

IMPLEMENTATION OF NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
December 1, 2011

AGENDA

- Welcome and Opening Comments
- Event Summary
- Implementation Strategy - NRC Overview
- Implementation Strategy - Industry Insights
- Public Input and Questions
- Closing Remarks

Jim Wiggins, Director
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
David Skeen, Director
Japan Lessons Learned Project Directorate

EVENT SUMMARY AND NRC RESPONSE

EVENT SUMMARY AND STAFF RESPONSE

- ◉ Incident Response Phase
 - March 11, 2011, Earthquake and Tsunami
 - ◉ NRC Operations Center activated
 - ◉ NRC personnel dispatched to Japan
 - Fukushima Daiichi
 - ◉ Prolonged Station Blackout
 - ◉ Fuel Damage and Offsite Release
- ◉ Lessons-Learned Phase
 - Efforts underway internationally
 - Safety enhancements for domestic plants

U.S. LESSONS-LEARNED DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

- ◉ March 30, 2011 - Near Term Task Force (NTTF) chartered
 - Methodical and systematic review
- ◉ July 12, 2011 - NTTF Report Issued
 - 12 overarching recommendations
- ◉ September 9, 2011 - Staff assessment of those NTTF recommendations that should be initiated without delay.
 - Commission direction received
- ◉ October 3, 2011 - Staff prioritization of NTTF recommendations
 - Commission direction pending

PATH FORWARD - PUBLIC MEETINGS

- ◉ Steering Committees' kick-off meeting
- ◉ Recommendation-specific follow-on meetings
- ◉ Meeting Objectives:
 - Schedule agreement - milestones/deliverables
 - Open dialogue on scenarios and criteria that will shape the resolution framework
 - Stakeholder input
 - Realistic and implementable
- ◉ Periodic joint Steering Committee meetings

TIER 1 RECOMMENDATIONS

- Initiate without delay
 - 2.1 - Hazard reevaluations (seismic /flooding)
 - 2.3 - Walkdowns (seismic/flooding)
 - 4.1 - Station blackout
 - 4.2 - 10 CFR 50.54(hh)(2) equipment
 - 5.1 - Reliable hardened vents, Mark I and II
 - Mark II subject to Commission approval
 - 7.1 - Spent fuel pool instrumentation
 - Subject to Commission approval
 - 8 - EOPs/SAMGs/EDMGs strengthening and integration rulemaking
 - 9.3 - Emergency preparedness
- Beginning implementation in accordance with Commission direction

PATH FORWARD - RESOLUTION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- Define success for each recommendation
 - Determine design conditions and parameters
 - Establish acceptance criteria for resolution
- Phased approach, whenever possible
 - Endpoint focused
 - Tactical steps to achieve success

POTENTIAL ASSUMPTIONS FOR TIER 1 DISCUSSIONS

- Beyond design basis natural event resulting in the following:
 - Affects all nuclear reactor units on specific site
 - Initiates a prolonged SBO (e.g., 72 hrs)
 - Impedes external support for an extended period (e.g., 72 hrs)
 - Interrupts access to normal ultimate heat sink.
- Each licensee develops and implements guidance and strategies intended to maintain or restore core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling capabilities
- These assumptions will be appropriately revised based on Commission direction and external stakeholder input received during of the recommendation-specific public meetings

NEXT STEPS

- ◉ Recommendation-specific meetings scheduled
- ◉ Develop regulatory vehicles (50.54(f) letters, orders, rules)
- ◉ Evaluate additional recommendations
 - Raised by internal and external stakeholders
 - Staff review process under development
 - Reviews by senior level staff, technical leads, and steering committee
 - ◉ Determine safety significance
 - ◉ Ensure clear nexus to Fukushima

NTTF IMPLEMENTATION MEETING

Implementation Strategy - Industry Insights

NTTF IMPLEMENTATION MEETING

Public Input and Questions on NRC's Implementation Plan

NTTF IMPLEMENTATION MEETING

Closing Remarks