

StrataRossLANPEm Resource

From: Bjornsen, Alan
Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 12:36 PM
To: 'Steve Vance'
Subject: RE: Section 106 Process to the Ross Project

Hi, Steve. Just was wondering – did you receive a package in the mail this week from the NRC? It should have explained the upcoming tribal walkover of the site and subsequent consultation.

Regards,
Alan

From: Steve Vance [<mailto:steve.vance@crst-nsn.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 12:04 PM
To: Bjornsen, Alan
Subject: RE: Section 106 Process to the Ross Project

Good morning Alan,

I've been reading emails and have come across several THPO's mentioning a site visit to Crook County, Wyoming for a uranium recovery project. Is this the Ross Project or a different project?

I'm trying to arrange travel for several months ahead of time and wondering if this is new as I did not read anything that would inform me otherwise.

THPO's are having scheduling difficulties with NRC, FCC, and DOT meetings right now.

My concern with site visits this time of the year is the ground visibility, as we are presently walking about four hundred miles of the Missouri River, with four crews, and bench marking. The grass at times is chest high but mostly to the knees. What started out to be a forty day project has now entered the third month. These were the same issues at the site visit in North Dakota using at least a dozen people. I feel any site visit at this time of the year needs to allow sufficient time for complete identification and evaluation.

Have a good day.

Steve Vance CRST-THPO

From: Bjornsen, Alan [<mailto:Alan.Bjornsen@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2011 11:28 AM
To: Steve Vance
Cc: Moore, Johari; Davis (FSME), Jennifer
Subject: RE: Section 106 Process to the Ross Project

Steve,

NRC is currently reviewing the license application for completeness. Once we are assured it is sufficient for the detailed technical review, the ACHP will be notified.

Respectfully,

Alan B. Bjornsen

Environmental Project Manager
FSME/DWMEP/EPPAD/ERB
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852
(301) 415-1195

From: Steve Vance [<mailto:steve.vance@crst-nsn.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2011 8:54 PM
To: Bjornsen, Alan
Subject: Section 106 Process to the Ross Project

Greetings,

This was sent on 5-7-11 and delivery was delayed.

I have been out of the office for the past two weeks on site-visits with proposed projects.

The Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe would like to participate in the determination of license issuance for the proposed Ross uranium recovery Project, near the Missouri Buttes and Devils Tower. The Great Sioux Nation, "Lakota' Nakota, and Dakota", consisted of lands between the Mississippi River to the Big Horn Mountains and from parts of Canada to Oklahoma. Much of our history, cultural property, villages, and burials of ancestors still lie in the proposed Ross Project area. We feel a site-visit to this area be available for "all" Tribes with interest to cultural property. Identification and evaluation of sites should be by knowledgeable Tribal resource personnel not archeologists. We would like a list of all Tribes contacted for the issuance of a license to the proposed project, as any issuance would be premature to the proper identification of Traditional Cultural Property. Also a list of "Consulting Parties" would be appreciated.

Can you inform me if the ACHP have been notified or invited on this project?

The Chairman for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe is now Mr. Kevin Keckler. He replaced Joseph Brings Plenty.

Respectfully,
Steve Vance CRST THPO

Hearing Identifier: StrataEnergyRoss_LA_NonPublic
Email Number: 336

Mail Envelope Properties (D249FEABC1231D479F098614A6642877194C1AA1D5)

Subject: RE: Section 106 Process to the Ross Project
Sent Date: 8/18/2011 12:36:00 PM
Received Date: 8/18/2011 12:36:00 PM
From: Bjornsen, Alan

Created By: Alan.Bjornsen@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Steve Vance" <steve.vance@crst-nsn.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3677	8/18/2011 12:36:00 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: