CONTROL NO: 3917 (TEMPORARY FORM) ENVIRO FILE MEMO RPT OTHER DATE OF DOC: LTR DATE REC'D FROM: Department of Agriculture 7-18-72 7-17-72 х Washington, D. C. 20250 T. C. Byerly X SENT AEC PDR CC OTHER ORIG TO: SENT LOCAL PDR v D. R. Muller 1 signed DOCKET NO: NO CYS REC'D PROP INFO INPUT CLASS: U) 50-263 1 ENCLOSURES: DESCRIPTION: Comments of the Soil Conservation Service Ltr furnishing comments on Draft Enviro Statement for the Monticello, Unit # 1.... Comments of the Forest Service trans the following: ACKNOWLEDGED (1 cy ea encl recDa) NOT REMOVE PLANT NAMES: Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit # 1 7-18-72 FOR ACTION/INFORMATION fod KNIGHTON (ENVIRC VASSALLO(L) ZIEMANN(L) BUTLER(L) KNIEL(L) W/ Copies W/ Copies :/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies CHITWOOD(FM) SCHWENCER(L) H. DENTON CLARK(L)YOUNGBLOOD W/ Copies W/ Copies W/4 Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies DICKER (ENVIRO) SCHEMEL(L) STOLZ(L)GOLLER(L) W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies W/ Copies INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION KARAS-L L/A PWR REG FILES STELLO-L VOLLMER-L **ZIEMANN** MASON-L L/A BWR DENTON-L AEC PDR MOORE-L BROWN-L L/A PWR GRIMES-L REG OPER (2) (1) LANGE-L WILSON-L L/A PWR OGC-RM P-506 PAWLICKI-L GAMMILL-L KARI-L L/A BWR KNIGHTON-ENVIRO THOMPSON-L MUNTZING & STAFF SMITH-L L/A BWR DICKER-ENVIRO TEDESCO-L GIAMBUSSO-L GEARIN-L L/A BWR PROJ LDR ENVIRO: BOYD-L-BWR LONG-L DIGGS-L L/A LAINAS-L DEYOUNG-L-PWR TEETS-L L/A SALTZMAN-IND. SHAO-L MULLER-L-ENVIRO WADE-L L/A ENVIRO McDONALD-PLANS BENAROYA-L SKOVHOLT-L-OPER BRAITMAN-A/T NUSSBAUMER-FM KNUTH-L MORRIS-RO HARLESS-ENVIRO SMILEY-FM MACCARY-L DUBE-L P. COLLINS-L C.MILES E. CASE-L SCHROEDER-L EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION 1-SAN/LA/NY--PDR 1-LOCAL PDR Minneapolis, Minn. 1-CHIEF WATER REACTORS MATIONAL LAB'S 1-DTIE-(LAUGHLIN) BNWL 1-RD....E. HALL F-309 GT 1-NSIC-(BUCHANAN) 1-R. CARROLL-OC, GT 1-ASLB-YORE/SARYE -1-R. CATLIN, A-170, GT WOODWARD/H. ST. 1-CONSULTANT'S 1-C. MILES-C-459, GT NEWMARK/ELUME/AGBABIAN 16 CYS ACRS-HOLDING 1-DR. LEVINE BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LAB

AEC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL



## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

50-263



July 17, 1972

Mr. Daniel R. Muller Assistant Director for Environmental Projects Directorate of Licensing Atomic Energy Commission Washington, D. C. 20545

Dear Mr. Muller:

We have had the draft environmental statement for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 1, reviewed in the relevant agencies of the Department of Agriculture. Comments of the Soil Conservation Service and the Forest Service, both agencies of the Department, are enclosed.

Sincerely,

ent T. C. BYERLY Assistant Director Science and Education

Enclosures



The Soil Conservation Service statement on Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Northern States Power Company, Minn.

The draft environmental statement for the project appears to be a very thorough document. We are glad to learn a system of monitoring the effect of the thermal pollution is underway. It appears to us a qualified research agency could make a valuable contribution in the direction and interpretation of the monitoring. We are especially concerned about the effect the increased water temperature might have on animal and plant life in the river.



j,

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE



Re: Draft Environmental Statement Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

2.4

As you requested we have reviewed the subject statement relating to the conversion of a provisional operating license granted to the Northern States Power Company to an operating license for the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant.

The plant site is located on the Mississippi River about 3 miles northwest of the town of Monticello in Wright County, Minnesota. The statement indicates that 220 acres of land was removed from agriculture use as a result of plant construction. The statement does not report how much land was used for transmission lines. In each case we believe the statement should provide the acreage of woodland that was cleared.

Environmental considerations involved in the location, construction, and maintenance of the transmission lines are presented. However, the statement does not discuss methods used for disposal of waste vegetation cleared from land acquired for new lines. The question could be asked--is Northern States utilizing non air polluting practices alternative to open burning in disposing of vegetation cleared from rights-of-way?

On page V-32, reference is made to the environmental radiation monitoring program which is also well described in the supplemental environmental report. However, we recommend that provisions for implementing the monitoring program be made more clear.



- 30-

