

**Greenwood, Carol**

---


**From:** Gibson, Kathy - RES  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2011 4:48 PM  
**To:** Uhle, Jennifer; Scott, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: SOARCA  
**Attachments:** Kathy Halvey Gibson.vcf; image001.jpg

Jennifer,

Did you reply to this? If not, would you send us the email you sent to Brian on the schedule so I can be consistent?

Based on my notes, doing as Brian suggests would only get the report out for public comment 1 month earlier (April 30 vice May 30) and then creates the extra work of another public comment period for the ISLOCA piece (and the credibility issue etc etc).

K

	<b>Kathy Halvey Gibson</b> Deputy Director Division of Systems Analysis
	Kathy.Gibson@nrc.gov (301) 251-7499 Work (b)(6)
<small>U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research Protecting People and the Environment</small>	

9/26

**From:** Sheron, Brian  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2011 2:11 PM  
**To:** Uhle, Jennifer; Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael  
**Subject:** SOARCA

I briefly discussed with Mike Weber. His suggestion was similar to mine. Namely, can we go out with what we have so far, let the public start reviewing methodologies, etc., acknowledge that we learned of a design assumption discrepancy and are revising some specific calculations, and will reissue that part of the report when the revised calculations are completed?