2010

LACBWR

DECOMMISSIONING & DECONTAMINATION COST STUDY UPDATE

Prepared by LACBWR Staff

November 2010

LACBWR continues to be committed in its efforts to provide an accurate, realistic update to the estimated decommissioning cost every five years, or when significant changes have occurred. This revised cost study is an addendum to the 1994 study performed by Sargent & Lundy. Since the original 1994 cost study was performed, many lessons learned from the experiences of others, and technological changes have allowed us to revise the overall scope of the dismantlement project at LACBWR. Updated decontamination factors, decontamination methods and cost containments have enabled us to update the original estimated burial volumes, contingencies, and escalators, to provide a more accurate full funding level. This revision provides an up-to-date study using new methods and actual lessons learned to ensure that Dairyland's financial planning is adequate to ensure sufficient funds will be available for the decommissioning of LACBWR after the removal of the spent fuel.

Reference Materials

- 1. Sargent & Lundy, "LACBWR Decommissioning Cost Study" (1994)
- 2. AIF/NESP-036, "Guidelines for Producing Commercial Nuclear Power Plants Decommissioning Cost Estimates" (1986)
- 3. LaGuardia, T., and Dietz, W., "Decommissioning Cost Estimates and Schedule," Chapter 13
- 4. TLG Services, "Trends in Nuclear Decommissioning Costs"
- 5. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, "Direct Testimony of Wm. Manion," Feb. 2002
- 6. Main Yankee LTP, Section 7, "Update of Site Specific Decommissioning Costs"
- 7. U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics 1987-2007
- 8. Review of PGE's Decommissioning Plan for the Trojan Nuclear Plant
- 9. 1998 LACBWR Decommissioning and Decontamination Cost Study Update
- 10. 2000 LACBWR Decommissioning and Decontamination Cost Study Update
- 11. 2003 LACBWR Decommissioning and Decontamination Cost Study Update
- 12. 2007 LACBWR Decommissioning and Decontamination Cost Study Update
- 13. Sargent & Lundy "Independent Review of Decommissioning Cost Study for LACBWR" (SL010039, April 15, 2010)
- 14. AIF/NESP-036 Guidelines for Producing Commercial Nuclear Power Plant Decommissioning Cost Estimates
- 15. 2004 DOE Study of Construction Technologies and Schedules, O&M Staffing and Cost, Decommissioning Cost and Funding Requirements for Advanced Reactor Designs
- 16. Energy Solutions Schedule of Charges Effective Date January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2016.

Changes

Since the 1994 study, technological changes have occurred in the dismantlement of a nuclear power station. New knowledge and experience in decommissioning methods and philosophies have been gained from actual plant dismantlement, not only at LACBWR but at other shutdown facilities. The disposal of radioactive waste, once a volatile market, has somewhat stabilized in recent years. Expected sharp rises in radioactive waste disposal costs have not been experienced as they were in the 1980's. Because of these changes, the original 1994 cost study methodologies, assumptions, contingencies, and escalators can be modified to provide a more accurate, more realistic cost study.

Attachment 3 contains a list of changes to the 1994 Cost Study from the previous revisions, (1998, 2000, 2003 and 2007)

Following are the latest revisions to the 1994 cost study:

- All costs have been adjusted, based on 2010 dollars.
- > Spent fuel will be placed in dry storage at an onsite ISFSI in 2011. It will be sent to an offsite repository when one becomes available.
- > Dismantlement of LACBWR will continue to be performed by plant personnel, in a limited fashion, until all spent fuel has been placed in dry storage.
- ➤ Once all spent fuel has been placed in dry storage, full-time dismantlement will commence. The work will be performed by DPC personnel and supplemented by contractors as necessary. This will begin as early as 2012 and is expected to last for approximately seven years.
- Labor costs for 2010 will be based on a DPC labor cost for metal removal. Specialty contractor rate for concrete removal will be used on System IDs where concrete or soil removal is required.

Assumptions

- o DPC labor cost \$68.30/hr
- o Contractor labor cost \$83.20/hr
- o Contractor labor and equipment cost \$145.60/hr
- o Blended rate (DPC and Contractor with equipment \$106.95/hr)

- Each system ID was reviewed to determine an appropriate unit removal rate. This was accomplished using Reference 15 as recommended by Sargent & Lundy in Reference 13. The system unit removal rates are listed on Attachment 4.
- For the shipment of concrete and soils, voids in the packaging need to be considered. Sargent & Lundy uses a 40% void factor. Shipping and burial costs for concrete and soil removal during decommissioning will be determined by applying a factor of 1.4 to the volumes removed.
- As recommended by the Sargent & Lundy study (Reference 13), several contingency values have been updated to reflect the recommendations of AIF/NESP-036 (Reference 14).
 - o Materials contingency has been raised from DPC's 10% to the recommended rate of 25%.
 - DOC contingency has been raised from DPC's 10% to the recommended rate of 15%.
 - o Reprocessing contingency has been raised from DPC's 0% to the recommended rate of 5%.
- Due to the uncertainties of the burial rates in years beyond, DPC's pricing contract (Reference 16), which expires in 2016, the burial contingency for soil and concrete has been raised from 25% to 50%. This has been applied to the following System I.D. numbers: 211/212/213/216/217/218/219.
- As per Reference 16, the annual escalated rates for Radwaste Recycling and Radwaste Burial will be 5%.
- > All costs for reprocessing and burial of radioactive material are based on Reference 16.
- System 221.3 FESW removal including racks. System weight has been revised to reflect true weights found from system drawings
- >> System 230 Turbine 130,260 lbs. were removed from the total weight as this material has been sent to burial since 2007.
- > System 230 Main generator total weight was reduced by 10,000 lbs. due to the coolers being sent to burial since 2007.
- System 231 Turbine lube oil. This system was removed except for the clean/dirty oil tank.

Add System 1100 to cover cost of the ISFSI decommissioning. This will be a separate funded system number.

System Identification

This revised cost study consists of two separate attachments covering each system and/or component to be removed. These attachments cover the dismantlement activities of the systems and/or components identified by the following ID number system:

ID#	Components	ID#	Components
211	Site Work - removal of contaminated soil & riprap	231	Removal of Turbine oil system
212	Reactor Building Decon	232	Removal of Main Condenser & Accessories
213	Turbine Building and Heater Bay Decon	233/235	Removal of the T.B. Condensate and Feedwater System
216	Waste Treatment Building and Tunnel Decon	234	Removal of the TB Steam System
217	Gas Storage Vault Decon	236	Removal of TB CCW
218	Stack Decon	237	Removal of Alternate Core Spray
219	Penetration Area Decon	238	Removal of the HPSW system in Turbine Building
221.2	Reactor Control Devices – upper CRD's/instrument tubes/valves and insulation	238.1	Removal of Circulating Water system in Turbine Building
221.3	FESW Removal, including racks	238.2	Removal of LPSW system in Turbine Building
222.1	Removal of FCP System	240	Temporary Construction Electrical Work
222.2	Removal of Rx Main Steam System	241	Removal of Electrical
222.3	Removal of Decay Heat System	242	Station Service Equipment
222.4	Removal of Purification System	243	Misc. Electrical
222.5	Removal of Reactor Feedwater Sys.	251	Removal of Instrument/Service Air
223.2	Removal of FESW System	253	Removal of Heating Steam
223.3	Removal of Seal Injection System	300	Asbestos Removal
223.5	Removal of Overhead Storage Tank	600	Resin Management
223.7	Removal of HVA System	700	Normal Radioactive Waste Management
224.1/	Removal of Liquid Waste System	801	Temporary Facilities
224.2	Removal of Waste Gas System	802	Equipment Needs
224.3	Removal of Solid Waste (Resin Transfer) System	804	Radiological Equipment & Supplies

ID#	Components	ID#	Components
225	Removal of Fuel Handling Equipment	805	Liquid and Solid Waste Removal
226	Removal of Reactor Building CCW System	807	Final Site Cleanup
228.1	Removal of Reactor Building demin. Water system	900	Indirect Costs
228.2	Removal of Misc. Reactor Water System	1000	Final Release Survey
229	Removal of Reactor Support System	1100	ISFSI
230	Removal of Main Turbine		
230.1	Removal of Main Generator		

The two attachments list such items as total weight, man-hours, expected personnel exposure, disposal rates, and contingencies.

- o Attachment 1 provides a summary of costs
- o Attachment 2 provides an individual system cost breakdown

As each system or component is removed and sent to disposal, its associated costs will be deducted from the decommissioning total, which will provide for an up-to-date cost estimate at any time in the future.

System Classification

As a lesson learned from the dismantlement of other nuclear facilities, removal costs and removal contingency percentages vary, depending upon whether the system is a contaminated system or can be classified as a potentially clean system. To more accurately determine LACBWR's final cost and to better apply reprocessing, labor, and burial costs, LACBWR dismantlement activities are broken into categories based on contamination levels as follows:

- > > 20 mRem/hr general dose rate
- < 20 mRem/hr general dose rate</p>
- > Potentially clean, < 0.1 mRem/hr general dose rate

Potentially Clean

ID#	Components
211	Site Work - removal of contaminated soil & riprap
223.7	Removal of HVA System
226	Removal of Reactor Building CCW system
228.1	Removal of Reactor Building demin. water system
229	Removal of Reactor Support System
230.1	Removal of Main Generator
231	Removal of Turbine oil system
236	Removal of TB CCW
237	Removal of Alternate Core Spray
238	Removal of the HPSW system in Turbine Building
238.1	Removal of Circulating Water system in Turbine Building
238.2	Removal of LPSW system in Turbine Building
240	Temporary Construction Electrical Work
241	Removal of Electrical
242	Station Service Equipment
243	Misc. Electrical
251	Removal of Instrument/Service Air
253	Removal of Heating Steam
801	Temporary Facilities
802	Equipment Needs
804	Radiological Equipment & Supplies
807	Final Site Cleanup
900	Indirect Costs
1000	Final Release Survey
1100	ISFSI

< 20 mRem/hr General Dose Rate

ID#	Components
212	Reactor Building Concrete Removal
213	Turbine Building and Heater Bay Decon
216	Waste Treatment Building and Tunnel Decon
217	Gas Storage Vault Decon
218	Stack Decon
219	Penetration Area Decon
222.2	Removal of Rx Main Steam System
222.3	Removal of Decay Heat System

ID#	Components
222.4	Removal of Purification System
222.5	Removal of Reactor Feedwater Sys.
223.2	Removal of FESW System
223.3	Removal of Seal Injection System
223.5	Removal of Overhead Storage Tank
224.1/227	Removal of Liquid Waste System
224.2	Removal of Waste Gas System
224.3	Removal of Solid Waste (Resin Transfer) System
225	Removal of Fuel Handling Equipment
228.2	Removal of Misc. Reactor Water System
230	Removal of the Main Turbine
232	Removal of Main Condenser & Accessories
233/235	Removal of the T.B. Condensate and Feedwater System
234	Removal of the TB Steam System
300	Asbestos Removal
700	Normal Radioactive Waste Management
805	Liquid and Solid Waste Removal

> 20 mRem/hr General Dose Rate

ID#	Components
221.2	Reactor Control Devices - upper CRD's/ instrument tubes/ valves and insulation
221.3	FESW Removal, including racks
222.1	Removal of FCP System
600	Resin Management

Labor

An extensive review of the labor rates was performed for this update to ensure realistic rates are used. Sargent & Lundy performed a review as found in Reference 13. In addition, DPC personnel conducted an independent review of appropriate labor rates. The following labor rates have been determined and are utilized in this update:

- 1. DPC personnel (LACBWR) cost = \$68.30/hr
- 2. Contracted labor rate to DPC = \$83.20/hr
- 3. Contracted labor rate with required equipment to DPC = \$145.60/hr

For this study, the DPC personnel labor cost of \$68.30/hr will be used for all system IDs requiring the removal of metal.

For the removal of concrete or soils or blended crew of 50% DPC personnel and 50% contracted labor with equipment will be used for a blended labor rate of \$106.95/hr.

Contingency estimates that are to be applied to all costs in this study were determined by reviewing references 1, 2, and 3.

The contingency estimates to be applied to labor costs vary slightly in the three referenced documents. Using the Sargent & Lundy 1994 report as our base reference, the following contingency estimates have been applied to LACBWR's dismantlement labor costs:

- > Contaminated component removal 25% (Ref. 1)
- Clean component removal 15% (Ref. 2)
- Contaminated concrete removal 25% (Ref. 1)

Shipping

Transportation of material from LACBWR will be made by either truck or rail. In most cases, truck transport will be utilized because it is readily available and normally less expensive. Rail will be used to transport contaminated concrete and soils because of the large volumes of material needing transport.

Shipping costs have fluctuated slightly due to fuel costs since LACBWR's first cost study in 1994. Both Burlington Northern Railroad and Hittman Nuclear Transporters were contacted to obtain their transportation rates.

Contingency estimates are again determined by reviewing the documents listed in the labor section of this report. Using the Sargent & Lundy 1994 report as our base reference, the following contingency estimates will be applied to LACBWR's dismantlement shipping costs:

> Conventional Radwaste shipping, both truck and rail – 15% (Ref. 2)

Due to the stability of transportation costs and expected increases as designated by our reprocessing contractor, an annual escalation of 3% per year will be used on all waste shipments.

