

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT EVALUATION FORM**

**EVENT CLASS: LAS - LOST, ABANDONED, STOLEN MATERIAL**

**LICENSEE / REPORTING PARTY INFORMATION:**

Licensee/Reporting party name:	Department of the Navy		
License number :			
Docket number :			
Licensee's City of record :	Keyport		
Licensees State of record :	Washington		
NRC regulated?	Yes	If so, what Region?	Region IV
Working under reciprocity?	No		

**EVENT INFORMATION:**

In what City and State did the event occur?	Keyport, Washington
Event date :	August 10, 2011
Discovery date :	August 10, 2011
Report date :	August 31, 2011
Agreement State reportable?	No
NRC reportable?	Yes
Reporting regulation :	10 CFR 20.2201(d)
NMED Item Number :	n/a

**ADDITIONAL PARTIES INVOLVED:**

Name :	n/a
License number :	
City :	
State :	

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT EVALUATION FORM**

**EVENT CLASS: LAS - LOST, ABANDONED, STOLEN MATERIAL**

CONSULTANT INFORMATION (if any):

Consultant name :	n/a
Company :	
Who hired consultant?	

DEVICE INFORMATION:

Manufacturer :	NRD, Inc.
Model number :	P-2021
Serial number :	A2GQ241

RADIATION SOURCE INFORMATION:

Isotope :	Polonium-210	
Activity :	10 mCi	
Manufacturer :	NRD, Inc.	
Model number :	P-2021	
Serial number :	A2GQ241	

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT EVALUATION FORM**

EVENT CLASS: LAS - LOST, ABANDONED, STOLEN MATERIAL

**NARRATIVE EVENT DESCRIPTION:**

On May 19, 2011, the Naval Undersea Warfare Center reported that 56 ionizing air nozzles, each containing 10 mCi of Polonium-210 had not been returned to the manufacturer, NRD, Inc. On August 10, 2011, one of those devices which was previously reported as missing, model P-2021, serial number A2GW241, was found. The manufacture date on the device was March, 2009. A wipe test was performed and found to be satisfactory. The device is stored in a secure locking cabinet until the unit can be packaged and returned to the manufacturer.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:**

All remaining in-service devices were removed from the shop area and shipped to the manufacturer.

**RECOMMENDED FOLLOWUP:**

Was a reactive inspection conducted?	No	If so, inspection report number :	n/a
Is LER recommended for closure?	Yes		
Is this NMED Item Number recommended to reflect "complete"?	n/a		

LER Evaluator:	Branch Chief or Designee Review:		
Name: <u>Shelton</u>	Date: <u>11/7/11</u>	Name: <u>AM [Signature]</u>	Date: <u>12/5/2011</u>