

**Greenwood, Carol**

---

**From:** Gibson, Kathy - RES  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2010 9:55 AM  
**To:** Coe, Doug  
**Subject:** Re: 2 Things

Doug,  
Make sure you see the current version of the GT, it sounds like you are referring to an earlier draft.

Thanks,  
K

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Coe, Doug  
**To:** Gibson, Kathy; Lui, Christiana  
**Cc:** Valentin, Andrea  
**Sent:** Fri Sep 17 07:09:31 2010  
**Subject:** RE: 2 Things

Thanks Kathy -

1. Appreciate the insights on sensitivities. The tasking was only to come up with an 'approach' to evaluating external activities. Implementation of the recommended approach would be a separate decision. Stephanie should definitely talk with Bill.
2. Brian talked with Chris and I and Andrea about coordinating Level III PRA and SOARCA papers/recommendations. We should definitely meet with you when you return. We are also seeking other office inputs from Division mgmt.

Thanks again and have a great trip to Paris!  
Doug

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gibson, Kathy  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2010 5:33 PM  
**To:** Lui, Christiana; Coe, Doug  
**Cc:** Valentin, Andrea  
**Subject:** 2 Things

Chris/Doug.

(b)(6)

1) Proposed Green ticket on Groundwater Protection Task Force actions. Stephanie is also going to talk to Bill Ott about this but we need to be sure we know what we are being assigned. The current draft that may be issued this week asks us to evaluate and include a summary of policy implications on radioactive material impact on environmental systems. We raised this at the Radiation Protection Steering Committee meeting today in the context of a recent ICRP document we are commenting on and the topic raised a firestorm of discussion. The OGC lawyer reminded that we have no authority for environmental regulations. EIS is done under NEPA and EPA's regulatory authority. The RPSC cautioned that we should be careful evaluating policy issues in an area where the Commission has not directed. If we do accept this task, we need to be sure EDO gives us plenty of time to coordinate with the offices. Now the ticket gives ReS lead with input from FSME and NMSS. We will certainly need to coordinate with NRR, NRO, the Regions, and probably even NSIR. The message loud and clear was this is a

P/117

very sensitive, high visibility issue and we need to step carefully. I suggest you talk to Brian and Jim asap. Stephanie can support while I am gone.

2) Brian wants us to get together and develop a coordinated "RES" approach to post-SOARCA recommendations. I have some ideas I want to discuss with you guys. Can we schedule a meeting for the week after next? I am going to try to put my thoughts down while on travel next week and if I am successful I will send them to you.

Thanks,  
K