

Greenwood, Carol

From: Gibson, Kathy ^{RES}
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 2:51 PM
To: Yerokun, Jimi
Subject: Fw: Draft CA Note on SOARCA and Level 3

If you are in please help chris incorporate these comments. Good comments!

From: Lui, Christiana
To: Valentin, Andrea; Gibson, Kathy; Coe, Doug
Cc: Hudson, Daniel; Stutzke, Martin; Yerokun, Jimi
Sent: Fri Oct 01 12:42:44 2010
Subject: FW: Draft CA Note on SOARCA and Level 3

I took the liberty of forwarding the current version of the CA Note to Jack Giessner since today is his last day on rotation. Here is his input. A few items are related to the SOARCA description in the CA Note.

We'll take a look of his comments in bold and provide some ideas on how to capture that by Monday morning.

From: Giessner, John
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 12:32 PM
To: Lui, Christiana
Subject: RE: Draft CA Note on SOARCA and Level 3

Overall I like the tone. We need to be careful on what we say we are giving the Commission.

"The draft SOARCA NUREG will be provided to the Commission by October 29, 2010."

-Better worded the "draft SORCA NUREG will be provided to internal stakeholders by October 29, 2010. An EDO daily with a link to the entire document will be available for review. The staff will address questions and comments". [We don't want to imply the CMN is seeing the document for some action as in a SECY paper]

-We should also discuss why SOARCA moved in the first place (uncertainty analysis and ACRCS comments)

-This line: " After all comments of external and internal stakeholders (including the ACRS) have been addressed, the report will be finalized and provided to the Commission in June 2011. " We are not providing the document to the CMN for approval, the NUREG will be signed by the OD. What will be sent up is the policy recommendations in a SECY paper. May be say "After all comments of external and internal stakeholders (including the ACRS) have been addressed, the report will be finalized and policy options will be presented to the CMN for the next steps."

I think that is missing to some extent, **we need to link PRA III and SOARCA by saying that Research will be presenting policy options on the next steps to evaluate the risk and consequences of severe accidents. Not sure how to say that right now.**

I'd be happy to look at it again – call if you need some more info on my comments.

John (Jack) Giessner
Executive Technical Assistant (on rotation)
EDO Office

From: Luj, Christiana
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 10:41 AM
To: Giessner, John
Subject: Draft CA Note on SOARCA and Level 3

Jack: Here is the latest version. Brian is out until Monday so we have not had the chance to get his take. Given today is your last day on the OEDO rotation (thank you for all you've done for us), I would like to get your insights on whether we're more or less hitting the mark. Any feedback would be appreciated.

Chris