Radioactive Waste Recycling

As the decommissioning of nuclear power plants has moved from its infancy, a significant cost savings measure has been found. The use of radwaste processors has been found to consistently decrease the cost for direct burial of decommissioning waste by approximately 50% (Ref. 4). LACBWR has been a long-time user of radwaste processors. Past experience of radioactive waste shipments from LACBWR, sent for processing, has shown that of the total material processed approximately 50% has been sent to burial. LACBWR will continue to process all of the Class A material removed, except concrete and soil, to reduce the total volume of waste material needing burial at Energy Solutions.

A unique aspect in the use of a radwaste processor is that, by signing an agreement for the processing, set rates are established between LACBWR and the processor. The processor's contracted rates cover both the processing and burial of materials sent to their facilities. These radwaste processing changes are fixed by contract. The pricing used in this update is per Reference 16. A Tennessee tax of \$0.015/lb. has been applied to the processing cost of the material sent to Tennessee for reprocessing.

As recommended by Sargent & Lundy in Reference 13, a 5% contingency rate has been added to the recycling fees to cover the uncertainty of the amount of material to be sent to the reprocessor.

Radwaste processing costs contain both the processing and disposal costs of all material. Processor representatives were contacted to determine if there was a trend in cost escalation that could be found. All Class A material requiring burial will be sent to Energy Solutions for disposal. The current annual burial costs at Energy Solutions are escalating at a rate of 5%. This is the escalation rate used by LACBWR's processor as per Reference 16, and is used for this study.

Burial

The cost of radioactive waste burial has been the most volatile element in determining the decommissioning cost of a nuclear power plant. These burial costs, however, have been much more stable in the last few years and have not displayed sharp increases as were seen in the 1990's.

All LACBWR Class A metallic waste and DAW (not concrete or soil) will be sent to a processor and are not considered in the burial costs. All concrete and soil produced in the

decommissioning of LACBWR will be sent to Energy Solutions for direct burial. These shipments, due to volume, will be made by rail when possible. Class B and C waste, will not be created as a burial site is not available after 2008.

The pricing used in this update is per Reference 16. A 5.263% tax has been added to the burial fees for all material sent to direct burial at Clive, UT.

The contingency estimates to be applied to burial cost vary slightly in the reference documents. This study uses the following contingency percentage as realistic values:

- o Conventional radwaste burial 25%
- o Soil and concrete radwaste burial 50%

The burial cost in this study will be routinely monitored, and any large cost fluctuations may require a reevaluation of LACBWR's decommissioning cost. This study uses the following annual escalation rates for burial of material.

Energy Solutions 5% – as per Reference 16 by LACBWR's processor.

<u>Materials</u>

The cost of materials/consumables throughout this process has remained relatively constant. Therefore, no changes are made to this cost. The escalator rate of 4% and the contingency rate of 25% are as recommended in Reference 13.

<u>Decommissioning Operations Contractor (DOC) – ID # 900</u>

It was initially thought that a DOC needed to be hired by the utility to perform the dismantlement for the utility. Other decommissioning projects have demonstrated this to be a costly option. Lessons learned have indicated that by the utility assuming the role of the prime contractor, and hiring specialty contractors as needed, an indirect cost savings of up to 20% is obtained. DPC has already assumed the prime contractor role through limited dismantlement and its efforts to place the fuel in dry storage.

The escalator rate of 4% and contingency rate of 15% as recommended in Reference 13.

Payout

After the spent fuel has been removed from the reactor building and placed in dry storage, LACBWR will enter a full dismantlement period. During this period, DPC will assume the role of the DOC, as stated above, and DPC employees will assume primary dismantlement duties. They will be supplemented by contract specialists as is deemed necessary. Using this approach, full dismantlement of LACBWR, once the fuel is removed, is estimated to be completed in seven years. Tasks will be completed in various time frames. The following is an assumed timetable:

Years 1 thru 4	All S&L ID #s not specifically stated in this table. Plus 2/3 of period 3 and 4 indirect cost.	
Years 5 and 6	S&L ID #s 211, 212, 213, 216, 217, 218, 219. Plus 1/3 of period 3 and 4 indirect cost.	
Year 7	S&L ID #s 807 and 1000. Plus period 5 indirect costs.	

This work schedule would cause the following payout schedule from the decommissioning fund:

	2010 Costs	% of Total Cost
YEAR I	\$7,096,565	10.5%
YEAR 2	\$7,096,565	10.5%
YEAR 3	\$7,096,565	10.5%
YEAR 4	\$7,096,565	10.5%
YEAR 5	\$13,904,804	20.5%
YEAR 6	\$13,904,804	20.5%
YEAR 7	\$11,637,216	17.0%
TOTAL	\$67,833,082	`

TABLE 1

2010 Cost Study Contingency Estimates

LABOR	
Contaminated component removal	25%
Contaminated concrete removal	25%
Clean component removal	15%
SHIPPING	
Contaminated material shipments	15%
RADWASTE RECYCLING	5%
RADIOACTIVE WASTE BURIAL	
Conventional radwaste burial	25%
Soil and concrete radwaste burial	50%
MATERIAL	25%
DOC	15%

TABLE 2

2010 Cost Study Annual Escalator Rates

LABOR	4%
SHIPPING	
Contaminated material shipments	3%
RADWASTE RECYCLING	5%
(Includes burial at Energy Solutions)	
RADWASTE BURIAL	
Energy Solutions (All soil and concrete)	5%
MATERIAL	4%
DOC	4%

TABLE 3
Yearly Escalated Costs

2011	\$69,977,317	2020	\$102,138,947
2012	\$72,973,926	2021	\$106,533,746
2013	\$76,100,505	2022	\$111,120,098
2014	\$79,362,764	2023	\$115,906,463
2015	\$82,766,669	2024	\$120,901,678
2016	\$86,318,450		
2017	\$90,024,617		·
2018	\$93,891,968		
2019	\$97,927,605		

System/Project: Removal of Site Contaminated Soil and Riprap							
1994 S&	&L ID#: _	211		LACBWF	R System #:25_		
А <u>R</u>	eproces	sing Rates:	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = N/A				
В <u>В</u>	urial Rat	<u>es</u> :	= Soil and debris \$65/ft ³ + 5.263%	disposal direct to C % tax = \$68.42/ft ³	live, UT		
C <u>T</u>	ransport	ation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40	= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions			
E La F W D N H	abor Rat Veights L Pirt Weigh Iormal co ligh dens 8 lbs/ft o	e: Jsed for Convolute 150 lbs/ft procrete weigh ity concrete v f 8" piping sci	= 1.3 man hours/cy = Contract labor rate with equipment \$145.60/hr version t³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 nt = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves				
			MATERIAL I	REMOVED			
Piping < 2.5"					lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs		
			Total	weight =	lbs lbs		
1	NOTES: Soil by NW WTB = 1,080 ft ³ Outfall Riprap = 13,365 ft ³ Total = 14,445 ft ³ (535 cy) 1.4 Void Factor – Burial Volume = 20,223 ft ³						
	COSTS Assigned labor hours: 696 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0 Rem					m	
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies	s	
Labor		\$145.60/hr	\$101,338	15	\$116,538		
Shippin	ng	\$6,100/car	\$72,450	15	\$83,318		
Reproc	essing	49	-	-	-		
Burial		\$68.42ft ³	\$1,383,658	50	\$2,075,487		
Materia	al	_	-	-	-		
			\$1,557,446	= TOTAL =	\$2,275,343		

System/Pro	ject:	Reactor Bui	lding Concrete Remo	oval	
1994 S&L II	D#: <u>212</u>			LACBWR System #: _	37
A <u>Repr</u>	ocessing	Rates: = A	2010 Rates Used to I Airlock and rebar to C 60.63/lb. + \$0.015 tax	oak Ridge, TN, for GIC	release
B <u>Buria</u>	ıl Rates:	= (Concrete disposal dire 665/ft ³ + 5.263% tax =	ect to Clive, UT	
C <u>Trans</u>	sportation			lbs of waste material to 00 lbs of waste to Ene	
	Removal r Rate:		2.7 man hours/cy co	ncrete / 0.05 man hou vith equipment for con	rs/lb. metal
Dirt V Norm High 58 lb	Veight = nal concre density c s/ft of 8"	for Conversion 150 lbs/ft ³ ete weight = 150 concrete weight piping schedule nent weights ar	70 lbs/ft ³ 4 = 230 lbs/ft ³ 8 = 80 5	.66 lb/ft of 3" piping so 0 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate ste 00 lbs for 8" valves 00 lbs for 3" – 8" valve . manuals when availa	el
			MATERIAL RE	MOVED	
Pipi Valv Valv Plat		- 8" om tanks or coi	Linear fee Linear fee mponents s/rebar 579,000 lbs. crete = 13,340,499 lb	et = = =	lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs
NO [*]	3	0,285,499 lbs. 3,650,000 lbs. o Fotal = 13,340,4	Total website by burial/metal reproces of 150 lbs/ft ³ = 68,57 f 230 lbs/ft ³ = 15,870 lbs/84,440 ft ³ /312 Void Factor – Burial V	0 ft ³ ft ³ 7 cy	lbs lbs
•		s-concrete: <u>8,0</u> 4 s-metal: <u>29,750</u>			nRem: <u>0.1</u> Rem
 		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies
Concrete Labo	or Or	\$146.60/hr	\$1,179,983	25	\$1,474,979
Metal Labor		\$68.30/hr	\$2,031,925	25	\$2,539,906
Shipping-Cond	crete	\$6100/Car	\$452,095	15	\$519,909
Shipping-Meta	 al	\$4500/Truck	\$66.938	15	\$76.979

	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies
Concrete Labor	\$146.60/hr	\$1,179,983	25	\$1,474,979
Metal Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$2,031,925	25	\$2,539,906
Shipping-Concrete	\$6100/Car	\$452,095	15	\$519,909
Shipping-Metal	\$4500/Truck	\$66,938	15	\$76,979
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$383,775	5	\$402,964
Burial	\$68.42/ft ³	\$8,088,339	50	\$12,132,509
Material	-	-	-	•
		£40 000 0EE		C17 147 045

\$12,203,055	=	TOTAL	=	\$17,147,245

Syste	m/Project	:Turbine	e Building and Heat	er Bay Decon		
1994	S&L ID#:	213		LACBWF	R System #:	19
Α	Reproces	ssing Rates:	2010 Rates Used = N/A	to Determine Cost		
В	Burial Ra	<u>tes</u> :	= Concrete disposa \$65/ft ³ + 5.263%	al direct to Clive, U7 tax = \$68.42/ft³	-	
С	Transport	tation Cost:		0,000 lbs of waste m 180,000 lbs of waste	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
D E F	Labor Ra Weights I Dirt Weig Normal co High den	<u>te</u> : <u>Jsed for Conv</u> ht = 150 lbs/ft oncrete weigh	= 2.1 man hours/c = Contract labor reversion 3 at = 150 lbs/ft ³ weight = 230 lbs/ft ³	ey rate \$83.20/hr 7.66 lb/ft of 3" pip	ing schedule 80 te steel res	
G	Larger co	mponent weig	<u>ahts</u> are obtained fro	om tech. manuals w	hen available	
			MATERIAL	REMOVED		
	Piping < Piping > Valves > Valves 2 Plate ste Others:	2.5" -8" 2.5" – 8"		r feet = r feet = = = =	lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs	
			Total	weight =	lbs lbs	
	NOTES	High labor		n building decon (2 as building decon is e = 77 ft ³		
	Assigne	ed labor hours	<u>COS</u> : <u>7043 hrs</u>		d ManRem: <u>0.1</u>	Rem
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	gencies
Labor	-	\$83.20/hr	\$585,978	25	\$732,472	
Shipp		\$6,100/car	\$280	15	\$322	
	ocessing	-	-	•	-	
Buria	 	\$68.42/ft ³	\$5,268	50	\$7,902	
Mater	rial	•	-	-	-	
			\$591,526	= TOTAL =	\$740,697	,

Syste	m/Project:	<u>Waste</u>	Treatment Building	and Tunnel Decon		
1994	S&L ID#: _	216		LACBWF	R System #:17	
			2010 Rates Used	to Determine Cost		
Α	Reproces	sing Rates:	= N/A			
В	Burial Rat	<u>es</u> :	= Concrete dispose \$65/ft ³ + 5.263%			
С	Transport	ation Cost:			aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn. e to Energy Solutions	
D	Unit Remo	oval Rate:	= 2.1 man hours/d	•	o to Energy continue	
	Labor Rat		= Contract labor r	•		
F	Weights L	Jsed for Conv	<u>rersion</u>		•	
	Dirt Weigh	nt = 150 lbs/ft	3	7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipi	ing schedule 80	
	Normal co	ncrete weigh	t = 150 lbs/ft ³	40 lbs/ft² of 1" plan	te steel	
	High dens	sity concrete v	weight = 230 lbs/ft^3	800 lbs for 8" valv	es	
		f 8" piping scl		000 100 101 0		
G	Larger co	mponent weig	<u>ahts</u> are obtained fro	om tech. manuals w	hen available	
	, ,		MATERIAL I	REMOVED		
	Dining	2 E"	Linon	foot -	lh a	
	Piping < Piping >			rfeet = rfeet =	lbs lbs	
	Valves >		Lincal	=	lbs	
	Valves 2			=	lbs	
	Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs					
	Others:				lbs	
	= lbs					
	lbs Total weight = lbs					
		•	Total	weight -		
	NOTES:	302 ft ³ of c	oncrete removed for	area decon (11 cy)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			actor – Burial Volum			
		High labor	hours are assigned	as area decon is lat	por dependent	
	····		cos		···	
	Assigne	d labor hours			ManRem: 0.1 Rem	
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies	
Labor		\$83.20/hr	\$331,718	25	\$414,648	
Shipp		\$6,100/car	\$1,535	15	\$1,765	
	cessing	<u>-</u>	-	-	-	
Burial		\$68.42/ft ³	\$28,942	50	\$43,413	
Mater	ial	-	-	-	-	
			\$362,195	= TOTAL =	\$459,826	

