

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Wiebe, Joel
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:08 PM
To: Michael Pacilio
Cc: Tom Loomis
Subject: Exelon Fleet - Acceptance Review for Proposed Alternative to Utilize Code Case N-789 (Accepted) (TAC Nos. ME7303 - ME7319)

Dear Mr. Pacilio

By letter dated October 7, 2011 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML112800669), Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon), submitted a Proposed Alternative to Utilize Code Case N-789, "Alternative Requirements for Pad Reinforcement of Class 2 and 3 Moderate Energy Carbon Steel Piping for Raw Water Service, Section XI, Division 1" for Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, Clinton Power Station, Unit 1, Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2, Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1. Specifically, Exelon proposed the use of Code Case N-789 for Class 2 and 3 moderate-energy raw water piping system repairs resulting from degradation mechanisms such as erosion, corrosion, cavitation, or pitting.

The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

By e-mails dated October 21, 2011, and November 1, 2011, your staff was informed that your request was not accepted and provided the opportunity to supplement your request. The supplemental information was provided by letter dated November 14, 2011. The NRC staff has reviewed your request and the supplemental information and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the request in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6606.

Joel S. Wiebe, Sr. Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch III-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 204

Mail Envelope Properties (Joel.Wiebe@nrc.gov20111115150800)

Subject: Exelon Fleet - Acceptance Review for Proposed Alternative to Utilize Code Case N-789 (Accepted) (TAC Nos. ME7303 - ME7319)
Sent Date: 11/15/2011 3:08:29 PM
Received Date: 11/15/2011 3:08:00 PM
From: Wiebe, Joel

Created By: Joel.Wiebe@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Tom Loomis" <thomas.loomis@exeloncorp.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Michael Pacilio" <Michael.Pacilio@exeloncorp.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2870	11/15/2011 3:08:00 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: