

Northern States Power Company

414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 Telephone (612) 330-6071 D. E. Gilberts Senior Vice President Power Supply

September 10, 1981

Mr R L Spessard, Director Division of Resident and Project Inspection U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr Spessard:

MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT Docket No. 50-263 License No. DPR-22

In response to your letter of August 11, 1981, which transmitted IE Inspection Report No. 50-263/81-12, the following information is provided.

<u>Violation</u>

Technical Specification 6.2.A.4.b states, in part, that the Operations Committee (OC) shall review "Modifications to Plant System or Equipment, as Described in the Final Safety Analysis Report and having Nuclear Safety Significance..." Operational Quality Assurance Plan Section 5.2 "Design Change Control" requires that design changes be reviewed, a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation be performed, and appropriate installation procedures be prepared and utilized.

Contrary to the above, the 250 volt DC battery system was changed from that described in the FSAR without the required OC review, and prior to the completion of the activities required by the licensee's procedures. This resulted in a degradation of the battery system, while the unit was in operation. The event was identified by the licensee and reported in LER 81-16.

This is a Severity Level IV violation.

Response

The Operational Quality Assurance Plan Section 5.2, "Design Change Control" which requires design changes to be reviewed, a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation performed and appropriate installation procedures be prepared and utilized was inadvertently violated.



Mr Spessard U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission

September 10, 1981 Page Two

The violation resulted from personnel failing to identify the work as a design change, rather than from any deficiency in the Design Control or Work Request processes. Appropriate action to prevent recurrence is to assure that the personnel initiating and processing Work Requests are:

- 1. capable of identifying items which may be design changes.
- 2. aware of the requirements of the Work Request and Design Control processes, and,
- 3. aware of the importance of these requirements.

These items have been reviewed with the personnel involved in initiating the work associated with this violation, including those who function as WRA Coordinator and have responsibility for proper processing of all Work Requests. A memo concerning these items has also been issued to plant personnel who may initiate similar work. Annual training will be revised to emphasize these items for all site personnel who may be involved in such work. This training is expected to be implemented by January 1, 1982.

Yours truly,

L J Wachter

Vice President

Power System Operation and Maintenance

for

D E Gilberts Senior Vice President

cc: Mr C H Brown Mr G Charnoff

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY

MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT

Docket No. 50-263

LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 10, 1981
RESPONDING TO NRC LETTER DATED AUGUST 11, 1981
IE INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-263/81-12

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, by this letter dated September 10, 1981 hereby submits, in response to the NRC letter dated August 11, 1981, our answer to IE Inspection Report No. 50-263/81-12.

This request contains no restricted or other defense information.

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY

L J Wachter
Vice President
Power System Operation

and Maintenance

BETTY J. DEAN
NOTARY PUBLIC - MINNESOTA
RAMSEY COUNTY
My Commission Expires Dec 16 1987