System/Projec	t: <u> </u>	<u>orage Vault Decon</u>			
1994 S&L ID#:	217		LACBWF	R System #:25	
		2010 Pates Used	to Determine Cost		
A Reproce	ssing Rates:	= N/A	to Determine Cost		
B <u>Burial Ra</u>	<u>ates</u> :	= Concrete dispose \$65/ft ³ + 5.263%		_	
C <u>Transpo</u>	rtation Cost:			aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.	
D Unit Ren	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions nit Removal Rate: = 2.1 man hours/cy				
	<u> </u>				
	Used for Conv				
	ght = 150 lbs/ft	_	7.66 lb/ft of 3" pip		
	concrete weigh		40 lbs/ft² of 1" pla	•	
•	•	weight = 230 lbs/ft ³			
	of 8" piping scl		500 lbs for 3" – 8"		
G <u>Larger c</u>	omponent weit	<u>ahts</u> are obtained fro	om tecn. manuais w	nen avallable	
		MATERIAL	REMOVED		
Piping ·	< 2.5"	Linea	r feet =	lbs	
	Piping > 2.5" Linear feet = lbs				
	Valves >8" = lbs				
	Valves 2.5" – 8" = lbs				
	Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs Others:				
Others.	= lbs				
	lbs				
		Total	weight =	lbs	
NOTES	6; 35 ft ³ of co	ncrete removed for a	area decon (1.3 cy)		
	1.4 Void Fa	actor – Burial Volum	e = 49 ft ³		
	High labor	hours are assigned	as area decon is lai	por dependent	
<u></u>		COS	STS	,	
Assign	ed labor hours			ManRem: 0.05 Rem	
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies	
Labor	\$83.20/hr	\$60,070	25	\$75,088	
Shipping	\$6,100/car	\$178	15	\$205	
Reprocessing	-			-	
Burial	\$68.42/ft ³	\$3.353	50	\$5,030	
Material	-		-	-	
		\$63,601	= TOTAL =	\$80,322	

\$2,443,334

System/Project:	Stack Deco	<u> </u>			
1994 S&L ID#: 218			LACBWR System #:	25	
A <u>Reprocessing</u>	<u>Rates</u> : = g	2010 Rates Used to I Exhaust fans to Oak I \$0.63/lb + \$0.015 tax	Ridge, TN, for GIC rel	ease	
B <u>Burial Rates</u> :	= (Concrete disposal o \$65/ft³ + 5.263% ta	direct to Clive, UT		
C <u>Transportatio</u>			lbs of waste material 000 lbs of waste to End		
	<u>Rate</u> : = = d for Conversion	= Contract labor rate \$83.20/hr or Conversion			
	150 lbs/ft° rete weight = 15 concrete weight	0 lbs/ft ³ 4	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping so 30 lbs/ft² of 1" plate ste 300 lbs for 8" valves		
	piping schedule onent weights ar		00 lbs for 3" – 8" valve manuals when availa		
Others: NOTES:	" - 8" rom tanks or co 100 ft ³ of concre 1.4 Void Factor decon	Exhaust fans Total we sete removed for area — Burial Volume = 14	et =		
Assigned la	bor hours: <u>23,2</u> 3	COSTS 30 hrs		nRem: 0 Rem	
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies	
Labor	\$83.20/hr	\$1,932,736	25	\$2,415,920	
Shipping-Concrete	\$6100/Car	\$1,017	15	\$1,170	
Shipping-Metal	\$4500/Truck	\$225	15	\$259	
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$1,290	5	\$1,355	
Burial	\$68.42/ft ³	\$16,421	50	\$24,632	
Material	-	-	-	-	

\$1,951,689

Syste	m/Project:	Penetr	ation Area Decon			
1994	S&L ID#: _	219		LACBWF	R System #:	19
			2010 Rates Used	to Determine Cost		
Α	Reproces	sing Rates:	= N/A	to Botomino Good		
В	Burial Rat	es:	= Concrete disposa \$65/ft ³ + 5.263%		-	
С	Transport	ation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40 = \$6,100/railcar of	,000 lbs of waste m 180,000 lbs of waste		•
D	Unit Rem	oval Rate:	= 2.1 man hours/d	cy	0.	
Ε	Labor Rat		= Contract labor r	ate \$83.20/hr		
F	Weights L	Jsed for Conv	<u>version</u>			
		ht = 150 lbs/ft		7.66 lb/ft of 3" pip	ing schedule 80	
	_		t = 150 lbs/ft ³	40 lbs/ft ² of 1" pla	_	
	High dens	sity concrete v	weight = 230 lbs/ft ³	•		
	58 lbs/ft o	f 8" piping scl	hedule 80	500 lbs for 3" - 8"	valves	
G			ghts are obtained fro			
			·			
			MATERIAL	REMOVED		
	Piping <	2.5"	Linea	r feet =	lbs	
	Piping >		Linea	r feet =	lbs	
	Valves >8" = lbs					
	Valves 2			=	lbs	
		el from tanks	or components	=	lbs	
	Others:				ibs	
	bs					
	lbs Total weight = Ibs					
			Total	weigin	ing	
	NOTES:	62 ft ³ of co	ncrete removed for a actor – Burial Volum	area decon (2.3 cy) e = 87 ft^3		
			hours are assigned		oor dependent	
			000	ere		
	Assigne	d labor hours	COS : 624 hrs		l ManRem:0.05	Rem
	Ţ	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	gencies
Labo	r	\$83.20/hr	\$51,917	25	\$64,896	
Shipp	oing	\$6,100/car	\$315	15	\$362	
Repr	ocessing	-	-	-	-	
Buria	1	\$68.42/ft ³	\$5,953	50	\$8,930	
Mate		-	-		-	
			\$58,185	= TOTAL =	\$74,188	

Systen	n/Project:	Reacto	r Control Devices -	Upper CRDs/Instrur	ment Tubes/Valve	<u> </u>
1994 S	S&L ID#: _	221.2		LACBWR	System #:	32
	Reproces			<u>o Determine Cost</u> tal to Oak Ridge, T i /lb tax = \$2.645/lb	N	
С [Transport			000 lbs of waste ma 80,000 lbs of waste	_	
E j	Labor Ra Weights l			30/hr	og ochodulo 90	
i I	Normal co	oncrete weight	t = 150 lbs/ft ³ veight = 230 lbs/ft ³	7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipii 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate 800 lbs for 8" valve 500 lbs for 3" – 8"	e steel es	
				m tech. manuals wh		
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED	. <u>,</u>	
	Piping < Piping >	2.5"		feet =	lbs lbs	
		2.5" – 8" eel from tanks	or components	=	lbs lbs lbs	
-		Upper CRD Fubes & Misc.	s – 9,860 lbs. – 4,000 lbs.	= 13,860	lbs lbs lbs	•
	NOTEC	- Fach Linns		weight = 13,860	lbs	
	NOTES:	Each Oppe	r CRD weigns 340 is	os. There are 29 CF	RDS.	
	Assigne	d labor hours:	COS 693 hrs		ManRem: 0.4	Rem
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	gencies
Labor		\$68.30/hr	\$47,332	25	\$59,165	
Shippi	ing	\$4,500/load	\$1,559	15	\$1,793	
	cessing	\$2.645/lb	\$36,660	5	\$38,493	
Burial		-	-		-	
Materi	ial	-	-	-	-	
	_		\$85,551	= TOTAL =	\$99,451	

Syste	m/Project:	FESW	<u>Removal – Including</u>	g Racks		
1994	S&L ID#:	221.3		LACBWR	System #:	58
			2010 Rates Used to	o Determine Cost		
Α	Reproces	sing Rates:	= N/A			
В	Burial Rat	es:	Pricing per special Vans to Clive, UT	al quote #3283 from	Energy Solution	s for 5 Sea
С	Transport	ation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40	,000 lbs of waste m	aterial to Oak Ri	dge, Tenn.
				180,000 lbs of waste		-
D	Unit Remo	oval Rate:	= 0.002 man hour	s/lb.		
	Labor Rat		= DPC labor \$68.	30/hr		
F		Jsed for Conve				
	•	$nt = 150 lbs/ft^3$		7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipi	-	
		•	= 150 lbs/ft ³	•		
	-	_	=	800 lbs for 8" valve		
				500 lbs for 3" – 8"		
G	Larger co	mponent weig	nts are obtained fro	m tech. manuals wh	nen avallable	
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED		
	Piping <	2 5"	l inear	feet =	lbs	
	Piping >			feet =	lbs	
	Valves >			=	lbs	
	Valves 2			· =	lbs	
		el from tanks	or components	=	lbs	
	Others:		lbs = lbs			
			lbs			
			Total v	weight = 85,078	lbs	
	NOTES:		storage rack - 560			··
			bundle rack - 6,100	lbs.		
			- 10,000 lbs.			
	•	Fuel racks -	- 68,418 lbs.			
			cos	TS		
	Assigne	d labor hours:			ManRem: _ 0.1:	
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Cont	ingencies
Labor	•	\$68.30/hr	\$11,611	25	\$14,51	4
Shipp	ing	\$4,500/load	-	15	-	
Repro	ocessing	\$2.85/lb.	-	5	-	
Burial		Quote# 3283	\$348,181	-	\$348,11	81
Mater		<u> </u>	-	-	-	
	. <u> </u>		\$359,792	= TOTAL =	\$362,69	95

Syste	m/Project	Remov	al of FCP System			
1994	S&L ID#:	222.1		LACBWR	System #:	50
A · B	Reproces Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to = > 20 mRem/hr mo \$2.63/lb + \$0.015 = N/A		N	
С	Transport		= \$4,500/load of 40, = \$6,100/railcar of 1			-
D E F	Labor Ra Weights I Dirt Weig Normal c High dens 58 lbs/ft c	oval Rate: te: Used for Conv. ht = 150 lbs/ft ³ concrete weight sity concrete w	= 0.05 man hours, = DPC labor \$68.3 ersion 3 t = 150 lbs/ft ³ veight = 230 lbs/ft ³	7lb. 30/hr 7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipii 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate 800 lbs for 8" valve 500 lbs for 3" – 8"	ng schedule 80 e steel es valves	5113
	·		MATERIAL F	REMOVED		<u></u>
,	Others:	2.5" 300 8" 4 2.5" – 8" eel from tanks Recirc pur	Linear or components nps and roto valves	weight = 142,213	lbs	
	Assigne	ed labor hours:	<u>COS</u> 7,111 hrs		ManRem: <u>58.5</u>	Rem
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Conti	ngencies
Labo	r	\$68.30/hr	\$485,681	25	\$607,10	1
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$15,999	15	\$18,399)
Repr	ocessing	\$2.645/lb	\$376,153	5	\$394,96	1
Buria	l	-	-	-		
Mate	rial	-	-	-		
			\$877,833	= TOTAL =	\$1,020,4	<u> </u>

1994 S&L ID#:
A Reprocessing Rates: = < 20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$1.855
C <u>Transportation Cost</u> : = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Ten
= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions D
MATERIAL REMOVED
Piping < 2.5"
Total weight = 8,200 lbs NOTES:
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 410 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0.4 Ren
Rate 2010 Cost % Contingency 2010 with Contingencie
Labor \$68.30/hr \$28,003 25 \$35,004
Shipping \$4,500/load \$923 15 \$1,061
Reprocessing \$1.855/lb \$15,211 5 \$15,972
Burial
Material

System/Project	: Remova	al of the Decay Hea	t System				
1994 S&L ID#:	222.3		LACBWR	System #:	56		
		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb					
B <u>Burial Ra</u>	<u>tes</u> :	= N/A					
C <u>Transpor</u>		= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions					
		= 0.05 man hours/lb.					
E <u>Labor Ra</u>		= DPC labor \$68.3	30/hr				
	Used for Conve						
•	$ht = 150 lbs/ft^3$		7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipi	-			
	_	t = 150 lbs/ft ³	•				
_	sity concrete w of 8" piping sch	_	800 lbs for 8" valve 500 lbs for 3" - 8"				
	•		m tech. manuals wh				
<u>Larger de</u>	mponent weig	nto optamed no	in teen. mandais w	icii avallabic			
					······································		
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
Piping <	2.5" 100) Linear	feet = 766	lbs			
Piping >			feet = $24,360$	lbs			
Valves >			= 800	lbs			
Valves 2			= 12,500	lbs			
Plate ste Others:	eel from tanks	or components	=	lbs lbs			
Others.		Cooler/pump/motor	= 11,000	ibs			
				lbs			
	•	Total v	weight = 49,426	lbs			
NOTES	: Cooler = 7,0	000 lbs.					
		r = 4,000 lbs.			<u>-</u>		
	 						
