## Greenwood, Carol

From:

Gibson, Kathy - KES

Sent:

Monday, October 18, 2010 10:28 AM

To:

Armstrong, Kenneth

Subject:

Re: Your Request on Input to Support FY2010 Appraisal Discussion with Mike

I'd like Chris, Richard, and Scott to provide one or two sentences on code development and assessment activities to meet NRR/NRO milestones.

Jimi can provide one for SOARCA and Stephanie/Terry can provide one for cancer study to NAS.

Challenges and what he can help us with:

Communication with Commissioners and other stakeholders on approach, progress, and results of high interest RES activities such as SOARCA, Cancer risk study, etc.

From: Armstrong, Kenneth

To: Gibson, Kathy

**Sent**: Mon Oct 18 09:44:37 2010

Subject: FW: Your Request on Input to Support FY2010 Appraisal Discussion with Mike

Kathy,

Do you have any input into Brian's request from late last week?

1. What are two accomplishments (for RES) that you believe you've done well, or strengths demonstrated well during the last year?

SOARCA and Cancer study?

2. What are your primary goals for the next year? How can I facilitate success?

Below is what DRA provided.

Thanks, Kenneth

From: Lui, Christiana

Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 12:27 PM

To: Sheron, Brian

Cc: Coe, Doug; Santiago, Patricia; Lyons, James

Subject: Your Request on Input to Support FY2010 Appraisal Discussion with Mike

DRA offers the following input for consideration:

3. What are two accomplishments (for RES) that you believe you've done well, or strengths demonstrated well during the last year?

RES made substantial progress with the Generic Issues Program (GIP) in FY10. Supporting detail: The agency issued a revised Management Directive 6.4 "Generic Issues Program" prepared by RES. We also issued the corresponding RES Office Instruction TEC-002 which provides concise and clear

0/44

technical decision criteria for the different stages of the GIP. In addition, we completed and coordinated (with OEDO, NRR, NSIR, Regional Offices, OPA, OCA, and OIP) the public release of the GI-199 Safety/Risk Assessment related to changes in the seismic hazard for Central/Eastern US sites, including Commission level briefing. We also completed the transition of the issue to NRR for regulatory implementation and assisted NRR in drafting the Information Notice on the GI-199 Safety/Risk Assessment results. Furthermore, we have started processing a number of pre-GIs using the more timely process described in the updated MD 6.4 and TEC-002.

4. What are your primary goals for the next year? How can I facilitate success?

One of our significant goals for FY11 will be completion of the Level III PRA scoping study and a Commission policy paper, coordinated with SOARCA, to present options for Commission decision on the next step for either or both studies. This will require substantial internal collaboration and alignment, including ACRS interactions, and external stakeholder outreach. DEDO-level support could include seeking Commissioner views during periodic meetings and industry views during drop-in, downloading feedback to RES on what types of options have the greatest likelihood for Commission support, and highlighting any issues that should be addressed explicitly in the SECY paper.