

9/1/2011
76 FR 54502

As of: November 16, 2011
Received: November 15, 2011
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. 80f6cb51
Comments Due: November 16, 2011
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

51

Docket: NRC-2010-0029

Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for Renewal of Columbia Generating Station Facility Operating License

Comment On: NRC-2010-0029-0015

Energy Northwest, Columbia Generating Station; Notice of Availability of Draft Supplement 47 to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants and Public Meetings for the License Renewal of Columbia Generating Station

Document: NRC-2010-0029-DRAFT-0063

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-22415

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Adman

Address:

7815 NE 192nd Street
Kenmore, wa, 98028

RECEIVED

NOV 16 AM 8:50

RULES AND REGULATIONS

General Comment

I have the following comments:

The EIS fails to consider the impacts and risks of the proposal to use Plutonium fuel.

The EIS fails to disclose and consider the impacts of six major safety problems which NRC staff have formally reported as unresolved as of September 2011, including how - if even possible - Energy NW will ensure that embedded pipes will not fail ver the next 50 years ("Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives" 9-14).

I do not support relicensing until after we learn what was damaged and why at the Fukushima reactors, and the NRC incorporates new safety requirements.

The EIS should disclose and consider the impacts if there is an explosion, fire, earthquake... releasing radiation from Hanford facilities, preventing operation at the CGS reactor or recovery from an earthquake. Hanford's High-Level Waste tanks and highly contaminated buildings, including the nearby building that ENW proposes to use for Plutonium fuel, are not built to withstand anywhere near the potential earthquake that is possible.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03

Add: D. Doyle (DID)

S. Freeman (S2FI)