		200	Te	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Assigne	COSTS Assigned labor hours: 2,471 hrs Assigned ManRem: 2.2 Rem						
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	gencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$168,769	25	\$210,961			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$5,560	15	\$6,394			
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$91,685	5	\$96,269			
Burial	-	-	-				
Material	-	-	-	-			
		\$266,014	= TOTAL =	\$313,624	_ 		

Syste	m/Project	: Remov	al of the Purification	System				
1994	S&L ID#:	222.4		LACBWR	System #:	51		
A B	Reproces Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb = N/A					
С	Transpor		= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions					
D E F	Unit Removal Rate: = 0.05 man hours/lb. Labor Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr Weights Used for Conversion Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves					10		
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" 370 Linear feet = 2,835 Ibs Piping > 2.5" 35 Linear feet = 2,030 Ibs Valves >8" 1 = 800 Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" 6 = 3,000 Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others: Ibs Cooler/Pump/Ion Exchanger = 21,000 Ibs Total weight = 29,665 Ibs							
	NOTES: Cooler/pump = 3,000 lbs. Ion Exchanger = 18,000 lbs.							
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 1,483 hrs Assigned ManRem: 1.53 Rem								
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Conting	encies		
Labo	r	\$68.30/hr	\$101,289	25	\$126,611			
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$3,337	15	\$3,838			
	ocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$55,029	5	\$57,780			
Buria		-	-	-	•			
Mate	riai	-	\$150 SSS		\$188,229			
			\$159,655	= TOTAL =	φ100,229 			

System/Project	. Remova	al of Reactor Feedw	zater System in Rea	ctor Building			
1994 S&L ID#:	222.5		LACBWR	System #:	65		
	Reprocessing Rates: = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb Burial Rates: = N/A						
C <u>Transpor</u>	Transportation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn.						
Transportation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions D Unit Removal Rate: = 0.05 man hours/lb. E Labor Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr F Weights Used for Conversion Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves G Larger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available							
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
	2.5" 133 >8" 2 2.5" - 8"		feet = 7,720 = 1,600 = =	lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs			
		Total v	weight = 11,086	lbs lbs			
NOTES							
		COS	TS				
Assigne	ed labor hours:			ManRem: <u>8.4</u>	2 Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Con	tingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$37,837	25	\$47,29	96		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$1,247	15	\$1,43	4		
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$20,565	5	\$21,59	93		
Burial	-	-	-	-			
Material	-	-	-	-			
		\$59,649	= TOTAL =	\$70,3	23		

System/Project	: Remov	al of the FESVV Sys	tem	<u> </u>	
1994 S&L ID#:	223.2		LACBWR	System #:	58
A Reproces B Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used 1 = <20 mRem/hr me \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 = N/A	etal to Oak Ridge, TI	N	
D <u>Unit Rem</u> E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weights Normal of High den 58 lbs/ft of 100 mit Rem	Transportation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions Unit Removal Rate: = 0.05 man hours/lb. = abor Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr Weights Used for Conversion Oirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 18 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves Larger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available				
Piping < Piping > Valves 2 Valves 2 Plate sto Others:	2.5" 439 >8" 1 2.5" – 8" 29 eel from tanks	or components Cooler/pump/filter	feet = 766 feet = 29,870 = 800 = 14,500	lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs	
Assigne	ed labor hours:	<u>COS</u> 2,697 hrs		ManRem: <u>2.57</u>	_ Rem
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Conting	encies
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$184,205	25	\$230,256	
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$6,068	15	\$6,978	
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$100,051	5	\$105,054	
Burial	-	-	-	_	
Material	-	-	-	-	-
	·	\$290,324	= TOTAL =	\$342,288	

1994 S	&L ID#: _	223.3		LACBWR	System #: 52		
A <u>R</u>	A Reprocessing Rates: = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb						
в <u>в</u>	Burial Rat	es:	= N/A				
C Ī	<u>ransport</u>		= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions				
D L	Jnit Rem	oval Rate:	= 0.05 man hours/lb.				
E L	abor Rat	te:	= DPC labor \$68.30/hr				
F <u>V</u>	<u>Veights L</u>	Jsed for Conv	<u>ersion</u>				
E	oirt Weigl	nt = 150 lbs/ft ³	5	7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipir	ng schedule 80		
N	Normal co	oncrete weight	t = 150 lbs/ft ³	40 lbs/ft2 of 1" plate	e steel		
۲	ligh dens	sity concrete w	eight = 230 lbs/ft³	800 lbs for 8" valve			
	-	f 8" piping sch	_	500 lbs for 3" - 8"			
G L	arger co	mponent weig	hts are obtained fro	m tech. manuals wh	nen available		
	-		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
	Piping <			feet = 1,532	lbs		
	Piping >		Linear	feet = 4,814	lbs		
	Valves > Valves 2			= <u>800</u> =	lbs		
			or components		lbs lbs		
	Others:	Critorii tariks	or components		lbs		
			Pump/tanks/etc.	= 10,000	lbs		
_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ibs		
			Total v	weight = 17,146	ibs		
	NOTES:		oump weight 4,000 ll	bs./each.			
		Misc. tank,	etc. = 2,000 lbs.				
					·		
	Assigne	d labor hours:	COS 857 hrs		ManRem: 1.5 Rem		
	7.00ig/10						
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor		\$68.30/hr	\$58,533	25	\$73,166		
Shippir	ng	\$4,500/load	\$1,929	15	\$2,218		
	cessing	\$1.855/lb	\$31,806	5	\$33,396		
Burial			-	-	-		
Materia	al	-	-	-	-		
	i		\$92,268	= TOTAL =	\$108,780		

System/Project: Removal of Seal Injection System

System/Project: Removal of the Overhead Storage Tank							
1994 S&L	ID#: _	223.5		LACBWR	System #: _69		
	rocess al Rate		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb = N/A				
		:	= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions				
E <u>Labo</u>	or Rate		= 0.05 man hours/lb. = DPC labor \$68.30/hr				
Dirt Norr High 58 lb	Weightmal con mal con densi bs/ft of	s Used for Conversion sight = 150 lbs/ft³ concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ ensity concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ t of 8" piping schedule 80 component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available					
MATERIAL REMOVED							
Pip	oing < 2 oing > 2	2.5"		feet =	lbs		
Val	lves >8 ives 2.: ite stee	5" – 8"	or components	=	lbs lbs lbs		
	ners:		OHST	= 29,300	lbs lbs		
			Total v	weight = 29,300	lbs lbs		
NC	OTES:	OHST weig	ht from FSAR Sec 6	3.2-5	 .		
		l labar bayer	COS		Man Dame O.S.A. Dam		
As	signed	labor hours:		-	ManRem: <u>0.64</u> Rem		
Labor		Rate \$68.30/hr	2010 Cost \$100,060	% Contingency 25	2010 with Contingencies \$125,075		
Shipping		\$4,500/load	\$3,296	15	\$3,790		
Reprocess		\$1.855/lb	\$54,332	5	\$57,070		
Burial		-	-	•	- .		
Material		-	-	-	-		
	-		\$157,708	= TOTAL =	\$185,935		

System/Project:Removal of the HVA System						
1994 S&L I	D#: <u>223.7</u>		LACBWR	System #: 61		
	Reprocessing Rates: = To Oak Ridge, TN, for GIC release \$0.63/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$0.645/lb Burial Rates: = N/A					
C <u>Trans</u>						
E <u>Labor</u> F <u>Weigh</u> Dirt W Norma High of	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions t Removal Rate: = 0.035 man hours/lb. or Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr ights Used for Conversion Weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 mal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel h density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves ger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available					
		MATERIAL I	REMOVED			
Pipir Valve Valve Plate	Piping < 2.5"					
		Tank skid Total v	= 10,000 weight = 11,150	lbs lbs lbs		
NOTES: HVA tank skid = 10,000 lbs. (this is an estimated weight)						
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 390 hrs Assigned ManRem: 1.76 Rem						
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$26,637	15	\$30,635		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$1,254	. 15	\$1,442		
Reprocessi	ng \$0.645/lb	\$7,192	5	\$7,551		
Burial	_	-	-	-		
Material	-	-	-	-		
		\$35,083	= TOTAL =	\$39,628		

System/Project	:Remov	al of the Liquid Was	te System			
1994 S&L ID#:	224.1/2	27	LA	ACBWR System #: _54		
A Reproces B Burial Ra						
		= \$6,100/railcar of 1	80,000 lbs of waste	aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn. to Energy Solutions		
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig	lsed for Conversion ot = 150 lbs/ft ³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80					
High den 58 lbs/ft d	Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" – 8" valves Larger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available					
MATERIAL REMOVED						
Piping > Valves 2 Valves 2	Piping < 2.5"					
		Pump/misc. Total v	= 3,000 weight = 64,213	Ibs Ibs Ibs		
NOTES	NOTES: Retention tanks 13' 9" x 9' 6" (1/4" steel) 4500 waste tank 23' x 5' 8" (1/4" steel) 3000 waste tank 16' x 5' 9" (1/4" steel)					
Assigne	COSTS Assigned labor hours: 3211 hrs Assigned ManRem: 16.98 Rem					
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$219,311	25	\$274,139		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$7,224	15	\$8,308		
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$119,115	5	\$125,071		
Burial	•	-	-	-		
Material	-	<u>-</u>	-	-		
		\$345,650	= TOTAL =	\$407,518		

System/Project	System/Project: Removal of the Waste Gas System						
1994 S&L ID#:	224.2		LACBWR	System #:55			
A Reproce B Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb = N/A					
D <u>Unit Ren</u> E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weights Normal of High der 58 lbs/ft	noval Rate: ate: Used for Converte weight a concrete weight asity concrete work 8" piping sch	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions = 0.035 man hours/lb. = DPC labor \$68.30/hr onversion s/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 ight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel te weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
G <u>Larger c</u>	omponent weig	<u>hts</u> are obtained fro	m tech. manuals wh	nen available			
MATERIAL REMOVED							
Assign	ed labor hours:	<u>COS</u> 7968 hrs		ManRem: 10.96 Rem			
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$544,214	25	\$680,268			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$25,612	15	\$29,454			
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$422,319	5	\$443,435			
Burial	-	-	•	-			
Material	-	-	-	-			
		\$992,145	= TOTAL =	\$1,153,157			

System/Project	System/Project: Removal of the Solid Waste System (Resin Transfer)					
1994 S&L ID#:	224.3		LACBWR	System #: N/A		
	\$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb					
	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions					
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig Normal c	Labor Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr					
	of 8" piping sch omponent weig		500 lbs for 3" – 8" om tech. manuals wh			
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
Piping > Valves 2 Valves 2	Piping < 2.5" 300 Linear feet = 2,300 lbs Piping > 2.5" 200 Linear feet = 11,600 lbs Valves >8" 1 = 800 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" = 10s lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = 2,850 lbs					
——————————————————————————————————————		Duct work/Misc.	= <u>18,000</u> weight = 35,550	lbs lbs lbs lbs		
NOTES: Spent resin receiving tank – 6' x 12' x 1/4" Includes 10-ton WTB crane						
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 1244 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0.44 Rem						
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$84,965	25	\$106,206		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$3,999	15	\$4,599		
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$65,945	5	\$69,243		
Burial	-	-	-			
Material	-	_	•	•		
		\$154,909	= TOTAL =	\$180,048		

System/Project	System/Project: Removal of the Fuel Handling Equipment					
1994 S&L ID#:	225		LACBWR	System #:	35	
	Reprocessing Rates: = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb Burial Rates: = N/A					
	<u>Transportation Cost</u> : = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions = 0.002 man hours/lb.					
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u>		= DPC labor \$68.30/hr oversion				
Normal c High den	oncrete weight	t = 150 lbs/ft ³ /eight = 230 lbs/ft ³	40 lbs/ft2 of 1" plat	e steel es		
G <u>Larger co</u>	mponent weig	<u>hts</u> are obtained fro	om tech. manuals wh	nen available		
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
Piping < Piping > Valves >	2.5"	Linear	feet = feet =	lbs lbs		
Valves 2 Plate ste Others:		or components	=	lbs lbs lbs		
	<u> </u>	Total v	= 100,000 weight = 100,000	lbs lbs		
NOTES	NOTES: Overhead crane weight from FSAR 6.5-5 = 88,000 lbs. Fuel handling bridge weight estimate = 12,000 lbs.					
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 200 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0.1 Rem						
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Cont	ingencies	
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$13,660	25	\$17,07	5	
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$11,250	15	\$12,93	8	
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$185,500	0.5	\$194,7	75	
Burial	•	-	-	-		
Material	-	\$210.410	- TOTAL -	\$224.7	88	

