

Greenwood, Carol

From: Gibson, Kathy - RES
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 5:15 PM
To: Ghosh, Tina
Subject: Re: SOARCA extension request

Tina,
Thanks, and could you also provide me a copy of the previous extension request?

K

From: Ghosh, Tina
To: Scott, Michael
Cc: Gibson, Kathy; Santiago, Patricia; Armstrong, Kenneth; Chang, Richard
Sent: Tue Jan 18 17:05:35 2011
Subject: RE: SOARCA extension request

Hi Mike,

I have updated the extension request per your direction below.
Please see attached (with tracked changes), and let me know of any additional changes.
If you view it with changes hidden, you'll see it still fits on one page.

I'll get this to Kathy tomorrow.
Do you have a physical concurrence package (into which I'd drop the update)?

Kathy & Pat – my understanding is that the schedule with end date of 12/15/11 has little to no contingencies worked into it.
So if things come up (competing higher-priority tasks for staff, illness, etc.), as we can expect them to, this schedule essentially assumes that Charlie and Jason will put in the required (hopefully occasional) overtime.
No one has talked to Charlie or Jason about this yet.

Thanks,
Tina

From: Scott, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 4:38 PM
To: Ghosh, Tina
Cc: Chang, Richard; Gibson, Kathy; Santiago, Patricia
Subject: RE: SOARCA extension request

Tina:

Richard and I had not marked up the ADAMS version.

Your markup looks pretty good. I would reorder the paragraph that discusses the issues. Move the "summary" sentence that says how complex the project has been to the beginning, then put ISLOCA and SRV as examples of that complexity that have led to schedule delays. Then you can say that additionally, there have been contracting issues for which we are putting corrective actions in place, with a sentence or two to describe them (as you have now)..

Please make the edit discussed herein and provide the concurrence package to Kathy tomorrow. (Richard has already provided the revised schedule).

Pat: The aggressive schedule, coupled with resolve to have no more extensions, may necessitate some overtime for staff. Suggest you alert them to that possibility when you get back.

Mike

From: Ghosh, Tina
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 4:06 PM
To: Scott, Michael
Cc: Chang, Richard
Subject: RE: SOARCA extension request

Hi Mike,

Haven't heard back from you yet, so I went ahead and modified the version of the request that was in ADAMS. Please see attached with changes tracked (if you want to see a clean version, you can hide the changes) for your review.

If there is a newer version of the ext. request (please send it to me if there is), I'll modify that one with the proposed changes.

Thanks,
Tina

From: Ghosh, Tina
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2011 2:15 PM
To: Scott, Michael
Cc: Chang, Richard
Subject: SOARCA extension request

Hi Mike,

Would you mind e-mailing me the latest version of the ext. request you have?

I have attached what is in ADAMS, which is the latest version I have to work off of (but I don't know if you and/or Richard made further changes to this version...) Sorry didn't ask Richard for this before he got on the road.

Thanks,
Tina