## Greenwood, Carol

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Gibson, Kathy – PET Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:25 PM Sheron, Brian; Valentin, Andrea RE: RESPONSE - The Wall Kathy Halvey Gibson.vcf

We will not have the uncertainty analysis completely finished before December when the report is scheduled for release for public comment, but we anticipate having enough of it done to know whether there are any showstoppers. We don't expect showstoppers, but if there are indications of any, we may propose not to issue the report for public comment at the scheduled time, but will propose delaying it until the uncertainty analysis is done and the showstoppers are resolved.

The report planned to be issued for public comment in December will include the results and the public will be free to comment on the whole document.

-----Original Message-----From: Sheron, Brian Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:07 PM To: Gibson, Kathy; Valentin, Andrea Subject: FW: RESPONSE - The Wall

See Mike's question. I think the answer to his question is yes, but recognize that we can't stop people from commenting on whatever they want to comment on. I am presuming the report we put out for public comment will report out the results?

-----Original Message-----From: Weber, Michael Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:04 PM To: Sheron, Brian; Andersen, James; Coggins, Angela Cc: Mamish, Nader; Kotzalas, Margie; Giessner, John; Virgilio, Martin; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Johnson, Michael; Leeds, Eric Subject: RESPONSE - The Wall

As I understand our plans, Brian, the purpose of publishing the December draft of SOARCA is to provide an opportunity for comment on the methodology that we used for the analysis, not to seek comment on the results of the analysis before we have completed the uncertainty analysis, correct? This would allow us to provide a draft final report to the Commission next June that would include the results and the estimated uncertainties associated with the results, consistent with agency guidelines on presenting the results of risk analyses.

-----Original Message-----From: Sheron, Brian Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 4:48 PM To: Andersen, James; Coggins, Angela; Bavol, Rochelle Cc: Mamish, Nader; Kotzalas, Margie; Giessner, John; Weber, Michael; Virgilio, Martin; Sanfilippo, Nathan; Johnson, Michael; Leeds, Eric Subject: RE: The Wall

RES plans to issue the draft SOARCA report for public comment in December, 2010.

From: Andersen, James
From: Andersen, James
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 3:29 PM
To: Coggins, Angela; Bavol, Rochelle
Cc: Mamish, Nader; Kotzalas, Margie; Giessner, John; Weber, Michael; Virgilio, Martin;
Sanfilippo, Nathan; Johnson, Michael; Leeds, Eric; Sheron, Brian
Subject: RE: The Wall

Angela, we have talked with the staff and propose the following:

- SOARCA paper: Currently due 10/29, the ACRS has requested we do a sensitivity analysis on SOARCA. This will push out the final paper until late spring next year. We have a TA briefing on this 9/3 and plan to discuss whether the Commission may be interested in the staff sending the draft paper up for Commission information or some other vehicle to keep the Commission informed. The staff is determining if the draft paper will be made public later this year. For the wall - how about having the paper come up June 30, 2011, for Commission action in July 2011. We will submit the appropriate extension request for the paper based on the ACRS asking for the analysis.

- Aggregate Impact paper: Currently due 11/30, the staff could use a little more time to incorporate comments from OEDO and feedback received from the ANS conference. For the wall - how about having the paper come up February 25, 2011, for Commission action in March 2011.

- ITAAC Maintenance Proposed Rule: Currently due 8/31, the paper is already in OEDO, we can support some slip in schedule but would want Commission action by January 15, 2011. This should allow the final rule to be published and effective by April 30, 2012, before all but the first two COLs are issued. The staff (with OGC support) believes that, for the first two COLs, inclusion of a license condition would be a feasible alternative for imposing the requirements from the ITAAC maintenance rule. Inclusion of such a condition would ensure that the staff and Commission have the necessary information to support the finding under 10 CFR 52.103(g) that all ITAAC are met for those licensees. For the wall - how about having the paper come up October 29, 2010, for Commission action in December 2010/January 2011.

NOTE - there is another impact of the potential slip, this involves the closely-related revision to Regulatory Guide 1.215 on ITAAC closure, WITS Item 200900201, draft currently due 12/31/10, which will incorporate the provisions of the ITAAC maintenance rulemaking. If the Commission delays action on the proposed rule, the staff will need to request an extension to coincide with the draft and final rule due dates. Since this will be about a four month change, the staff will need Commission approval for the extension request. I would like to discuss with you how the date can be reset (doesn't really meet any of the criteria) so the staff will not be counted as late.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Jim A.

-----Original Message-----From: Coggins, Angela Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2010 2:19 PM To: Bavol, Rochelle Cc: Andersen, James; Mamish, Nader Subject: FW: The Wall

I think some papers are going to be changing and I was hoping you might help me keep track of all this!! Here's what I think came out of a recent EDO/DEDO meeting:

- Slide soarca back 6 months (comes up now in feb 2011),

slide aggregate impact back a few months (I put it tentatively coming up in Feb until I hear back),

- and they are checking on sliding ITAAC maintenance proposed rule back (I have it tentatively coming up feb, priority for March)

Thanks!!

08

Joshua C. Batkin Chief of Staff Chairman Gregory B. Jaczko (301) 415-1820