

Greenwood, Carol

From: Gibson, Kathy -RES
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 8:05 PM
To: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie
Subject: Re: RIC sessions

Hey you don't have to work so late! ;-). How do you like the job so far? It will be more fun with Brian tomorrow!

If you want to chair the RP session go ahead and have Lorna make the change, but I do think the 3 sessions will have overlapping audiences.

Thanks and see you tomorrow,
K

From: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie
To: Gibson, Kathy; Lyons, James; Uhle, Jennifer; Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Mon Nov 29 19:53:32 2010
Subject: Re: RIC sessions

I stand corrected.

Cancer and Rad Protection will have some of the same people but they are at different times.

If SOARCA will present dose information I can see where all three sessions could attract the same people.

I will ask Lorna if the Rad Protection or SOARCA session can be exchanged with something earlier in the week that might not attract the same people.

From: Gibson, Kathy
To: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie; Lyons, James; Uhle, Jennifer; Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Mon Nov 29 19:40:09 2010
Subject: Re: RIC sessions

Not sure that I agree. I think there will be overlap in audiences for all 3 sessions.

From: Bush-Goddard, Stephanie
To: Lyons, James; Gibson, Kathy; Uhle, Jennifer; Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Mon Nov 29 17:15:42 2010
Subject: RE: RIC sessions

Jim,

Kathy is scheduled to lead the Cancer, SOARCA and Radiation Protection Session (SOARCA and Radiation Protection are scheduled at the same time).

We (Kathy and I) discussed this before the schedule came out and decided I would lead the Radiation Protection Session if there were conflicting times.

That could be the source of confusion for Jack.

0/50

The SOARCA, Cancer and Radiation Protection Session are independent stand alone sessions with different topics of discussion. Therefore coherence is not an issue.

At they stand:

Thursday at 8:30 am – Cancer Session (Kathy will chair)

Thursday at 10:30 am – SOACRA (Kathy will chair) and Rad Protection Session (Stephanie will chair)

If you agree, we will leave the sessions as they stand.

-Stephanie

From: Lyons, James

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 4:28 PM

To: Gibson, Kathy; Uhle, Jennifer; Yerokun, Jimi; Bush-Goddard, Stephanie

Subject: FW: RIC sessions

OK, now I'm confused. This is the email Jack sent and it has nothing to do with the 2 sessions Lorna sent us. Any help?

Jim

From: Grobe, Jack

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2010 2:43 PM

To: Lyons, James

Cc: Kipfer, Lorna; Leeds, Eric

Subject: RIC sessions

You have three sessions that seem to have some relationship and overlap.

One on the NAS study of cancer rates, one on rad issues and one on SOARCA.

I would recommend that you remove the NAS pieces from the rad issues discussion and then align the NAS session next to the SOARCA session to have coherence. I provided Lorna a recommendation to achieve this. If this does not make sense, I will go with your judgement, but it seems logical to me. Please have your folks work with Lorna. Thanks Jim.

Jack Grobe, Deputy Director

for Engineering and Corporate Support

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission