Greenwood, Carol

From:

Gibson, Kathy -1289

Sent:

Wednesday, July 21, 2010 1:35 PM

To:

Uhle, Jennifer; Bush-Goddard, Stephanie; Armstrong, Kenneth; Yerokun, Jimi


Subject:

RE: 3rd Q performance

Attachments:

Kathy Halvey Gibson2.vcf; image001.jpg

Are you ok with the second bullet?



From: Uhle, Jennifer

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 1:29 PM

To: Gibson, Kathy; Bush-Goddard, Stephanie; Armstrong, Kenneth; Yerokun, Jimi

Subject: RE: 3rd Q performance

Looks great to me. Thx. J

From: Gibson, Kathy

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 1:24 PM

To: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie; Armstrong, Kenneth; Yerokun, Jimi

Cc: Uhle, Jennifer

Subject: RE: 3rd Q performance

Folks.

I found the bullets we used for SOARCA and cancer risk study in the past and combined them. I added one for Stephanie's comment on the new Commissioners, and the one from last quarter which is still true.

- Maintaining the "State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis (SOARCA)" and "An Analysis
 of Cancer Risk in Populations Living Near Nuclear Power Facilities," studies on schedule and
 responding to the high stakeholder interest. Using effective risk communication techniques in
 ensuring internal and external stakeholders are aware of and can comment on the project
 schedules, milestones, and results in a timely and effective manner.
- Providing increased number of briefings and responses to questions for multiple new Commissioners.
- Prioritize competing resource demands and prepare staff and tools for highly uncertain emerging new work such as Toshiba (Liquid Metal Reactor) design certification scheduled for 2012, very long term spent fuel storage research, and licensing Mo-99 facilities.

If this works for everybody, let's go with it. Thanks, K

antormation in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act.


Exemptions

504/15-0683



アイプ





From: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 1:06 PM To: Armstrong, Kenneth; Yerokun, Jimi Cc: Gibson, Kathy; Uhle, Jennifer Subject: RE: 3rd Q performance

Ken/Jen/Kathy,

Below are some 3 qtr challenges from HEB to choose from if you need something to start with......

- Communicating Health Based research to non-health based disciplines (such as engineers, politicians, etc).
- 2. Increased number of tickets, procedures and paper work associated with the Cancer study.
- 3. Acquisition process for grants and understanding performance metrics for carryover money.
- 4. Transitioning from 2 to five Commissioners required more than normal communication on AO report.

From: Armstrong, Kenneth

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 12:01 PM **To:** Bush-Goddard, Stephanie; Yerokun, Jimi

Subject: 3rd Q performance

Jimi and Stephanie,

We just reviewed the 3rd Q performance with the divisions and front office. Brian noticed that the divisions didn't provided any challenges (which I don't think that I ever specifically asked the BCs for). So, with your branches having two of our more high profile projects, I wanted to pulse you both and see if you had any suggestions? Brian would like to see one challenge (admin or technically based) from DSA. Kathy is going to talk to Jennifer about it, but I told her I'd also ask you two as well. If you both have concerns and they over lap, we can hopefully just respond with one bullet.

Thanks,

Kenneth Armstrong Technical Assistant RES/DSA