

**Greenwood, Carol**

---

**From:** Gibson, Kathy - RES  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2011 9:22 PM  
**To:** Santiago, Patricia; Scott, Michael  
**Subject:** Re: SOARCA DRAFT EXTENSION REQUEST

Pat,  
We'll catch you up when you get back. Take care of yourself and your sister. Not to worry...

K

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Santiago, Patricia  
**To:** Scott, Michael  
**Cc:** Gibson, Kathy  
**Sent:** Mon Jan 17 20:39:24 2011  
**Subject:** RE: SOARCA DRAFT EXTENSION REQUEST

Mike  
I am not sure if it's too late to chime in but since I left last week, I thought we were in agreement about the 2/2012 date. I know that we wanted to see the 12/2011 date but at the same time, are we sure we can cut out the 2 months and meet it? We initially presented 3 options and 12/2011 was one of them however due to certain items, we added more time since it was not realistic nor did it have some of the detail we discussed. This led us back to 2/2012. I am concerned based on all our scrubbing how we will guarantee a 12/2011 date when we know something always comes up on these major products that cause delay and we definitely want to meet the next date proposed for completion. I would like to take look at the schedule again to make sure we can meet 12/2012 and talk with Richard who should confirm it with the team who may be writing major sections.

Is the contractor issue not to be mentioned in general although it may be of interest to the Commission since it will be in FEDBIZOPPS? The contract is essentially a sole source and we prepared a JOFOC to ensure it is issued in 20-30 days max to Dycoda. I agree it is not an excuse for being late however it did add an additional 60-90 days onto the project since SNL was unable to grant an exemption their internal policy to allow KC to work and we had to delete segments from the SNL contract and prepare a new contract to get KC. Should we rephrase it?

Overall, there were several issues with SNL not performing on SOARCA prior to this which may be related to their prioritizing other work ahead of SOARCA for months before November that we don't want to see occur again. Our weekly calls with them should preclude delays in the future but another expert like KC leaving could potentially occur that could impact this schedule.

Separately any further thought on splitting the responses due to allow us to present SOARCA options in July with the Level 2 PRA and then present the final SOARCA document 2/2012 which would meet all the OCM taskers?

I should be back in Thursday as my sister should be settled into a hospice routine and caretakers for the time being or available for a call tomorrow or Wednesday.

Thanks again for all your help  
Pat

0/70

---

From: Scott, Michael  
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2011 3:12 PM  
To: Chang, Richard  
Cc: Santiago, Patricia; Gibson, Kathy  
Subject: SOARCA DRAFT EXTENSION REQUEST

Richard: Comments on subject document-

1. I would not mention the contractor issue. That is no excuse/reason for being late in my view.
2. I'm not prepared to accept 2/28/12 as end date, subject to you and me finalizing the aggressive schedule. Senior management expects to see a date in 2011.
3. I think the public comment period will start before fall 2011, but again will wait for finalized schedule.

Overall a well written document. Will need to finalize after figuring out schedule - this week.

Thanks