System/Project	<u>Remov</u>	ai of the CCVV Syste	m in the Reactor Bi	uliaing		
1994 S&L ID#:	226		LACBWR	System #:57		
	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost processing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb rial Rates: = N/A					
D <u>Unit Rem</u>	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions					
Dirt Weig Normal o High den 58 lbs/ft	Used for Conv ght = 150 lbs/ft concrete weight sity concrete v of 8" piping sch	= DPC labor \$68.30/hr <u>nversion</u> /ft ³				
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
Piping < 2.5" 300 Linear feet = 2,300 lbs Piping > 2.5" 504 Linear feet = 29,230 lbs Valves >8" 1 = 800 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" 7 = 3,500 lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs Others: lbs						
MOTES	Cooler = 1,000 lbs lbs Total weight = 36,830 lbs					
NOTES:						
Assigne	ed labor hours:	COS 1179 hrs		ManRem: 2.96 Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$80,526	15	\$92,605		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$4,143	15	\$4,764		
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$23,755	5	\$24,943		
Burial	•	-	-	-		
Material	-	-	<u> </u>	-		
		\$108,424	= TOTAL =	\$122,312		

System/Project	ct: Remov	al of the Denim Wat	er System in the Re	actor Building		
1994 S&L ID#	228.1		LACBWR	System #:67		
A Reproce	-	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost tes: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb = N/A				
C <u>Transpo</u>				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.		
E <u>Labor R</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt We Normal High de 58 lbs/ft	moval Rate: ate: Used for Conv ght = 150 lbs/ft concrete weigh nsity concrete v of 8" piping sch	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 t = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves				
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
Piping Valves Valves Plate s	Piping < 2.5" 200 Linear feet = 1,530 lbs Piping > 2.5" 32 Linear feet = 1,860 lbs Valves >8" 1 = 800 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" 1 = 500 lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs Others: Ibs					
Total weight = 5,690 lbs NOTES:						
Assign	ned labor hours:	COS 199 hrs		ManRem: 0.11 Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$13,592	15	\$15,631		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$640	15	\$736		
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$3,670	5	\$3,854		
Burial	-	-	•	-		
Material		-	-			
		\$17,902	= TOTAL =	\$20,221		

Syste	System/Project: Removal of Misc. Reactor Water System						
1994	S&L ID#:	228.2		LACBWR	System #:N/A		
A B	Reproces Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb = N/A				
С	Transport				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn. to Energy Solutions		
D E F	Labor Ra Weights U Dirt Weig Normal of High dens 58 lbs/ft of	te: Jsed for Convolute ht = 150 lbs/ft ³ concrete weight sity concrete w of 8" piping sch	e: = 0.05 man hours/lb. = DPC labor \$68.30/hr Conversion				
	<u></u>		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" 370 Linear feet = 2,835 lbs Piping > 2.5" 412 Linear feet = 23,900 lbs Valves >8" 1 = 800 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" = lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs Others: lbs						
			Misc. Total v	= 2,000 weight = 29,535	lbs lbs lbs		
	NOTES						
. ——	Assigne	ed labor hours:	<u>COS</u> 1477 hrs		ManRem: <u>3.11</u> Rem		
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labo	r	\$68.30/hr	\$100,879	25	\$126,099		
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$3,323	15	\$3,821		
	ocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$54,787	5	\$57,527		
Buria	ıl	-	•	-	-		
Mate	rial	-	-	-	-		
			\$158,989	= TOTAL =	\$187,447		

System/Project	System/Project: Removal of Reactor Building Support System					
1994 S&L ID#:	229		LACBWR	System #: N/A		
A Reproces B Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb = N/A				
D <u>Unit Rem</u> E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig Normal c High den 58 lbs/ft c	noval Rate: te: Used for Converte to the street of 8" piping sch	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 at = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves				
MATERIAL REMOVED						
Assigne	ed labor hours:	<u>COS</u> <u>2592 hrs</u>		ManRem: 0.32 Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$177,034	15	\$203,589		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$8,332	15	\$9,582		
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$47,773	5	\$50,161		
Burial	-	-	-	-		
Material	•	-	•	-		
		\$233,139	= TOTAL =	\$263,332		

System/Project	t: <u>Turbine</u>)				
1994 S&L ID#:	230		LACBWR	System #:20		
A Reproce B Burial Ra	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost cessing Rates: = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb Rates: = N/A					
D Unit Ren E Labor Ra F Weights Dirt Weight	tation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions noval Rate: = 0.002 man hours/lb. ate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr Used for Conversion tht = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel					
High der 58 lbs/ft	nsity concrete voor 8" piping sch	veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ nedule 80	•	es valves		
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
Piping > Valves Valves Plate st	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5"					
Total weight =575,365 lbs NOTES: LP Spindle = 47,000 lbs. 130,260 lbs. disposed of since 2007						
		cos	TS			
Assign	ed labor hours:	1151 hrs	Assigned	ManRem: 0.1 Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$78,613	25	\$98,266		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$64,728	15	\$74,437		
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$1,067,302	5	\$1,120,667		
Burial	-	-	-	-		
Material	-	-	-	-		
		\$1,210,643	= TOTAL =	\$1,293,370		

System/Project	System/Project: Main Generator					
1994 S&L ID#:	230.1		LACBWR	System #:16		
	Reprocessing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb Burial Rates: = N/A					
Transportation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions Unit Removal Rate: = 0.002 man hours/lb. Labor Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr Weights Used for Conversion Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves Larger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available						
MATERIAL REMOVED						
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 474 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0.1 Rem						
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$32,374	15	\$37,230		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$26,666	15	\$30,666		
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$152,884	5	\$160,529		
Burial	-		-	-		
Material	-	•	-	-		
		\$211,924	= TOTAL =	\$228,425		

TOTAL

System/Project: Removal of the Turbine Oil System								
1994	S&L ID#:	231		LACBWR	System #:	92		
A B	Reproces Burial Ra		= GIC to Oak Ridge	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb N/A				
С	Transpor		= \$4,500/load of 40,			- '		
D E F	Labor Ra Weights U Dirt Weig Normal c High den	oval Rate: te: Used for Convolute ht = 150 lbs/ft ^s oncrete weight sity concrete w of 8" piping sch	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel eight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
	·		MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
	Piping < 2.5"							
Total weight = 7,125 lbs NOTES: Clean/Dirty Oil Tank 7' x 9' x 24' = 7,125 lbs.								
COSTS Assigned labor hours: 207 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0.05 Rem								
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	ngencies		
Labo	Γ	\$68.30/hr	\$14,138	15	\$16,259)		
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$802	15	\$922			
	ocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$4,596	5	\$4,825			
Buria	1	•	-	-	-			
Mate	rial	-	-		-			
			\$19,536	= TOTAL =	\$22,006			

TOTAL

Syste	m/Project	: Remov	al of the Main Cond	enser and Accessor	ies		
1994	S&L ID#:	232		LACBWR	System #:64		
A B	Reproces Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb = N/A				
С	Transpor				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.		
D E F	Labor Ra Weights I Dirt Weig	oval Rate:	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80				
G 	58 lbs/ft d	of 8" piping sch	veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ nedule 80 <u>hts</u> are obtained fro	800 lbs for 8" valve 500 lbs for 3" – 8"	es valves		
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
	Piping < 2.5"						
	Total weight = 522,000 lbs NOTES: Sludge will be disposed of under S&L ID# 805						
	Assigne	ed labor hours:	COS 6786 hrs		ManRem: 1.49 Rem		
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labo	r	\$68.30/hr	\$463,483	25	\$579,354		
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$58,725	15	\$67,534		
Repr	ocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$968,310	5	\$1,016,726		
Buria		-		<u>-</u>	•		
Mate	rial	-	-	•	-		
			\$1,490,518	= TOTAL =	\$1,663,614		

System/Project: Removal of the TB Condensate and Feedwater System					
1994 S&L ID#:	233 & 2	35	LACBWR	System #: 65/73/90/98	
A Reproces B Burial Ra	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost ssing Rates: = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb ates: = N/A				
	oval Rate:	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions oval Rate: = 0.012 man hours/lb.			
F Weights Dirt Weig Normal c High den 58 lbs/ft	Used for Conve tht = 150 lbs/ft ³ oncrete weight sity concrete w of 8" piping sch	ft ³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 ht = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves			
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED		
Piping > Valves 2 Valves 2	Piping < 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Valves >8" = Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" = Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = 4,245 Ibs				
		Total v	weight = 62,945	lbs lbs	
NOTES: 5 tanks – 4,245 lbs. #1 Reheater = 22,000 lbs. #2 Reheater = 18,800 lbs. #3 Reheater = 17,900 lbs. (all piping and valves were removed under FC-90-96-03					
Assigne	ed labor hours:	COS 756 hrs		ManRem: 0.4 Rem	
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies	
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$51,635	25	\$64,544	
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$7,081	15	\$8,143	
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$116,763	5	\$122,601	
Burial	•	-	-	-	
Material	-	-	-	-	
		\$175,479	- TOTAL -	\$195.288	

System/Project: Removal of the TB Main Steam System						
1994 S&L ID#:	234		LACBWR	System #:	64	
A Reproce B Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = <20 mRem/hr metal to Oak Ridge, TN \$1.84/lb + \$0.015 tax = \$1.855/lb = N/A				
C <u>Transpo</u>			000 lbs of waste ma		•	
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weights Normal of High der 58 lbs/ft	noval Rate: ate: Used for Conv ght = 150 lbs/ft ³ concrete weight nsity concrete w of 8" piping sch	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 at = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves				
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Piping : Valves Valves Plate st	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" Linear feet Ibs Piping > 2.5" 200 Linear feet 11,600 Ibs Valves >8" 2 1,600 Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components Ibs Others: Ibs Major Components 56,600 Ibs Ibs Ibs Total weight 69,800 Ibs					
NOTES	S: Major Comp	Flash Ta	heater = 25,000 lbs nk = 1,600 lbs. separator = 30,000			
			removed under FC		pt	
Assign	ed labor hours:	COS 1256 hrs		ManRem: 0.4	Rem	
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Con	ingencies	
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$85,812	25	\$107,2	65	
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$7,853	15	\$9,03	1	
Reprocessing	\$1.855/lb	\$129,479	5	\$135,9	53	
Burial	-	-	-	•		
Material	-	-	_	_		
		\$223,144	= TOTAL =	\$252,2	49	

System/Project: Removal of CCW System in the Turbine Building						
1994 S&L ID#:	236		LACBWR	System #: 57		
A Reproces B Burial Ra		2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb = N/A				
C <u>Transpor</u>				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.		
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig Normal of High den 58 lbs/ft	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions emoval Rate: = 0.013 man hours/lb. Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr ts Used for Conversion eight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 al concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel lensity concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves /ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available					
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED	·		
Piping > Valves : Valves :	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" 600 Linear feet = 4,600 lbs Piping > 2.5" 350 Linear feet = 20,300 lbs Valves >8" 10 = 8,000 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" = Ibs lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others: Misc. = 89,400 lbs					
Total weight = 122,300 lbs NOTES: Coolers = 42,200 lbs. each Pump/expansion tank = 5,000 lbs.						
Assigne	ed labor hours:	COS 1590 hrs		ManRem: 0.1 Rem		
······································	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$108,590	15	\$124,879		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$13,759	15	\$15,823		
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$78,884	5	\$82,828		
Burial	-	-	-	-		
Material	-	-	-	-		
		\$201 233	- TOTAL -	\$223,530		

System/Project: Removal of the Alternate Core Spray System							
1994 S&L ID#:	237		LACBWR	System #: 38			
	\$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb						
C <u>Transpor</u>				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.			
E Labor Ra F Weights Dirt Weig Normal c High den 58 lbs/ft c	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions <u>Unit Removal Rate</u> : = 0.012 man hours/lb. <u>Labor Rate</u> : = DPC labor \$68.30/hr <u>Weights Used for Conversion</u> Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel. High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves <u>Larger component weights</u> are obtained from tech. manuals when available						
	, <u></u>	MATERIAL	PEMOVED				
Piping > Valves > Valves 2	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" 100 Linear feet 766 lbs Piping > 2.5" 303 Linear feet = 17,580 lbs Valves >8" 3 = 2,400 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" 28 = 14,000 lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs Others:						
NOTES	Total weight = 34,746 lbs NOTES:						
		cos					
Assigne	ed labor hours:			ManRem: 0.25 Rem			
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$28,478	15	\$32,750			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$3,909	15	\$4,495			
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$22,411	5	\$23,532			
Burial	-	-	-	-			
Material	-	- - -	-	600 777			
		\$54,798	= TOTAL =	\$60,777			

System/Project: Removal of the HPSW System in Turbine Building							
1994 S&L ID#:	238		LACBWR	System #:	75		
A Reprocessing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb B Burial Rates: = N/A							
C <u>Transpor</u>	tation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40,	,000 lbs of waste ma	aterial to Oak Rid	ige, Tenn.		
E Labor Ra F Weights Dirt Weig Normal c High den 58 lbs/ft c	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions D Unit Removal Rate: = 0.015 man hours/lb. E Labor Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr F Weights Used for Conversion Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves						
		MATERIAL	DEMOVED.				
Piping > Valves > Valves 2	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5"						
		Total	weight = 31 132	lbs lbs			
NOTES	NOTES: lbs						
		000	TO.				
Assigne	ed labor hours:	467 hrs		ManRem: 0.1	Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Conti	ngencies		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$31,896	15	\$36,680	5		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$3,502	. 15	\$4,027	,		
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$20,080	5	\$21,084	4		
Burial	-	-	<u>-</u>	-			
Material	-	-	-	-			
·		\$55,478	= TOTAL =	\$61,79	1		

