

Greenwood, Carol

From: Gibson, Kathy - RES
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 5:06 PM
To: Ghosh, Tina; Scott, Michael
Subject: Re: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

Thanks we will discuss next week when Mike and Richard have SOARCA schedule laid out.

From: Ghosh, Tina
To: Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael
Sent: Fri Jan 14 16:58:12 2011
Subject: RE: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

Do one of you plan to follow up with Randy Sullivan in NSIR, or do you want me to approach him again? FYI, I have quoted below the latest Randy told me. Let me know who should talk to him next.

"Nope, have not got an actual commitment RE: review of my EP scenarios document by Charlie and Jason I am looking for a 1-2 day job on this and a 2 hour meeting with SNL and I. Before 2/11/11."

Thanks,
Tina

From: Gibson, Kathy
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 4:30 PM
To: Uhle, Jennifer; Ghosh, Tina; Scott, Michael
Cc: Santiago, Patricia
Subject: Re: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

I will take care of this, you don't need to worry about it.

From: Uhle, Jennifer
To: Ghosh, Tina; Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael
Cc: Santiago, Patricia
Sent: Fri Jan 14 16:27:13 2011
Subject: RE: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

RES has to send someone to the BRC. It is a Presidentially appointed committee which trumps SOARCA. They request a briefing with 2 slides. Perhaps structural guys in DE can take the lead but they are requesting the specific topic of spent fuel pool fire scenarios. RES did not promise NSIR. We said that Dec. Jan time was not the best and February Charlie would be more freed up. I asked Randy if it was urgent and he said it was not. So, propose a better time back to NSIR if possible. Or find out exactly what NSIR wants and maybe someone else like Marty Stutzke can help out.

J

From: Ghosh, Tina
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2011 12:24 PM
To: Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael

Cc: Chang, Richard; Tinkler, Charles; Schaperow, Jason; Santiago, Patricia; Uhle, Jennifer
Subject: Tasks competing with SOARCA -- seek your guidance

Dear Kathy,

I am writing to alert you to a potential resource conflict coming up (namely Charlie's time) and seek your guidance.

As you know, we are in a critical analysis period for SOARCA.

We are expecting several products from Sandia today and in the next couple of weeks that need to be reviewed by Charlie, who is already actively working on the SOARCA main report.

In addition, since we planned to gain efficiencies by pulling some of the writing in-house, that too will require a lot of Charlie and Jason's time in the weeks to come.

During this critical time, we are aware of the following two tasks that may pull Charlie away up to 2 weeks (per conversation between Richard and Charlie) between now and early February:

- DOE's Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future briefing on 2/3/11 (please see e-mails below for further information on this)
- Review of NSIR work on EP critical scenarios (which RES promised to do, and needed before 2/11/11 per Randy Sullivan).

My question to you is, are there other staff who could help out on these competing tasks, during this critical time for the SOARCA analysis?

Please advise.

Thank you very much,
Tina

S. Tina Ghosh, Ph.D.
Senior Program Manager
Division of Systems Analysis
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: C-3A07M
Washington, DC 20555
Phone: 301-251-7984

From: Tinkler, Charles
To: Santiago, Patricia
Sent: Wed Jan 12 08:57:09 2011
Subject: FW: Briefing of Blue Ribbon Commission

fyi

From: Tinkler, Charles
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 8:49 AM
To: Uhle, Jennifer; Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael
Subject: RE: Briefing of Blue Ribbon Commission

Sure, I would be available for this if you think it appropriate. I was very closely involved in the NAS review (and briefed them a number of times during their review), although it has been a few years since I closely looked at their report.

I assume the BRC will want to know what we did in response to the NAS recommendations, etc and how we feel about some of their conclusions. Therefore, I should spend some time re-reviewing the NAS report

I was planning to use that time (Feb 3,4) to review the NSIR work on EP critical scenarios (which RES promised them we would do) I will talk to Randy Sullivan, to see if he has flexibility..

From: Uhle, Jennifer
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 4:11 PM
To: Gibson, Kathy; Scott, Michael; Tinkler, Charles
Subject: Briefing of Blue Ribbon Commission

Charlie,

The DOE's Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future plans to request a classified briefing on 2/3/11 from NRC on several security issues, which arise primarily from the 2004 NAS study on security of commercial spent fuel. One of the topics they appear to be interested in is work done since 2004 on the analysis of fuel pool fire scenarios.

OCA is meeting with BRC staff on Tuesday 1/11 at White Flint to discuss the details of their information needs, and help them develop a focused agenda. Based on the preliminary agenda, OCA anticipates that NRC would need to speak for 5 minutes or so on recent work for pool fires, and develop 1-2 slides on this subject. Currently, OCA believes we can hold these discussions at a Secret/NSI level. The driving need, however, will be to have one or several knowledgeable staff on hand during the 2/3/11 meeting downtown to answer any questions the BRC may have on this subject.

RES needs to support this request and in my opinion you are the best choice. I hope 2/3 is an acceptable date for you. We should be hearing more from OCA shortly. Can you do this?

Jennifer