

Greenwood, Carol

From: Gibson, Kathy - RES
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2010 6:54 PM
To: Uhle, Jennifer
Subject: Fw: RESPONSE REQUESTED: Review of Level 3 PRA OEDO Extension Request
Attachments: Level 3 PRA OEDO Extension Request - 10262010.docx; ML102780604.ADC

I'm ok with this but I think the first line in the last paragraph should be removed. I do think it will have to be in one paper and I don't see that sentence adding any value or supporting justification for extension request. I'm not going to fall on my sword over it but I don't think it adds anything.

From: Hudson, Daniel
To: Uhle, Jennifer; Gibson, Kathy
Cc: Lui, Christiana; Coe, Doug; Stutzke, Martin; Tinkler, Charles; Schaperow, Jason; Chang, Richard
Sent: Tue Oct 26 11:46:39 2010
Subject: RESPONSE REQUESTED: Review of Level 3 PRA OEDO Extension Request

Jennifer and Kathy,

Attached for your review is an extension request we plan to submit to OEDO by Friday, 10/29 to extend the due date for the Level 3 PRA Commission paper to coincide with that of SOARCA. A prior version of this document was provided to DRA management and to the members of a SOARCA-Level 3 PRA coordination working group (Charlie Tinkler, Jason Schaperow, Richard Chang, and Marty Stutzke) for an initial review.

Also attached for ease of reference is the CA note that was submitted by DSA on 10/5/2010.

We would really appreciate it if you could review the extension request and provide comments by COB tomorrow to support our plans for submitting this to OEDO by Friday.

Please let me know if you have any questions, comments, or concerns.

Thank you very much!

Best regards,
Dan

Daniel W. Hudson
Technical Assistant
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
Division of Risk Analysis
Daniel.Hudson@nrc.gov
301-251-7919

Level 3 PRA OEDO Extension Request

Tasking No(s):

EDO: G201000119

WITS: 201000288A01

EDATS: SECY-2010-0166

Current EDO Due Date:

January 31, 2011 (Current SECY Due Date: February 7, 2011)

Requested Due Date to EDO:

June 30, 2011 (Requested Due Date to SECY: July 7, 2011)

Justification for and/or circumstances as to why extension is necessary:

On February 18, 2010, during the Annual Commission Briefing on Research Programs, Performance, and Future Plans, RES briefed the Commission on the need for conducting a new comprehensive site Level 3 Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA). On March 19, 2010, the Commission issued staff requirements memorandum (SRM) M100218 (ML100780578), which directed the staff to: (1) continue internal coordination efforts and engage external stakeholders in formulating a plan and scope for future Level 3 PRAs, and (2) provide the Commission with various options for proceeding which include costs and perspectives on future uses. The SECY suspense date is February 7, 2011.

On September 27, 2010, the staff requested an extension of the due date for submitting the Commission paper that will provide both the results of the completed State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis (SOARCA) pilot study and recommendations for if and how to proceed with additional analyses for other plant and containment configurations. The extension request was submitted to allow time to complete additional analyses, external outreach, and public review activities. On September 29, 2010, OEDO approved an extension to June 30, 2011 for submission to OEDO, and requested SECY reset the due date to July 7, 2011. The latter request is still under consideration by SECY and the Commission.

On October 5, 2010, the staff submitted a Commission Assistant (CA) note (ML102780604) to notify the Commission of its plans for completing the SOARCA study as well as its belief that the results and recommendations for proceeding with both SOARCA and Level 3 PRA should be integrated in a single policy paper to the Commission. This CA note also informed the Commission of the staff's intent to submit an extension request to extend the due date for the Level 3 PRA Commission paper and provided justification for combining it with the SOARCA Commission paper.

Although the staff is still considering the advantages and disadvantages of a combined Commission paper, an important first step is to align the schedules for providing the Commission with recommendations for proceeding with SOARCA and Level 3 PRA activities. This will facilitate informed Commission policy on whether and how to proceed with the next steps for either or both studies. The staff is therefore requesting an extension of the OEDO due date for submitting the Level 3 PRA Commission paper to June 30, 2011 and a reset of the SECY due date to July 7, 2011 to coincide with the SOARCA Commission paper. Based on the need to align with the new SOARCA schedule, the resetting of the SECY due date is justified by criteria #4 in OEDO Procedure – 0370 (requirements and/or schedules of other Federal agencies or stakeholders have changed, provided that staff has exhausted all means for expediting an NRC response).

Name and statement of approval by responsible Division Director (or higher):

Brian W. Sheron, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research