System/ProjectRemoval of Circulating water System in Turbine Building							
1994 S&L ID#:	238.1		LACBWR	System #:76			
	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost eprocessing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb urial Rates: = N/A						
C <u>Transpor</u>				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.			
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig Normal of High den 58 lbs/ft	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions Removal Rate: = 0.053 man hours/lb. pr Rate: = DPC labor \$68.30/hr phts Used for Conversion Weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 mal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft³ 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft³ 800 lbs for 8" valves ps/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" - 8" valves per component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available						
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
Piping > Valves : Valves :	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Piping > 2.5" 50 Linear feet = 2,900 Ibs Valves >8" 2 = 1,600 Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" 12 = 6,000 Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others: Ibs 4-42" Butterfly valves = 6,000 Ibs						
NOTES	:	Total v	weight = 16,500	lbs lbs			
		cos	Te				
Assigne	ed labor hours:			ManRem: 0.01 Rem			
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$59,728	15	\$68,687			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$1,856	15	\$2,134			
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$10,643	5	\$11,175			
Burial	•	-	-	-			
Material	•	-	-	•			
		\$72,227	= TOTAL =	\$81,996			

System/Project: Removal of LPSW System in Turbine Building							
1994 S&L ID#:	238.2	·	LACBWR	System #:91			
	Reprocessing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb Burial Rates: = N/A						
D Unit Rem E Labor Ra F Weights Dirt Weig Normal of High der 58 lbs/ft	noval Rate: ate: Used for Conv ght = 150 lbs/ft ² concrete weight sity concrete v of 8" piping sch	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel reight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" 180 Linear feet = 1,379 Ibs Piping > 2.5" 210 Linear feet = 12,180 Ibs Valves >8" 8 = 6,400 Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" 31 = 15,500 Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others: = Ibs Ibs Ibs Total weight = 35,459 Ibs							
	NOTES: COSTS Assigned labor hours: 532 hrs Assigned ManRem: 0.01 Rem						
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$36,328	15	\$41,777			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$3,989	15	\$4,587			
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$22,871	5	\$24,015			
Burial	•	-	-	-			
Material	_	-	-	-			
		\$63,188	= TOTAL =	\$70,379			

TOTAL

System/Project:Temporary Construction Electrical Work								
1994	S&L ID#:	240		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A		
Α	Reproces	sing Rates:	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost es: = N/A					
В	Burial Rat	tes:	= N/A					
C D		ransportation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions nit Removal Rate: = N/A						
E F	Labor Rat		= DPC labor \$68.3	30/hr				
G	Dirt Weigh Normal co High dens 58 lbs/ft o	ht = 150 lbs/ft oncrete weigh sity concrete v of 8" piping sc	at = 150 lbs/ft ³ weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ hedule 80	800 lbs for 8" valv 500 lbs for 3" – 8"	te steel es valves			
G	<u>Larger co</u>	mponent weig	<u>ghts</u> are obtained fro	rn tech. manuais w	nen avallable			
	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5"							
	Assigne	d labor hours	COS : 3840 hrs		ManRem:5.15	SRem		
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Conti			
Labo	r	\$68.30/hr	\$262,272	15	\$301,61	3		
Ship								
<u>-</u>	ocessing							
Buria			#40.000	0.5	640.500	, 		
Mate	riai		\$10,000	25	\$12,500			
			\$272,272	= TOTAL =	\$314,11	ა 		

Syster	System/Project: Removal of the Electrical System							
1994 \$	S&L ID#: ,	241 (24	15 & 249)	LACBWR	System #: N/A			
	Reproces Burial Rat		\$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb					
C	Transport				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.			
E F	Labor Rai Weights U Dirt Weigh Normal co High dens 58 lbs/ft o	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions <u>oval Rate</u> : = 0.068 man hours/lb.						
	MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5"							
	NOTES:		rotary	weight =	lbs			
	Assigne	d labor hours	COS 10,200 hrs		ManRem: 4.42 Rem			
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor		\$68.30/hr	\$696,660	15	\$801,159			
Shipp	ing	\$4,500/load	\$16,875	15	\$19,406			
: <u>_</u> -	cessing	\$0.645/lb	\$96,750	5	\$101,588			
Burial		<u>.</u>	-	-	•			
Mater	ial	-	-	-	-			
	\$810,285 = TOTAL = \$922,153							

Syste	System/Project: Station Service Equipment							
1994	S&L ID#:	242		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A		
	Reproces Burial Rat	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost essing Rates: = N/A Rates: = N/A						
С	Transport	ation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40 = \$6,100/railcar of 1			•		
E F	Labor Rad Weights U Dirt Weigh Normal co High dens 58 lbs/ft co	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions emoval Rate						
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
	Piping < 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Valves >8" = Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" = Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others: Ibs							
	NOTES:		Total	weight =	lbs lbs			
	Assigne	d labor hours	COS : <u>250 hrs</u>		l ManRem: _0.34	Rem		
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	gencies		
Labor	r	\$68.30/hr	\$17,075	15	\$19,636			
Shipp	oing	-	-	-	-			
	ocessing		-	-				
Buria		-	-	-	-			
Mater	rial			-	-			
			\$17,075	= TOTAL =	\$19,636			

System/Project: Main Electrical									
1994	S&L ID#:	243		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A			
A B	Reproces Burial Ra	ssing Rates: tes:							
С	Transport	tation Cost:	n Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions						
D E F	Labor Ra Weights U Dirt Weig Normal co High dens 58 lbs/ft co	Used for Converted to the second seco	= N/A = DPC labor \$68.30/hr aversion ft ³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 ht = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves						
G	Larger co	mponent wei	<u>ghts</u> are obtained fro	im tech. Manuais w	nen avallable				
		·	MATERIAL F	REMOVED					
,	Piping < 2.5" Linear feet Ibs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet Ibs Valves >8" Ibs Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" Ibs Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components Ibs Ibs Others: Ibs Ibs								
	Total weight =lbs NOTES:								
	Assigne	ed labor hours	COS		d ManRem: <u>1.15</u>	Rem			
	, 1001gi 10	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Continge	-			
Labo	or	\$68.30/hr	\$58,738	15	\$67,549				
Ship	ping rocessing	-	-	-	-				
Buria		-	-	-	-				
			\$58,738	= TOTAL =	\$67,549				

System/Project: Removal of Instrument/Service Air System							
1994 S&L ID#:	251_		LACBWR	System #:70			
A Reproces B Burial Ra	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost Reprocessing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb						
B Bullai INA	<u></u>	= N/A					
C <u>Transpor</u>				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn. to Energy Solutions			
D <u>Unit Rem</u>	oval Rate:	= 0.044 man hour	s/lb.				
E <u>Labor Ra</u>	<u>te</u> : :	= DPC labor \$68.3	30/hr				
F Weights I	Used for Conve	<u>ersion</u>					
Dirt Weig	$ht = 150 lbs/ft^3$		7.66 lb/ft of 3" pipi	ng schedule 80			
	oncrete weight		40 lbs/ft2 of 1" plat				
High den	sity concrete w	eight = 230 lbs/ft³	800 lbs for 8" valve				
	of 8" piping sch		500 lbs for 3" - 8"				
G <u>Larger co</u>	mponent weig	<u>hts</u> are obtained fro	m tech. manuals wh	nen available			
MATERIAL REMOVED Piping < 2.5" 650 Linear feet = 5,000 lbs Piping > 2.5" 160 Linear feet = 9,280 lbs Valves >8" 1 = 800 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" = 1bs lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = 1bs lbs Others: lbs lbs Air compressor (A&B) receivers & dryers = 5,600 lbs Total weight = 20,680 lbs							
Assigne	ed labor hours:	<u>COS</u> <u>910 hrs</u>		ManRem: 0.63 Rem			
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$62,148	15	\$71,470			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$2,327	15	\$2,676			
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$13,339	5	\$14,006			
Burial	-	-	-	-			
Material	_	-	-	-			
	<u> </u>	¢77 01 <i>1</i>		¢00 450			

1994 S&L ID#:	253		LACBWR	System #:73			
A Reproces	A Reprocessing Rates: = GIC to Oak Ridge, TN \$0.63/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$0.645/lb						
B <u>Burial Ra</u>	ites:	= N/A	71D tax = \$0.043/1D				
C <u>Transpor</u>				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn. to Energy Solutions			
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig	ts Used for Conversion eight = 150 lbs/ft³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80						
High den 58 lbs/ft	sity concrete work as piping sch	veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ nedule 80	40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plat 800 lbs for 8" valve 500 lbs for 3" – 8" m tech. manuals wh	es valves			
		MATERIAL F	REMOVED				
Piping > Valves : Valves :	Piping < 2.5" 1,000 Linear feet = 7,660 lbs Piping > 2.5" 290 Linear feet = 16,820 lbs Valves >8" 4 = 3,200 lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" 2 = 1,000 lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs Others: lbs						
NOTES	Misc. = 2,000 lbs lb						
Assigne	ed labor hours:	COS 368 hrs		ManRem: <u>1.34</u> Rem			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies			
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$25,145	15	\$28,917			
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$3,452	15	\$3,970			
Reprocessing	\$0.645/lb	\$19,789	5	\$20,778			
Burial	-	-	-	-			
Material	-	-	-	•			
		\$48,386	= TOTAL =	\$53,665			

System/Project: Removal of the Heating System

System/Project: Plant Asbestos Removal							
1994	S&L ID#:	300		LACBWR	System #: N/A		
A B	Reproces Burial Ra	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost = Asbestos direct compact(ACF)to Oak Ridge, TN \$4.54/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$4.555/lb tes: = N/A					
С	Transpor				aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.		
D E F G	Labor Ra Weights Dirt Weig Normal c High den 58 lbs/ft c	noval Rate: hte: Used for Converte ht = 150 lbs/ft concrete weight sity concrete v of 8" piping sch	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 ht = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves				
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED			
	Piping < 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Valves >8" = Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" = Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others:						
·	Total weight = 12,160 lbs NOTES: Only misc. asbestos insulation remains						
			cos	TS			
	Assigne	ed labor hours:			ManRem: 0.75 Rem		
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies		
Labo	or	\$83.20/hr	\$47,507	25	\$59,384		
Ship		\$4,500/load	\$1,368	15	\$1,573		
	ocessing	\$4.555/lb	\$55,389	5	\$58,158		
Buria		-	-	-	•		
Mate	erial	<u> </u>	6404.004	-	0440.445		
	104,264 = TOTAL = 119,115						

System/Project	System/Project: Resin Management						
1994 S&L ID#:	600		LACBWR Sys	stem #:	N/A		
	2010	Rates Used to D	etermine Cost				
A Reproces	ssing Rates: = N//		otormino ocot				
B <u>Burial Ra</u>	Burial Rates: Burial at Clive, UT based on contingent containerized waste disposal \$45,000/container + \$13.636% tax = \$51,136/container						
C <u>Transpor</u>			lbs of waste materi				
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weig Normal c High den 58 lbs/ft c	= \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions <u>Unit Removal Rate</u> : = 0.012 man hours/lb <u>Labor Rate</u> : = DPC labor \$68.30/hr <u>Weights Used for Conversion</u> Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" – 8" valves Larger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available						
		MATERIAL REM	IOVED				
_, .							
Piping > Valves : Valves : Plate st	Piping < 2.5" Linear feet = lbs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet = lbs Valves >8" = lbs Valves 2.5" - 8" = lbs Plate steel from tanks or components = lbs						
Others:	Resin ~ 50	b/ft3 1,180 ft3.	59,000	lbs lbs			
		Total weig	ht = _59,000	lbs lbs			
NOTES: Annual resin usage thru 2011 = 36 ft³/year Final cleanup = 1,000 ft³ All resins will be Class A material Shipments based on 120 ft³ of resin per shipment (10 shipments)							
Assigne	ed labor hours: <u>708 h</u>	COSTS rs	Assigned Ma	nRem: <u>0.7</u>	Rem		
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 Conting	- 1		
Labor	\$68.30/hr	\$48,356	25	\$60,	445		
Shipping	\$4,500/load	\$44,250	15	\$50,	888		
Reprocessing		-	-	_			
Burial	\$51,136/container	\$511,360	25	\$639	,200		
Material		\$177,000	25	\$221	,250		
		\$780,966	= TOTAL =	\$971	,783		

System/Project: Normal Radioactive Waste Management						
1994 S&L ID#: LACBWR System #: N/A						
A B	\$3.65/lb + \$0.015/lb tax = \$3.665/lb					
C D E F	Transportation Cost: = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions Unit Removal Rate: = 0.012 man hours/lb Labor Rate: = DPC Labor \$68.30/hr Weights Used for Conversion					
G	Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ Normal concrete weight = 150 lbs/ft ³ High density concrete weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 Larger component weights are obtained from tech. manuals when available					
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED		
Piping < 2.5" Linear feet Ibs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet Ibs Valves >8" Ibs Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" Ibs Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components Ibs						
	Others:		4,900 ft ³	_58,800	lbs lbs	
	NOTES	Assume ap	proximately 350 ft ³ /y	veight = 58,800	lbs lbs	
	٠	12 lbs/π° = 1	DAW Non Compacto	ed		
	Assigne	ed labor hours:	COS 706 hrs		ManRem: 2.2 Rem	
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies	
Labo	r	\$68.30/hr	\$48,220	25	\$60,275	
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$6,615	15	\$7,607	
Repr	ocessing	\$3.655/lb	\$215,502	5	\$226,277	
Buria		•	-	<u>.</u>	-	
Mate	rial	•	-	-	-	
			\$270,337	= TOTAL =	\$294,159	

