (Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3/4)		Operating Test No.: 1		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials			
			a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	SAM	7	JMG		
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	SAM	7	JMG		
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	SAM	7	SMB		
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	SAM	7	SMB		
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	SAM	7	SMB		
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	SAM	7	SMB		
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	N/A	7	SMB		
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	SAM	7	SMB		
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	SAM	7	SMB		
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	SAM	7	SMB		
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	SAM	7	SMB		
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	SAM	7	SMB		
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	SAM	7	SMB		
<b>Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)</b>		Actual Attributes		--	--	--
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6	1	6	1	6
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1	1	1	1	2
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4	1	3	3	3
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1	1	1	1	1
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1	2	1	1	1
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0	1	1	0	1
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2	2	2	2	2

Facility: <u>STPNOC</u>	Date of Exam: <u>9/22/11</u>	Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	ID	7	Snt
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	Snt
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	ID	7	Snt
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	Snt
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	Snt
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	ID	7	Snt
	Printed Name/Signature	Date	
a. Grader	<u>L. Struble</u> 	<u>9-22-11</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>J. Perce</u> 	<u>9-27-11</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>S. Garchow</u> 	<u>10/13/11</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>M. HARE</u> 	<u>10/13/11</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

## From 08/01/2011 To 12/15/2011

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
03/01/2011	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2011301 TAC #: X02474		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
03/01/2011	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2011301 TAC #: X02475		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
08/22/2011	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2011301 TAC #: X02474		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
08/22/2011	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2011301 TAC #: X02475		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
09/12/2011	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2011005 Procedure #: 711111E				CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
09/12/2011	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2011005 Procedure #: 711111E				CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
09/26/2011	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2011301 TAC #: X02474	RO - 5 SROU - 2	Admin	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
09/26/2011	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2011301 TAC #: X02475	RO - 5 SROU - 1	Admin	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
10/10/2011	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2011301 TAC #: X02474		Doc	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
10/10/2011	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2011301 TAC #: X02475		Doc	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. TINDELL, BRIAN W.

1. Pre-Examination

Unlimited

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9/26/2011 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10-3-11. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Mike DeFrees	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/25/2011	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	①
2. Ken Struble	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-25-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	
3. Joseph Bradsby	Sim Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-27-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	
4. Stan Mason	Exam Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-31-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	
5. DAVID GOODMAN	SIM SOFTWARE SPECIALIST	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-5-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-6-11	
6. Michael Scott	SIM SOFTWARE SPECIALIST	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-3-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	
7. John T. Saylone	SIM SOFTWARE SPECIALIST	<i>[Signature]</i>	02/03/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	
8. ROBERT RAB	SIM HARDWARE SPECIALIST	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/3/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	②
9. Jan Pierce	OPS TAG MGR	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-21-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-5-11	
10. Donald Robra	Ops Instructors	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-28-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-4-11	
11. Mark Walker	ELO Specialist	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-26-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-4-11	
12. R. CHAD CAMPBELL	OPS TAG INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	08-16-11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-3-11	
13. John Millief	OPS mgr	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/16/11	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/5/11	
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

- ① Stanley A. Mason for Mike DeFrees 10-5-11 see attached e-mail.
- ② Stanley A. Mason for Robert RAB 10-5-11 verified per personal phone call.



