ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

					•
ACCESSION FACIL: 50 AUTH.NA PORTER, 5 MUSOLF, 1 RECIP.N	S.R. Northern Northern	uclear Genera	Co.	: NO DOCKE rn States 05000	
		during preop	erational testing.	mproper W/8 ltr. size: L	
TITLE: 5	JTION CODE: IE22D 50.73 Licensee Eve	nt Report (LE	VED:LTR <u> </u> ENCL <u> </u> R), Incident Rpt,		- r
NOTES:					S
	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD3-3 LA SCALETTI,D	COPIES LTTR ENCL 1 1 1 1	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD3-3 PD	COPIES LTTR ENCL 1 1	A
	ACRS MICHELSON AEOD/DOA AEOD/DSP/ROAB ARM/DCTS/DAB NRR/DEST/ADS NRR/DEST/ELB NRR/DEST/MEB NRR/DEST/PSB NRR/DEST/SGB NRR/DEST/SGB NRR/DLPQ/QAB NRR/DREP/RAB NRR/DRES/SIB REG FILE 02 RES TELFORD, J RGN3 FILE 01	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ACRS MOELLER AEOD/DSP/NAS AEOD/DSP/TPAB DEDRO NRR/DEST/CEB NRR/DEST/ICSB NRR/DEST/MTB NRR/DEST/RSB NRR/DEST/RSB NRR/DLPQ/HFB NRR/DOEA/EAB NRR/DREP/RPB NRR/PMAS/ILRB RES DEPY GI RES/DE/EIB	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D S
EXTERNAL:	EG&G GROH,M H ST LOBBY WARD NRC PDR NSIC MAYS,G	5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1	FORD BLDG HOY, A LPDR NSIC HARRIS, J	1 1 1 1 1 1	R I D S A D
-					S

NRC Form 366	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
(9-83)							
LICENSEE EVENT	REPORT (LER) Expires 8/31/85						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)						
Monticello	0 5 0 0 0 2 6 3 1 OF 0 3						
TITLE (4)	<u> </u>						
ESF Actuations Due to Improper Jumper Pla							
	REPORT DATE (7) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBERS						
NUMBER NUMBER	HONTH DAY TEAR FACTETTY NAMES DOCKET NOMBERS						
OPERATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSU							
MODE (9) N OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or mo	·						
	(c) $ X = 50.73(a)(2)(iv) + 73.71(b)$						
LEVEL $ 1 20.405(a)(1)(i)$ 50.38(a)	c)(1) $\boxed{ }$ 50.73(a)(2)(v) $\boxed{ }$ 73.71(c)						
(10) 0 0 0 1 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 1 50.36(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(c)(2) $\boxed{}$ 50.73(a)(2)(vii) $\boxed{}$ OTHER						
	(2)(i) $$ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) (Specify in						
	a)(2)(ii) $\boxed{}$ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) Abstract						
$\frac{ }{ } 20.405(a)(1)(v) \frac{ }{ } 50.73(a)(1)(v)$	a)(2)(iii)						
T T O D VOTE DO VITA O O	in Text, NRC Form 366A)						
LICENSEE CONTACT	T FOR THIS LER (12)						
INATE	TELEPHONE NUMBER						
Stephen R. Porter, Production Engineer	6 1 2 2 9 5 - 5 1 5 1						
	FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)						
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURER TO NPRDS	CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURER TO NPRDS						
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED ((14) EXPECTED MONTH DAY YEAR						
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION							
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approxi	imately fifteen single-space typwritten lines)						
(16)							
i · ·							
While performing a modification preoperational test procedure, a jumper was							
inadvertently installed across an isolation logic relay coil instead of the intended							
relay contact. This caused the fuse in an upstream distribution panel feeding the							
entire Primary Containment Isolation Logic Panel to blow. A shutdown cooling trip,							
Standby Gas Treatment system initiation and an isolation of Reactor Water Clean-up							
and reactor building and drywell ventilation systems resulted. The plant was five							
weeks into a refueling outage when the event occurred. The blown fuse was replaced							
and shutdown cooling was restored.	 						
The cause of the event was failure to recognize the correct relay terminal							
designations and is therefore attributed to personnel error. Improper fuse							
coordination affected the extent of the event. To preclude similar events,							
additional training will be conducted and relay drawings will be made available in							
key areas. Fuses have been changed to improve fuse breaker coordination.							