System/Project: Temporary Facilities								
1994	S&L ID#: 801		LACBWR	System #: N/A				
Α	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost Reprocessing Rates: = N/A							
В	Burial Rates:	= N/A						
С	Transportation Cost:			aterial to Oak Ridge, Tenn.				
D E F	High density concrete 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping s	= N/A = DPC Labor \$68. aversion ft ³ tht = 150 lbs/ft ³ weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ chedule 80	= DPC Labor \$68.30/hr version 7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 t = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
	MATERIAL REMOVED							
Piping < 2.5"								
		Total v	weight =	lbs lbs				
	NOTES:							
		COS						
	Assigned labor hour			ManRem: N/A Rem				
Wor	k to be Accomplished	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies				
	p temporary trailers	\$7,000	25	\$8,750				
	er rent for 72 months	\$242,400	25	\$303,000				
	ole water hookup	\$8,000	25	\$10,000				
Waste/vent hookup		\$8,000	25	\$10,000				
	rical hookup	\$13,000	25	\$16,250				
	e hookup	\$7,000	25	\$8,750				
Shed		\$36,000	25	\$45,000				
Labo	<u>r</u>	\$97,532	15	\$112,162				
		\$418,932	= TOTAL =	\$513,912				

System/Project: Equip	ment Needs								
1994 S&L ID#: 802		LA	CBWR System #:	N/A					
A Reprocessing Rates:	2010 Rat	es Used to Determine	Cost						
B Burial Rates:	Burial Rates: = N/A								
C <u>Transportation Cost</u> :	<u>Transportation Cost</u> : = \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions								
Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/f Normal concrete weig High density concrete 58 lbs/ft of 8" piping so	<u>Unit Removal Rate</u> : = N/A								
	-	TERIAL REMOVED							
Piping < 2.5"									
		COSTS							
Assigned labor hours	s: <u>N/A_hrs</u>	As	ssigned ManRem: <u> </u>	N/ARem					
S&L Required Equipment	Quantity	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies					
50-ton forklift	1	\$300,000	25	\$375,000					
2-ton flat rack truck	1 .	\$55,000	25	\$68,750					
Pickup truck	3	\$99,000	25	\$123,750					
6-ton fwd all-terrain forklift	1	\$55,000	25	\$68,750					
Walk-about cherry picker	11	\$55,000	25	\$68,750					
Front-end loader/hoe	11	\$38,500	25	\$48,125					
Dump truck	11	\$99,000	25	\$123,750					
Scaffold 6' 6"	24	\$16,500	25	\$20,625					
Cutting torches	8	\$8,800	25	\$11,000					

\$275,000

\$55,000

\$13,200

1

1

1

Vacuum truck

supply and hoses

750 cfm air compressor Dust suppression water

\$1,070,000	=	Total	=	\$1,337,500

25

25

25

\$343,750

\$68,750

\$16,500

System/Project: Radiological Equipment and Supplies								
1994 S&I	L ID#: _	804		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A		
A <u>Re</u>	process	sing Rates:	2010 Rates Used = N/A	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost N/A				
B <u>Bu</u>	rial Rate	<u>es</u> :	= N/A					
C <u>Tra</u>	ansporta	ation Cost:),000 lbs of waste m		•		
E <u>Lal</u> F <u>We</u> Dir No Hig	bor Rate eights U t Weigh ormal co gh dens lbs/ft of	sed for Con at = 150 lbs/f ncrete weight ity concrete 8" piping so	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 t = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
G <u>La</u> ı	rger cor	<u>nponent wei</u>	gnts are obtained tro	om tech. manuals w	nen available			
				r feet = r feet = = =	lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs lbs			
Α	ssigned	d labor hours		<u>STS</u> Assigned	l ManRem: <u>N/</u>	A Rem		
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Con	tingencies		
Labor								
Shipping								
Reproce	ssing	····						
Burial			00 444 000	0.5	00.070	205		
Material			\$2,141,300 \$2,141,300	25 - TOTAL -	\$2,676,0 \$2,676.0			

System/Project: Liquid and Solid Waste Removal					<u> </u>	
1994	S&L ID#:	805		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A
	5	ele Bet	2010 Rates Used t	o Determine Cost		
Α	Reproces	sing Rates:	= N/A			
В	Burial Rat	t <u>es</u> :	= N/A	,		
С	Transport	ation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40, = \$6,100/railcar of 1			-
D	Unit Rem	oval Rate:	= N/A	CO,000 IDS OF Wast	e to Energy Solution	0115
Ε	Labor Rat		= N/A			
F	Weights U	Jsed for Conv	<u>version</u>			
	_	ht = 150 lbs/ft		7.66 lb/ft of 3" pip	_	
		•	nt = 150 lbs/ft ³	40 lbs/ft ² of 1" pla		
	_	•	weight = 230 lbs/ft ³			
G		of 8" piping so	medule 50 g <u>hts</u> are obtained fro	500 lbs for 3" – 8"		
3	Laiger Co	IIIDONEIN WEI	giits are obtained no	in tech. Manuals w	ileii avallable	
MATERIAL REMOVED						
	Assigne	d labor hours	COS : N/A hrs		d ManRem: <u>N/A</u>	Rem
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	ngencies
Labor						
Shipp						
	cessing					
Buria				- <u></u>		
Mater			\$216,000	25	\$270,000	
			\$216,000		\$270,000	

Syste	m/Project:	Final S	Site Cleanup	·		·			
1994	S&L 1D#: _	807		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A			
		sing Rates:	<u>2010 Rates Used</u> = N/A = N/A						
D	Burial Rat	<u>es</u> .	- IVA						
E	Unit Remo	Jsed for Conv	= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions = N/A = Contract labor \$83.20/hr ersion						
	Normal co High dens 58 lbs/ft o	sity concrete f 8" piping so	nt = 150 lbs/ft ³ weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ hedule 80	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 at = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel weight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MATERIAL	REMOVED	<u>.</u>	,,			
	Piping < 2.5"								
	Total weight = lbs NOTES:								
			COS	STS					
	Assigne	d labor hours			ManRem: 0	Rem			
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contin	ngencies			
Labor		\$83.20	\$601,286	15	\$691,479	9			
Shipp Repro	cessing								
Mater			\$15,000	25	\$18,750				
			\$616,286	= TOTAL =	\$710,22				

Syste	m/Project: <u>Indirec</u>	t Costs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1994	S&L ID#: 900		LACBWR System #:	N/A			
Α	Reprocessing Rates:	2010 Rates Used to = N/A	Determine Cost				
В	Burial Rates:	= N/A					
С	Transportation Cost:		000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge 80,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solution	•			
D E F	Unit Removal Rate: Labor Rate: Weights Used for Conv	= N/A = N/A					
	Dirt Weight = 150 lbs/ft Normal concrete weigh High density concrete	. ₃ at = 150 lbs/ft ³	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 40 lbs/ft² of 1" plate steel 800 lbs for 8" valves				
G	58 lbs/ft of 8" piping schedule 80 500 lbs for 3" – 8" valves						
	MATERIAL REMOVED						

RECOST ANALYSIS

	Period 3 (Planning)	Period 4 (Dismantlement)	Period 5 (Release)	Totals
A/E Costs	\$1,720,680	\$4,057,040	\$169,600	\$5,947,320
Construction Costs	\$916,760	\$3,152,760	\$50,400	\$4,119,920
HP Costs	\$287,560	\$3,515,720	\$36,000	\$3,806,880
Security Costs	\$21,840	\$93,600	\$7,200	\$122,640
TOTALS	\$2,946,840	\$10,819,120	\$263,200	\$14,029,160

COSTS

Assigned labor hours: <u>N/A hrs</u> Assigned ManRem: <u>N/A</u> Rem

	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Contingencies
A/E Costs	\$5,947,320	-	-
Construction Costs	\$4,119,920	-	
HP Costs	\$3,806,880	-	-
Security Costs	\$122,640	-	-
TOTALS	\$14,029,160	15	\$16,133,534

System/Projec	t: <u>Final F</u>	Release Survey						
1994 S&L ID#:	1000		LACBWF	R System #:	N/A			
A <u>Reproce</u>	ssing Rates:	2010 Rates Used = N/A	to Determine Cost					
B Burial Ra	ates:	= N/A						
C <u>Transpo</u>	rtation Cost:	= \$4,500/load of 40,000 lbs of waste material to Oak Ridge, Tenn. = \$6,100/railcar of 180,000 lbs of waste to Energy Solutions						
E <u>Labor Ra</u> F <u>Weights</u> Dirt Weights Normal of High der	Used for Conght = 150 lbs/fconcrete weightsity concrete	val Rate: = N/A : = Contract labor \$83.20/hr sed for Conversion						
G <u>Larger c</u>	omponent wei	<u>ghts</u> are obtained fro	om tech. manuals w	hen available				
		MATERIAL	REMOVED					
Piping : Valves Valves Plate si	Piping < 2.5"							
		Total	weight =	lbs lbs				
NOTES	NOTES:							
Assign	ed labor hours	COS s: <u>111,040 hrs</u>		i ManRem: <u>N//</u>	A Rem			
	Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Cont	ingencies			
Labor	\$83.20	\$9,238,528	15	\$10,624,	307			
Shipping								
Reprocessing								
Burial								
Material								
		\$9.238.528	- TOTAL -	\$10.624.	307			

Syste	m/Project	<u>ISFSI</u>		····				
1994 S&L ID#:1100			<u> </u>	LACBWR	System #:	N/A		
Α	Reproces	sing Rates:	2010 Rates Used t = N/A	2010 Rates Used to Determine Cost N/A				
В	Burial Ra	tes:	= Concrete to Clive \$65/ft ³ + \$5.263%					
С	Transport		= \$4,500/load of 40,	000 lbs of waste ma				
D E F	Labor Ra Weights I Dirt Weig Normal co High dens 58 lbs/ft c	oval Rate: te: Used for Converte to the second to the seco	7.66 lb/ft of 3" piping schedule 80 t = 150 lbs/ft ³ 40 lbs/ft ² of 1" plate steel veight = 230 lbs/ft ³ 800 lbs for 8" valves					
			MATERIAL F	REMOVED		~		
	Piping < 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Piping > 2.5" Linear feet = Ibs Valves >8" = Ibs Valves 2.5" - 8" = Ibs Plate steel from tanks or components = Ibs Others: Ibs							
			Total v	veight =	lbs lbs			
	NOTES	This cost w	ill not be added into d to establish a sepa	LACBWR's decomr				
	COSTS Assigned labor hours: 4286 hrs Assigned ManRem: N/A Rem							
		Rate	2010 Cost	% Contingency	2010 with Conting	jencies		
Labo	r	\$83.20	\$356,629	15	\$410,084			
Shipp	oing	\$4,500/load	\$87,024	15	\$100,078			
Repr	ocessing							
Buria		\$68.42/ft ³	\$352,842	25	\$441,052			
Mate	rial		\$115,642	10	\$127,206			
\$912,137 = TOTAL = \$1,078,420)			

Cost Study Historical Changes

A. Changes made in the 1998 revision to the 1994 cost study:

1. Schedule

Because of new initiative in the area of dry cask storage, the tentative schedule for shipping spent fuel has been moved up. The most optimistic time frame for commencement of fuel shipping appears, at this time, to be in the year 2003. If the schedule can be met, then the other elements of the decommissioning timeline shown in Section 5 of the study can also be adjusted.

2. <u>Burial Costs Based on Weight vs. Volume</u>

The methods for establishing burial costs at South Caroline's Barnwell disposal facility have been changed. Burial costs are now based on the weight of material being buried and no longer on volume at Barnwell. Presently the burial cost is approximately \$6.50/lb. A second facility, located in Utah, is Envirocare which continues to charge for burial by volume. The current cost of burial at Envirocare is \$150/ft³.

3. <u>Disposal of Concrete in the Containment Building</u>

The concrete surfaces in the Containment Building will not be scabbled as was assumed in the S&L study. Rather, all concrete in the Containment Building will be demolished, surveyed, and sent to disposal as needed. All rebar removed from the Containment Building will be sent to a recycler for decon and release. A decon rate of 90% is used only for the rebar. This assumption was changed due to new technology being used on site to reduce, radiologically monitor, and separate concrete rubble for proper disposal. This eliminates the concern for estimating how much concrete has been contaminated by leakage from the spent fuel pool and subsequent contamination of the Containment Building concrete from the pool area to the steel containment shell. The current assumption reduces the variability and uncertainty for this task.

4. Reactor Vessel Removal Methodology

The reactor vessel may be removed in one piece through the freight door or an opening cut into the side of the Containment Building. A new cost estimate is being pursued but may not be available for this update.

