

From: Caputo, Charles
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 3:23 PM
To: Jackson, Charles <cjacks4@entergy.com>; Curry, John J <jcurry2@entergy.com>
Subject: RE: Risks

We did not lose

From: Jackson, Charles
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 3:11 PM
To: Caputo, Charles; Curry, John J
Subject: RE: Risks

I agree, ***except we lost***. We can continue the fight or develop an AMP. Developing an AMP would be the path of least resistance, and risking approval on this item is just not worth it, even if morally right.

From: Caputo, Charles
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 2:25 PM
To: Curry, John J; Jackson, Charles
Subject: RE: Risks

I don't agree with NYS-8, Transformer. Specifically, we should not develop an AMP. This issue has been addressed by the industry (passive) and we need to provide all of the documentation that justifies not including them. We would be the only plant in the country to develop an AMP as far as I know.

From: Curry, John J
Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2008 3:02 PM
To: Caputo, Charles
Subject: FW: Risks

From: Jackson, Charles
Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2008 2:46 PM
To: Curry, John J
Subject: Risks

IPEC00091098

IPEC00091098