NRC Form 366 (9-83)

1822/1

NRC Form 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Approved OMB NO. 3150-0104 (9-83)Expires 8/31/85

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

PAGE (3) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) |YEAR| SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER 0|5|0|0|0| 2| 6| 3| 8|7|-| 0 | 2 | 1 |-| 0 0 0 2 OF 0 0 3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17) DESCRIPTION

At 1238 on November 24, 1987, five weeks into a plant refueling outage with the reactor in cold shutdown, a shutdown cooling trip, Standby Gas Treatment (BH) system initiation and an isolation of the Reactor Water Clean-up (CE) and reactor building and drywell ventilation systems occurred. At the time of the event all control rods were in with the reactor vented and reactor temperature less than 212 degrees. Secondary containment and Low Pressure Core Spray (BM) division 2, were available, however not required. Reactor Water Cleanup was not available and not required.

Prior to the event and following the replacement of motor operator MO-2407 "Residual Heat Removal (BO) Dump to Waste Surge", a modification preoperational test procedure for the MO-2407 replacement was in progress. A temporary change to the procedure was made to allow stroking the valve open should an isolation signal be present. The procedure required the installation of a test jumper across an isolation relay (RLY) contact, thus ensuring a valve open permissive. While attempting to install the test jumper, wire identification was mistaken for terminal designation. The jumper was inadvertently placed across the relay coil terminals, causing a short circuit across the coil and blowing a fuse (FU) in the upstream panel which fed the entire primary containment isolation logic panel. The shutdown cooling trip, Standby Gas Treatment initiation and system isolations resulted.

Following a report from the operator installing the jumper, the event cause was identified and the location of the blown fuse determined. At 1313 on November 24, the fuse was replaced, shutdown cooling was restored, ventilation was returned to normal and the Standby Gas Treatment system was shutdown.

CAUSE

|FACILITY NAME (1)

Monticello

The actuation of the Engineered Safety Feature was due to a blown fuse caused by improper installation of a relay test jumper by a licensed operator in the presence of a test engineer. The cause of the event is therefore attributed to personnel error. The cause was not the result of procedural error, nor was the error cognitive in nature. There were no unusual characteristics of the work location that directly contributed to the error.

Due to fuse characteristics in the instantaneous region, a circuit fuse in an upstream panel feeding the primary containment isolation logic panel was blown rather than a feeder fuse within the containment isolation panel. This resulted in the event described rather than the isolation of just three individual containment isolation valves (ISV).

NRC	Form	366
1 (0-5	33)	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Approved OMB NO. 3150-0104

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Expires 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION	
]	NUMBER NUMBER	
Monticello	[0 5 0 0 0 2 6 3	8 7 - 0 2 1 1 - 0 0	0 3 0F 0 3

|TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)
| ANALYSIS

The Standby Gas Treatment system initiation, shutdown cooling trip and isolations of the Reactor Water Cleanup and reactor building and drywell ventilation systems did not result in any safety consequences. At the time of the event, Low Pressure Core Spray, secondary containment and Reactor Water Cleanup were not required. Low Pressure Core Spray (division 2) was however, available. The event could not have occurred under other conditions leading to more serious consequences. A prerequisite for the procedure under which the jumper was improperly installed required the reactor to be in cold shutdown or refueling mode.

Control room alarms informed operators immediately of the Standby Gas Treatment initiation and system isolations. A period of approximately 35 minutes elapsed from the time of the isolations until the blown fuse was replaced and lost systems were restored. During the event, reactor temperature increased approximately 2 degrees Fahrenheit.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

To reduce the probability of future similar events, the following corrective action has been taken or is planned:

- 1. Additional training on relay contact identification and terminal designation will be conducted for plant operators.
- 2. Relay type drawings will be made readily available in those areas where relay contacts are frequently booted or jumpered. This will aid in the proper relay contact designation identification.
- 3. A breaker/fuse coordination study was in progress at the time of the event and independently identified the fuse which had blown as one having a coordination problem. This fuse has been changed as required to improve coordination.

FAILED COMPONENT IDENTIFICATION

None.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

None.

NRC FORM 366A (9-83)





Northern States Power Company

414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 Telephone (612) 330-5500

December 23, 1987

Report Required by 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.73

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington DC 20555

MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT Docket No. 50-263 License No. DPR-22

ESF Actuations Due to Improper Jumper Placement During Preoperational Testing

The Licensee Event Report for this occurence is attached.

This event was reported via the Emergency Notification System in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.72, on November 24, 1987.

Morica Vik or David Musolf

Manager - Nuclear Support Services

c: Regional Administrator-III, NRC NRR Project Manager, NRC Resident Inspector, NRC MPCA

Attn: J W Ferman

Attachment

TEZZ