1. Pre-Examination

VALIDATION ACTIVITIES

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 9/26 & 10/3 of 2011 of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 9/26 & 10/3. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
John A. Mason	Rx Operator	[Signature]	6-21-11	[Signature]	10-4-11
KEITH AVANTS	REACTOR OPERATOR	[Signature]	6-21-11	[Signature]	10-4-11
Kenny Espinosa	Reactor Operator	[Signature]	6-22-11	[Signature]	10-4-11
Billy Herzog	Unit Supervisor	[Signature]	6-22-11	[Signature]	10-4-11
SHAWN HUGHES	Reactor Operator / U.S.	[Signature]	6-22-11	[Signature]	10-4-11
Timothy Bergant	Unit Supervisor	[Signature]	6-24-11	[Signature]	10/10/11
RICHARD SHANKS	REACTOR OPERATOR	[Signature]	6-24-11	[Signature]	10-10-11
Michael O'Brien	REACTOR OPERATOR	[Signature]	6-24-11	[Signature]	10-10-11
CHRIS F. VANDEWEE	UNIT SUPERVISOR	[Signature]	7-14-11	[Signature]	10/4/11
DAN TURKANSZ	UNIT SUPERVISOR	[Signature]	7-14-11	[Signature]	10/10/11
RON KOHAN	REACTOR OPERATOR	[Signature]	7-14-11	[Signature]	10/13/2011
PA GALLEY	UNIT SUPERVISOR	[Signature]	7-14-11	[Signature]	10-6-11
T.M. GREEN	REACTOR OPERATOR	[Signature]	7-21-11	[Signature]	10-6-11
Robert A. DeWany	Reactor Operator	[Signature]	8-12-11	[Signature]	10-10-11
RANDALL G. MAUS	REACTOR OPERATOR	[Signature]	8-23-11	[Signature]	10/4/11

NOTES:

- 1. LAURENCE BOND UNIT SUPERVISOR [Signature] 8/23/11
- ① Stanley A. Mason for Keith Avants 10-3-11 see attached e-mail.
- ② Stanley A. Mason for Shawn Hughes 10-6-11 see attached e-mail.
- ③ Stanley A. Mason for Lawrence Bond 10-13-11 verified per personal phone call.

Administration 191 of 2

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/26 + 10/3 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 7/26 + 10/3. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Terrance Batts	SRO Instructor	<i>Terrance Batts</i>	9/10/11	<i>J. Abbott</i>	10/3/11
2. Chris Campbell	Head RP Tech - BMS/PLC	<i>Chris Campbell</i>	9/20/11	<i>Chris Campbell</i>	10/5/11
3. Gary B. Korman	Head RP Tech - Briefing	<i>G. B. Korman</i>	9/20/11	<i>Chris Campbell</i>	10/4/2011
4. Mark Harsell	SRO Instructor	<i>Mark Harsell</i>	9/22/11	<i>Mark Harsell</i>	10/3/2011
5. Curt Mearfield	Unit Supervisor	<i>Curt Mearfield</i>	9/26/11	<i>Curt Mearfield</i>	10/3/2011
6. Charles Ayala	Field Suppl.	<i>Charles Ayala</i>	9-26-11		①
7. Rick Appel	Reactor Operator	<i>Rick Appel</i>	9-26-11		②
8. Mark Hamilton	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Mark Hamilton</i>	9-26-11		10-11-11
9. Mike Bernwardt	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Mike Bernwardt</i>	9-26-11		10-8-11
10. John A. Milton	Reactor Operator	<i>John A. Milton</i>	9-26-11	<i>John A. Milton</i>	10-9-11
11. James R. Harris	Reactor Operator	<i>James R. Harris</i>	9-26-11		③
12. Jasons Berrio	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Jasons Berrio</i>	9/26/11		④
13. Dan Turkale	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Dan Turkale</i>	9-26-11		10-13-2011
14. Robert Tatro	SHIFT MANAGER	<i>Robert Tatro</i>	9-26-11		⑤
15. Pete Lart	SHIFT MANAGER	<i>Pete Lart</i>	9/26/11		10/4/11

NOTES:

- ① Stanley A. Mason for Charles Ayala 10-16-11 See Attached e-mail.
- ② Stanley A. Mason for Rick Appel 10-13-11 Verified per personal phone call.
- ③ Stanley A. Mason for James Harris 10-14-11 See Attached e-mail.
- ④ Stanley A. Mason for Jason Berrio 10-13-11 Verified per personal phone call.
- ⑤ Stanley A. Mason for Robert Tatro 10-13-11 Verified per personal phone call.

