



**EPR**

ELECTRIC POWER  
RESEARCH INSTITUTE

## ASME Section XI Update

**PDI/NRC Meeting  
Fall 2011**

**Ronnie Swain  
Program Manager  
Performance Demonstration Operations**

# Pending Code Items Related to App VIII

- Code Case N-653-1 (Rewrite of Suppl. 11)
  - Out for Ballot at Standards Committee (August)
    - Received negative votes in three categories
      - Inadequate tracking/explanation of changes
      - Concern with false call acceptance criteria for procedure qualifications – based on incorrect reading of CC
      - NRC negative related to minimum length of flawed grading units – NRC reviewed testing process during visit to EPRI Charlotte in November
    - Plan is to respond to negatives and reintroduce this item for second consideration at February Code Week
- Intent Inquiry – Supplements 5 & 7
  - Regarding the use of computer modeling to expand qualifications for inner radius examination to allow launching the UT beam from alternate examination surfaces
  - This item is tabled at TG-App VIII pending NRC and PNNL staff review of computer modeling used in qualification activities

# Pending Code Items (continued)

- Intent Inquiry – Appendix I I-3000
  - In 2004 Edition, I-3000 included the following sentence in regards to examination coverage for DM welds:

“When examination from both sides is not possible, procedures and personnel qualified for single side examination in accordance with Appendix VIII, Supplement 10, **with all** flaws on the opposite side of the weld, shall be used to examine the required volume.”
  - My inquiry stated that this wording contradicts the requirements of Supplement 10 and asked if that was the intent
  - I proposed a Code change to clarify this sentence as follows:

“. . . .Supplement 10, **using** flaws on the opposite side of the weld, . . . .”
  - This wording more closely matches those in 10CFR50.55a, paragraph (b)(2)(xv)(A)(2)

# Activities at TG-CASS

- TG-CASS re-established about 5 years ago
  - In response to strides being made in technology for CASS UT inspection
  - Time to re-examine the merit of developing Appendix VIII, Supplement 9
- TG make-up (98% NDE; 2% Fracture Mechanics)
  - PNNL staff with CASS project ties (NDE)
  - EPRI staff with CASS project ties (NDE)
  - Tim Griesbach from SIA (PFM)
  - NRC staff (NDE)
  - Utility NDE personnel
  - Vendor NDE personnel

# Activities at TG-CASS (cont.)

- Fracture Mechanics Work
  - Multi-year industry project recently completed on Fracture Mechanics considerations for CASS
    - Showed critical flaw sizes to be prohibitive when using deterministic fracture mechanics (~10%)
    - Showed more promising critical flaw sizes could be justified using probabilistic fracture mechanics
    - Tim will be working with Code committee on flaw evaluation standards to incorporate PFM for CASS material into Section XI
    - Important fracture mechanics questions linger on inspection volume and flaw type
      - Where do we expect flaws to happen?
      - What mechanism?
      - What morphology?

# Activities at TG-CASS (cont.)

- NDE Work
  - Industry and PNNL continue to press forward with projects to improve examination methods for CASS
    - Technical updates provided on quarterly basis, by both organizations
      - Strides being made with low frequency UT for CASS flaw detection
        - Primarily phased array techniques being studied, with some limited studies of conventional techniques
        - All studies conducted using encoded data
      - ID inspection capabilities also being studied now
        - Incorporating ET and UT examination
  - Detection capability shows promise on thinner material
    - Are all castings created equal?
  - Detection for thicker material and through-wall sizing are still major gaps for rolling CASS into Appendix VIII

# Activities at TG-CASS (cont.)

- Updating Appendix III
  - General TG opinion is that advancements in UT techniques for CASS should be employed for Appendix III examinations in the near term
    - Code Case and supporting white paper being drafted to add a CASS specific supplement 2 to Appendix III, containing updated UT techniques
      - Additional training or hands-on practice requirements
      - Use of frequencies and angles shown to be effective in CASS
      - Different approaches based on wall thickness

# Status on Existing Code Actions

- Work on Steve Doctor's Appendix VIII review comments
  - Supplement 14 – clarification of wording for procedure qualification test requirements for detection, length sizing and depth sizing
    - Submitted an intent inquiry with proposed Code change in August
    - Board approved in October
  - Next item to be introduced will be unclear wording in length sizing and depth sizing requirements of Supplement 14:

“(e) Supplement 2 or Supplement 3 examination procedures, equipment, and personnel are qualified for length-sizing when the flaw lengths estimated by ultrasonics, as compared with the true length, do not exceed 0.75 in. (19mm) RMS, when they are combined with a successful Supplement 10 qualification.”
- Supplement 12 Intent Inquiry
  - To clarify that the ferritic grading units added to a successful austenitic qualification were not intended to span the full thickness and diameter range of the qualification
    - Inquiry submitted in August – Board approved in October



# Additional Item of Interest

- NRC Report

- The next draft revision of 10CFR50.55a is scheduled out in April 2012 and will incorporate by reference up to the 2011 Addenda of Section XI
  - Will 50.55a be updated annually?
  - Each new Code addenda incorporated by NRC requires a review of industry PD Program to ensure compliance with all applicable Code years for Appendix VIII