5. Recycling of Material

LACBWR's current policy is to send all material removed during dismantlement, except for concrete rubble and rep-rap, to a licensed recycler. Material may be surveyed and released at LACBWR on a case-by-case basis, but will not be considered the norm. Present cost to recycle dismantlement material is approximately \$1.50/lb. Decontamination factors of 10% to 40% have been

assigned to each system. These decon factors will change as we obtain additional decon rates from our recyclers as the type of dismantlement material changes.

6. Waste Volume Generated

From the experience of the last several years, it appears the amount of material that will have to be sent to burial will be substantially less than that reported in the 1944 study. Better decontamination methods and factors, greater compaction, and metal melting techniques have contributed to this burial reduction.

LACBWR's present cost study has determined that the following material will be sent to recycling and/or burial during the dismantlement of LACBWR:

- a) Normal rubbish 5,400 ft³ to local landfill
- b) Asbestos insulation 2,690 ft³ for compaction and burial at Barnwell
- c) Reactor vessel 964,000 lbs. directly to burial at Barnwell
- d) Concrete 101,060 ft³ directly to Envirocare
- e) All others 1,143,326 lbs. to recycling. Of this material, it has been determined that 1,143,326 lbs. will be unconditionally released. This leaves 1,451,442 lbs. of material to be buried at Barnwell.

7. Labor

Labor rates obtained from the local AFL-CIO offices will be used to determine labor costs necessary for dismantlement. The hourly wage rate and benefit costs for a union boilermaker will be applied to all labor hours. Some dismantlement may be performed by general workers at a lower labor rate. These hours, however, have not been considered. It has been determined that 1,246,883 man hours and 146 manRem will be required for the dismantlement of LACBWR.

8. Time Necessary to Achieve Full Funding

Current estimates place the fund at greater than 60% of the full funding goal. The combination of further cash infusion, anticipated fund growth, and continued limited dismantlement may allow for full funding to be achieved by the optimistic spent fuel shipping completion date of 2003.

B. Changes Made in the 2000 Revision to the 1994 Cost Study

1. Schedule

Because of new initiatives in the area of dry cask storage, the tentative schedule for shipping spent fuel has been moved up. The most optimistic time frame for commencement of fuel shipping appears, at this time, to be in the year 2004. If

the schedule can be met, then the other elements of the decommissioning timeline shown in Section 5 of the 1994 Sargent & Lundy study can also be adjusted.

2. Burial Costs Based on Weight vs. Volume

The methods for establishing burial costs at South Caroline's Barnwell disposal facility have been changed. Burial costs are now based on the weight of material being buried and no longer on volume at Barnwell. Presently the burial cost is approximately \$6.50/lb. A second facility, located in Utah, is Envirocare which continues to charge for burial by volume. The current cost of burial at Envirocare is \$71/ft³ through our reprocessor.

3. Burial Sites

Prior to 1999, Dairyland disposed of its radioactive material at the Barnwell, South Caroline, disposal facility at a cost of \$6.50/lb. In 1999, LACBWR personnel conducted an audit of the Envirocare disposal site. After the audit, it was determined that the Envirocare facility was operating as required. Dairyland then began using the Envirocare site for disposal of its radioactive material and has continued to use Envirocare exclusively since that time. We have given approval to our reprocessor to ship all material, which is not free-released, to Envirocare for final disposal. Through our reprocessor, Dairyland's current disposal charge at the Envirocare facility is \$71/ft³. The disposal records of Dairyland's previous shipments to our reprocessor indicate that, after reprocessing and compaction, our waste density is approximately 70 lbs/ft³ or \$1/lb. The following burial assumption were discussed and determined during a meeting of LACBWR's Operation Review Committee, ORC-00-12 on 10/26/00.

- a) All concrete or soils removed would be sent to burial at Envirocare using the rate of \$71/ft³.
- b) All DAW, systems, and equipment removed from LACBWR that require burial after reprocessing will be sent to Envirocare. For conservatism, it was determined to use a cost of \$2/lb., because of the uncertainties associated with the compaction and disposal of carious material not yet evaluated by actual removal costs.
- c) The reactor vessel and any resin generated at LACBWR will still need to be buried at the Barnwell facility because of their Part 61 classification.

 This burial will remain at a cost \$6.50/lb.

4. Reprocessing of Material

LACBWR's current policy is to send material removed during dismantlement, except for concrete rubble and rip-rap, to a licensed recycler. Material may be surveyed and released at LACBWR on a case-by-case basis, but will not be considered the norm. Present cost to process dismantlement material is approximately \$1.50/lb. Decontamination factors of 10% to 40% have been

assigned to each system. These decon factors will change as we obtain additional decon rates from our reprocessor as the type of dismantlement material changes.

Our experience, obtained form the materials already sent to our reprocessor, indicates a current decon factor of 74-76%. However, we have not yet obtained enough actual reprocessing decon factors to justify updating the decon factors currently used. The variation in system materials, components, contamination levels, and potential activation of material is too significant to consider making any change at this time to the decon factors currently in use.

5. Waste Volume Generated

From the experience of the last several years, it appears the amount of material that will have to be sent to burial will be substantially less than that reported in the 1944 study. Better decontamination methods and factors, greater compaction, and metal melting techniques have contributed to this burial reduction.

LACBWR's present cost study has determined that the following material will be sent to recycling and/or burial during the dismantlement of LACBWR:

- a) Normal rubbish 5,400 ft³ to local landfill
- b) Asbestos insulation 2,000 ft³ for compaction and burial
- c) Reactor vessel 964,000 lbs. directly to burial at Barnwell
- d) Concrete 101,060 ft³ directly to Envirocare
- e) All others 2,481,631 lbs. to reprocessing. Of this material, it has been determined that 1,091,918 lbs. will be unconditionally released. This leaves 1,389,713 lbs. of material to be buried.

6. Labor

Labor rates obtained from the Local 434 Plumber and Steam Fitter's office will be used to determine labor costs necessary for dismantlement. The hourly wage rate and benefit costs for a union boilermaker will be applied to all labor hours. Some dismantlement may be performed by general workers at a lower labor rate. These hours, however, have not been considered. It has been determined that 1,244,714 man hours and 142 manRem will be required for the dismantlement of LACBWR.

7. <u>Time Necessary to Achieve Full Funding</u>

Current estimates place the fund at greater than 60% of the full funding goal. The combination of further cash infusion, anticipated fund growth, and continued limited dismantlement may allow for full funding to be achieved by the optimistic spent fuel shipping completion date of 2004.

C) Changes Made in the 2003 Revision to the 1994 Cost Study:

- All costs have been adjusted, based on 2003 dollars.
- All Class A metallic radioactive waste and DAW will be sent to a reprocessor for survey, repackaging, burial or release, as determined by the reprocessor. All Class A waste requiring burial will be sent to Energy Solutions in Clive, Utah. As of 2003, Duratek located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, is LACBWR's reprocessor. They are operating under a fixed price contract.
- All Class A concrete and soil wastes will be sent directly to Envirocare for disposal. The burial cost of this material has increased significantly from the 200 cost study rates. This due to the change of reprocessing companies.
- All Class B & C wastes will be sent directly to burial in Barnwell, S.C.
- Due to the amount of time that has now elapsed since plant shutdown, there has been a significant reduction of radionuclides as a result of natural decay. No system decon will be needed as originally thought.
- Cost estimates for the removal of the following components or systems have been added to the study:
 - o #230 Removal of Main Turbine
 - o #230.1 Removal of Main Generator
 - o #231 Removal of Turbine Oil System
 - o #238 Removal of the HPSW system in the Turbine Building
 - o #238.1 Removal of Circulating Water System in Turbine Building
 - o #238.2 Removal of LPSW System in Turbine Building
- The weight of the main condenser listed in ID #232 was found to be inaccurate. This weight has been corrected.
- Contingency % and escalator % will be changed based on the original 1994 cost study, along with knowledge gained from our own research, which included various industrial reports published by cost study professionals.
- The weight of the reactor vessel listed in ID #221.1 was found to be inaccurate. This weight has been corrected.
- > DPC will act as its own Decommissioning Operations Contractor (DOC). Outside assistance will be contracted as needed, but the overall project management will be based in-house.
- Spent fuel will be placed in dry storage as soon as practical. It will be sent to an offsite ISFSI or repository when one becomes available, possibly as soon as 2005.
- Dismantlement of LACBWR will continue to be performed by plant personnel, in a limited fashion, until all spent fuel has been placed in dry storage.
- Once all spent fuel has been placed in dry storage, full-time dismantlement will commence. The work will be performed by DPC personnel and supplemented by

contractors as deemed necessary. This could begin as early as 2005 and is expected to last for seven years.

D. Changes Made in the 2007 Revision to the 1994 Cost Study:

- All costs have been adjusted, based on 2007 dollars.
- All Class A metallic radioactive waste and DAW will be sent to a reprocessor for survey, repackaging, burial or release, as determined by the reprocessor. All Class A waste requiring burial will be sent to Energy Solutions in Clive, Utah. As of 2007, Energy Solutions located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, is LACBWR's reprocessor. They are operating under a fixed price contract. LACBWR is currently pursuing a contract to utilize the services of Studsvik Race a waste processor located in Memphis, TN.
- All Class A concrete and soil wastes will be sent directly to Energy Solutions in Utah for disposal.
- All Class B & C wastes will be sent directly to burial in Barnwell, S.C. before 2008 as the Barnwell disposal site will close at this time and no other site will accept B & C waste at this time.
- Due to the amount of time that has now elapsed since plant shutdown, there has been a significant reduction of radionuclides as a result of natural decay. No system decon will be needed as originally thought.
- DPC will act as its own Decommissioning Operations Contractor (DOC). Outside assistance will be contracted as needed, but the overall project management will be based in-house.
- Spent fuel will be placed in dry storage as soon as practical. It will be sent to an offsite ISFSI or repository when one becomes available, possibly as soon as 2010.
- Dismantlement of LACBWR will continue to be performed by plant personnel, in a limited fashion, until all spent fuel has been placed in dry storage.
- Once all spent fuel has been placed in dry storage, full-time dismantlement will commence. The work will be performed by DPC personnel and supplemented by contractors as deemed necessary. This could begin as early as 2014 and is expected to last for seven years.
- System ID #239 (Removal of Misc. TB Steam Components) will be removed as all materials associated with this system has been removed and disposed of.
- System ID #221.1 (Reactor Vessel Removal) will be removed from the study. The RPV was removed and disposed of in 2007.
- System ID #900 (Indirect Costs) this value will be adjust to reflect DOC costs associated with the RPV removal.
- All systems in which material has been removed and disposed of since 2003 will be updated.
- > System ID #802 the cost for rental of the 300 ton Manirowac rigger is removed due to RPV removal.

- Labor costs for 2007 will be based on a steam fitters cost instead of a boilermaker. Steam fitters are responsible from the first valve from the boiler out.
- Due to the closing of Barnwell in 2008 all B & C waste has been disposed of.

 LACBWR will no longer create B & C waste. No shipments of radioactive material will be disposed of in Barnwell.
- System ID #230 surveys taken inside the turbine covers indicate significant levels of radioactive contamination. This system is no longer classified as a clean system. Material will be removed and buried at a rate of \$2.19/lb.

System	Removal Rate		System		Removal Rate	
211	1.3	man-hours/cubic yard	230.1	0.002	man-hours/cubic yard	
212	2.7	man-hours/cubic yard	231	0.029	man-hours/cubic yard	
213	2.1	man-hours/cubic yard	232	0.013	man-hours/cubic yard	
216	2.1	man-hours/cubic yard	233/235	0.012	man-hours/cubic yard	
217	2.1	man-hours/cubic yard	234	0.018	man-hours/cubic yard	
218	2.3	man-hours/cubic yard	236	0.013	man-hours/cubic yard	
219	2.1	man-hours/cubic yard	237	0.012	man-hours/cubic yard	
221.2	0.05	man-hours/pound	238	0.015	man-hours/pound	
221.3	0.002	man-hours/pound	238.1	0.053	man-hours/pound	
222.1	0.05	man-hours/pound	238.2	0.015	man-hours/pound	
222.2	0.05	man-hours/pound	240	N/A	man-hours/pound	
222.3	0.05	man-hours/pound	241	0.068	man-hours/pound	
222.4	0.05	man-hours/pound	242	N/A	man-hours/pound	
222.5	0.05	man-hours/pound	243	N/A	man-hours/pound	
223.2	0.05	man-hours/pound	251	0.044	man-hours/pound	
223.3	0.05	man-hours/pound	253	0.012	man-hours/pound	
223.5	0.05	man-hours/pound	300	N/A	man-hours/pound	
223.7	0.035	man-hours/pound	600	0.012	man-hours/pound	
- 224.1/227	0.05	man-hours/pound	700	0.012	man-hours/pound	
224.2	0.035	man-hours/pound	801	N/A	man-hours/pound	
224.3	0.035	man-hours/pound	802	N/A	man-hours/pound	
225	0.002	man-hours/pound	804	N/A	man-hours/pound	
226	0.032	man-hours/pound	805	N/A	man-hours/pound	
228.1	0.035	man-hours/pound	807	N/A	man-hours/pound	
228.2	0.05	man-hours/pound	900	N/A	man-hours/pound	
229	0.035	man-hours/pound	1000	N/A	man-hours/pound	
230	0.002	man-hours/pound	1100	0.006	man-hours/pound	